

# Asymmetric Dark Stars and Their Signs in the Sky

Chris Kouvaris



Particle Physics & Origin of Mass

#### HKUST, Hong Kong, 10 Jan. 2020

## The Missing Mass of the Universe



bullet cluster



Rotation curves of galaxies



NGC1052-DF2

# Dark Matter





#### Why Dark Matter Self-Interactions?

CCDM very consistent with Large Scale Structure



# Why Dark Matter Self-Interactions?

However CCDM seems problematic in small scales

Problems with Collisionless Cold Dark Matter

- Core-cusp profile in dwarf galaxies
- Diversity Problem



Provide seeds for the Supermassive Black hole at the center of galaxy Pollack Spergel Steinhardt '15

# An Alternative to WIMPs: Asymmetric Dark Matter

Asymmetric DM can emerge naturally in theories beyond the SM
Alternative to thermal production
Possible link between baryogenesis and DM relic density

TeV WIMP	Light WIMP ~GeV
$\frac{\Omega_{TB}}{\Omega_B} = \frac{n_{TB}}{n_B} \frac{M_{TB}}{M_p}$	$\frac{\Omega_{TB}}{\Omega_B} = \frac{n_{TB}}{n_B} \frac{M_{TB}}{M_p}$
$\frac{n_{TB}}{M_{TB}} \sim e^{-M_{TB}/T_*}$	$n_{TB} = n_B$
$n_B$	$M_{TB} = 5 \text{GeV}$
$e^{-4}10^3 \simeq 18 \sim 5$	$1 \times 5 = 5$

# Asymmetric Dark Stars

Can asymmetric dark matter with self-interactions form its own compact objects?

- How do they look like?
- Can we detect them and distinguish them from NS or BH?
- What is the formation mechanism?

#### Asymmetric Fermionic Dark Stars



CK, Nielsen '15

#### Asymmetric Bosonic Dark Stars



Figure 3: The maximum mass of a boson star with *repulsive* self-interactions satisfying Eq. (4), as a function of DM particle mass m. The green band is the region consistent with solving the small scale problems of collisionless cold DM. The blue region represents generic allowed interaction strengths (smaller than 0.1 cm<sup>2</sup>/g) extending down to the Kaup limit which is shown in black. The red shaded region corresponds to  $\lambda \gtrsim 4\pi$ . Note that the horizontal axis is measured in solar masses  $M_{\odot}$ .

# Gravitational Waves from Dark Stars



**Observation** 

- Gravitational Waves:
- · DS+DS->DS or BH
- · DS+NS-> DS\*
- ・DS+BH->BH
- $\cdot \text{ Spinning DS}$

## Tidal Deformations of Dark Stars

How stars deform in the presence of an external gravitational field?



Maselli, Pnigouras, Nielsen, CK, Kokkotas, 17

## The Bright Side of Dark Stars

Dark Stars could shine via dark Bremsstrahlung if there is e.g. kinetic mixing between the dark and ordinary photon

$$\mathscr{L}_{D} = \bar{\chi}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m_{\chi})\chi + \frac{1}{4}F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} + m_{\phi}^{2}A'_{\mu}A'^{\mu} + \frac{\mathsf{k}}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu}$$

In vacuum DM should not couple to photon because the mixing term can disappear by reshifting the photon. However the photon acquires a Debye mass  $\sim kg\mu/\pi$ 

and this induces an effective

$$\varepsilon = \kappa^3 g^2 \mu^2 (\pi^2 m^2)$$

- The luminosity might not be small compared to neutron stars because it is a volume vs surface effect.
- The morphology of the spectrum is different from that of a blackbody radiation due to the dependence of the gravitational redshift on the depth of photon production



Maselli, CK, Kokkotas '19

#### How Asymmetric Dark Stars form?

A small fraction of asymmetric SIMP DM interacting via dark photons

$$\mathcal{L} \supset i \, ar{\Psi}_{e_D} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi_{e_D} - m_{e_D} ar{\Psi}_{e_D} \Psi_{e_D} - rac{1}{4} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} + m_{\gamma_D}^2 A_\mu A^\mu$$

Dark Fine Structure Constant should be sufficiently large to deplete antiparticles

Relic dark photons should neither overclose the Universe nor violate BBN constraints of Neff

$$\ell_{e_D} = 1/(\sigma_M n_{e_D})$$
 ,  $\ell_{\gamma_D}^C = 1/(\sigma_C n_{e_D})$ 

 $\ell_{\gamma_D} \gg \ell_{e_D} \gg \lambda_P$  collisionless dark electron regime.  $\ell_{\gamma_D} \gg \lambda_P \gg \ell_{e_D}$  self-interacting  $e_D$  gas.  $e_D$  and  $\gamma_D$  decoupled  $\lambda_P \gg \ell_{\gamma_D} \gg \ell_{e_D}$  tightly coupled  $e_D - \gamma_D$  gas.

$$P_{e_D} = n_{e_D} T_{e_D} + \frac{2\pi\alpha_D n_{e_D}^2}{m_{\gamma_D}^2} \qquad c_s^{e_D} = \sqrt{\frac{T_{e_D}}{m_{e_D}} + \frac{4\pi\alpha_D n_{e_D}}{m_{e_D} m_{\gamma_D}^2}}$$

$$c_s^{e_D\gamma_D} = \left[\frac{(c_s^{\gamma_D})^2 + R_{e\gamma} (c_s^{e_D})^2}{1 + R_{e\gamma}}\right]^{1/2} \quad R_{e\gamma} = \xi \frac{\rho_0^{e_D}}{\rho_0^{\gamma_D}}$$

## Formation of Asymmetric Dark Stars



Perturbations grow as long as

$$\lambda > \lambda_J = c_s \left(\frac{\pi}{\rho_0(z)G}\right)^{1/2}$$

Chang, Egana-Ugrinovic, Rouven, CK '18

### Formation of Asymmetric Dark Stars

Collapse can proceed via dark photon Bremsstrahlung Cooling



#### Formation of Asymmetric Dark Stars



Figure 7: Black contours: mass of the dark electron exotic compact objects formed via fragmentation of a dark electron halo, in units of solar mass, as a function of the dark electron and dark photon masses. The dark fine-structure constant has been set to  $\alpha_D = 10^{-1}$  (top),  $\alpha_D = 10^{-2}$  (middle) and  $\alpha_D = 10^{-3}$ (bottom). Blue contours: compactness of the above objects. Shaded blue: regions where the dark electron exotic compact objects are black holes (compactness  $C_{\rm BH} = 1/2$ ). Shaded gray and red: regions where no fragmentation occurs. In gray, no fragmentation occurs since the whole dark electron halo runs away into a black hole before it can fragment. We plot these regions for two choices of dark electron halo mass. In red, we show the regions where dark electrons are kinetically decoupled (KD) during linear growth of perturbations (c.f. Eq. (15)), so instead of forming a compact dark electron halo which fragments, dark electrons settle in an NFW-like halo typical of CDM. We show these regions for two choices of the dark electron to dark matter ratio.

# Conclusions

#### Dark Matter Self-Interactions

important to solve CCDM problems

#### Asymmetric Dark Stars

- can be probed by gravitational waves
- New Dark Stars distinguishable from NS and BH binaries
- They could also produce photons