# **FCC-hh Detector Studies**

#### Albert De Roeck, CERN On behalf of the FCC-hh Detector Study Group

IAS Meeting Hong Kong 18-21 January 2016

Based on material from W. Riegler



#### **Future Circular Collider Study**

- International FCC collaboration (CERN as host lab) to study:
- *pp*-collider (*FCC-hh*)
   → main emphasis, defining infrastructure requirements

~16 T  $\Rightarrow$  100 TeV *pp* in 100 km

- 80-100 km infrastructure in Geneva area
- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider (FCC-ee) as potential intermediate step
- p-e (FCC-he) option
- HE-LHC with FCC-hh technology





#### LUMINOSITY GOALS FOR A 100-TEV PP COLLIDER

Ian Hinchliffe<sup>a</sup>, Ashutosh Kotwal<sup>b</sup>, Michelangelo L. Mangano<sup>c</sup>, Chris Quigg<sup>d</sup>, Lian-Tao Wang<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Phyiscs Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA 94720, USA

<sup>b</sup> Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

<sup>c</sup> PH Department, TH Unit, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup> Theoretical Physics Department, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510 USA
Institut de Physique Théorique Philippe Meyer, École Normale Supérieure 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

<sup>e</sup> Department of Physics and Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637 USA

April 24, 2015

#### Abstract

We consider diverse examples of science goals that provide a framework to assess luminosity goals for a future 100-TeV proton-proton collider.

#### Important discussion on luminosity: An integrated luminosity goal of 20ab<sup>-1</sup> matches very well the 100TeV c.m. Energy

Last year here @ HKUST.....

# Luminosity for a Hadron Machine

The present working hypothesis is:

- peak luminosity baseline: 5x10<sup>34</sup>
- peak luminosity ultimate: ≤ 30x10<sup>34</sup>
- integrated luminosity baseline ~250 fb<sup>-1</sup> (average per year)
- integrated luminosity ultimate ~1000 fb<sup>-1</sup> (average per year)

An operation scenario with:

- 10 years baseline, leading to 2.5 ab<sup>-1</sup>
- 15 years ultimate, leading to 15 ab<sup>-1</sup>

would result in a total of O(20) ab<sup>-1</sup> over 25 years of operation.

## **Physics at a Hadron Machine**

#### C. Helsens, M. Mangano



$$\Delta = \text{dijet mass resolution}$$

$$\Delta = \pm 10\%$$

$$\Delta = \pm 4\%$$

$$\Delta = \pm 1\%$$

$$3ab^{-1}$$

$$10$$

$$20$$

$$3ab^{-1}$$

$$10$$

$$20$$

$$3ab - 1$$

$$\sqrt{\hat{s}} = M_V \text{ [TeV]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} \propto \frac{p}{BL^2}$$

Muon momentum resolution O(15%) at 10TeV.

→ Constant term dominates, 1-2% goal
 → full shower containment is mandatory
 !

 $\rightarrow$  Do not compromise on 12 lambda !

 $\frac{\Delta E}{E} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} + k$ 

### **VBF / WW Scattering**

Is H playing it's role ? Unitarity at 1TeV ? Are there high mass resonances WW, ZZ, HH, ...



VBF jets between  $\eta^2$  and  $\eta^6$  need to be well measured and separated from pile-up Muons (and electrons) around ~1 TeV p<sub>T</sub> need to be triggered, identified, precisely measured



# **Higgs Measurements**



| $H \rightarrow 4I$ acceptance vs $\eta$ coverage (I $p_T$ cuts applied) |     |        |      |      |         |  |    |
|---|-----|--------|------|------|---------|--|----|
|   |     | 14 TeV |      | 100  | 100 TeV |  |    |
|   |     | 2.5    | 4    | 2.5  | 4       |  |    |
|   | ggF | 0.74   | 0.99 | 0.56 | 0.88    |  |    |
|   | WH  | 0.66   | 0.97 | 0.45 | 0.77    |  |    |
|   | ZH  | 0.69   | 0.98 | 0.48 | 0.80    |  | ŶŶ |
|   | ttH | 0.84   | 1    | 0.56 | 0.90    |  |    |
|   | VBF | 0.75   | 0.98 | 0.55 | 0.87    |  |    |

|  |     |         |          | H. Gray, C. Helsens |        |  |
|--|-----|---------|----------|---------------------|--------|--|
|  |     |         | η  < 2.5 | η  < 4              | η  < 5 |  |
|  | 201 | 100 TeV | 0.74     | 0.95                | 0.99   |  |
|  | ŶŶ  | 14 TeV  | 0.90     | 1                   | 1      |  |

→ 30-50% acceptance loss for H→ 4l at 100 TeV wrt 14 TeV if tracking and precision EMcalorimetry limited to  $|\eta| < 2.5$  (as ATLAS and CMS) → can be recovered by extending to  $|\eta| \sim 4$  Examples:

"Heavy" final states require high  $\sqrt{s}$ , e.g.: HH production (including measurements of self-couplings  $\lambda$ ) ttH (note: ttH $\rightarrow$  ttµµ, ttZZ "rare" and particularly clean)



|   | HL-LHC | ILC500 | ILC500-up         | ILC1000  | ILC1000-up             | CLIC1400 | CLIC3000 | HE-LHC | VLHC    |
|---|--------|--------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| $\sqrt{s}~({ m GeV})$                   | 14000  | 500    | 500               | 500/1000 | 500/1000               | 1400     | 3000     | 33,000 | 100,000 |
| $\int \mathcal{L}dt (\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$ | 3000   | 500    | $1600^{\ddagger}$ | 500/1000 | $1600/2500^{\ddagger}$ | 1500     | +2000    | 3000   | 3000    |
| λ                                       |        | 83%    | 46%               | 21%      | 13%                    | 21%      | 10%      | 20%    | 8%      |
|   |        |        |                   |          |                        |          |          |        |         |

### **Boosted Objects**

M.Pierini

#### Already important at the LHC now! Will be even more so at a 100 TeV machine !



Calorimeter granularity important in optimization for boosted objects

### **Exotic Particles**

#### **Disappearing Tracks - Introduction**

 $M_{\chi^{\pm}} - M_{\chi_0} = 165 \text{ MeV} > m_{\pi} \Rightarrow \text{ lifetime } \tau \simeq 6 \text{ cm} \simeq 0.2 \text{ ns}$ 

Almost all  $\chi^{\pm}$ s decay to  $\chi_0$  + soft pions before reaching detectors



Feng Strassler 1994 Feng Moroi Randall Strassler Su 1999 ... Low Wang 1404.0682

F. Sala

Detector should be ready for exotic particles, eg heavy stable particles, displaced vertices, displaced photons, disappearing tracks, large dE/dx... Use precise timing techniques?

### Physics at a 100 TeV Collider

#### **Exploration + Higgs as a tool for discovery**

Numerous physics opportunities with a large number of possible measurements. How to specify detectors for such a machine ?

ATLAS and CMS are general purpose detectors that were benchmarked with the 'hypothetical' Higgs in different mass regions with tracking up to  $\eta$ =2.5.

The Higgs is also key benchmark for the FCC detectors, with highly forward boosted features (100TeV, 125GeV Higgs)

As a start consider that FCC detectors must be 'general general' purpose detectors with very large η acceptance and extreme granularity. But keep an eye on more specialized experiments in future.

### **Overall Approximate Needs**

Tracking: Momentum resolution <15% at p<sub>t</sub>=10TeV

Precision tracking (momentum spectroscopy) and Ecal up to  $\eta$ =4

Tracking and calorimetry for jets up to  $\eta=6$ .

12  $\lambda_{in}$  calorimetry, 1-2% constant term.

Calorimeter granularity of 0.05x0.05 or 0.025x0.025 to mitigate pileup and measure jet substructure and boosted objects.

B-tagging, timing for pileup rejection etc. ...

Much of detector technology is driven by silicon technology and computing power -> count on significant improvements. Since the maximum energy and delivered luminosity are the key goals for the FCC-hh machine, the detector efforts should put minimal constraints at the machine efforts.

#### What do MB events at 100 TeV look like?

#### 14TeV → 100TeV Minimum Bias Events:

Inelastic crossection  $14 \rightarrow 100$ TeV changes from  $80 \rightarrow 105$ mb.

Multiplicity 14  $\rightarrow$  100TeV changes from 5.4  $\rightarrow$  8 charged particles per rapidity unit.

Average  $p_T$  of charged particles  $14 \rightarrow 100$  TeV 0.6  $\rightarrow 0.8$  GeV/c, i.e. bending radius in 4T magnetic field is  $50 \rightarrow 67$ cm.

Transverse energy increase by about a factor of 2.

 $\rightarrow$  The Min. Bias events at FCC are quite similar to the Min. Bias events at LHC

→Pile up ~ 170 Events/BX in phase 1 ie similar to HL-LHC conditions
 →Pile up ~ 1000 Events/BX in phase 2 OR stay at ~170 events for 5 ns bunch spacing

#### **Peter Skands:**

#### If you don't require precision better than 10%

And if you don't look at very exclusive event details (such as isolating specific regions of phase space or looking at specific identified particles)

#### Then I believe these guesses are reasonable

| $\sigma_{INEL}$       | $\sigma_{EL}$        |           |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| $\sim 80 \text{ mb}$  | $\sim 22 \text{ mb}$ | @ 13 TeV  |
| ~ 90 mb               | $\sim 25 \text{ mb}$ | @ 30 TeV  |
| $\sim 105 \text{ mb}$ | ~ 32 mb              | @ 100 TeV |

| Central <nch> density (INEL&gt;0)</nch>                |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| $\sim 1.1 \pm 0.1$ / ΔηΔφ @ 13 TeV                     |  |  |
| $\sim 1.33 \pm 0.14$ / $\Delta\eta\Delta\phi$ @ 30 TeV |  |  |
| $\sim 1.8 \pm 0.4$ / $\Delta\eta\Delta\phi$ @ 100 TeV  |  |  |

Central  $\langle E_T \rangle$  density (INEL) ~ 1.0 ± 0.15 GeV /  $\Delta \eta \Delta \phi$  @ 13 TeV ~ 1.3 ± 0.2 GeV /  $\Delta \eta \Delta \phi$  @ 30 TeV ~ 2.0 ± 0.4 GeV /  $\Delta \eta \Delta \phi$  @ 100 TeV UE TRNS <Σp<sub>T</sub>> density (j100)

 $\sim 3.3 \pm 0.2$  /  $\Delta\eta\Delta\phi$  @ 13 TeV

 $\sim 3.65 \pm 0.25$  /  $\Delta\eta\Delta\phi$  @ 30 TeV

 $\sim 4.4 \pm 0.45 / \Delta \eta \Delta \phi$  @ 100 TeV

#### For tuning, Perugia 2012 (PY6) $\rightarrow$ Monash 2013 (PY8)

Diffraction could still use more dedicated pheno / tuning studies Baryon and strangeness spectra in pp still not well understood  $\rightarrow$  color reconnections? Forward region highly sensitive to PDF choice  $\rightarrow$  what do low-x PDFs mean?



# **Detector Concepts Studied**

#### Added dipoles in the forward region for measurements over a large eta range.



(1) Solenoid with light yoke +Forward Dipoles"Classic, heavy, low shielding"

Huge mass, Iron very expensive

→ Seems not feasible



(2) Twin Solenoid, no yoke+ Forward Dipoles"Innovative, light, good shielding"

Shielding Solenoid, very large system

→ Used as baseline

#### Complementary dedicated experiments, eg for flavour, low pT etc) ? To be studies

H. Ten Kate et al.



(3) Solenoid + three toroids
 + internal Forward Dipoles
 "No request for this μ-system"

The ATLAS 'standalone' Muon Toroid was motivated by

- worries that trackers might not work at LHC rate
- Space for excellent HCAL, good jet calorimetry
  - Independent magnet system
- → No real motivation

### **Dimensions...**



FCC-hh experiment diameter ~ 1.4 times ATLAS diameter
FCC-hh experiment height ~ same as the building you are in
FCC-hh inner solenoid diameter = same inner circle in atrium here! (12m)

### Twin Solenoid + Dipole Magnet System



Twin solenoid + Dipole is being engineered in detail.

The two solenoids are connected in series, ie to be considered as a single magnet

### **Baseline Twin Solenoid + Dipoles**

Matthias Mentink, Alexey Dudarev, Helder Filipe Pais Da Silva, Christophe Paul Berriaud, Gabriella Rolando, Rosalinde Pots, Benoit Cure, Andrea Gaddi, Vyacheslav Klyukhin, Hubert Gerwig, Udo Wagner, and Herman ten Kate



State of the art high stress / low mass design.

|               | Twin Solenoid | Dipole     |
|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Stored energy | 53 GJ         | 2 x 1.5 GJ |
| Total mass    | 6 kt          | 0.5 kt     |
| Peak field    | 6.5 T         | 6.0 T      |
| Current       | 80 kA         | 20 kA      |
| Conductor     | 102 km        | 2 x 37 km  |
| Bore x Length | 12 m x 20 m   | 6 m x 6 m  |

#### Superconducting Magnet with the Reduced Barrel Yoke for the Hadron Future Circular Collider

V. I. Klyukhin, A. Ball, C. Berriaud, B. Curé, A. Dudarev, A. Gaddi, H. Gerwig, A. Hervé, M. Mentink, G. Rolando, H. F. Pais Da Silva, U. Wagner, and H. H. J. ten Kate

Abstract- The conceptual design study of a hadron Future Circular Collider (FCC-hh) with a center-of-mass energy of the order of 100 TeV in a new tunnel of 80-100 km circumference assumes the determination of the basic requirements for its detectors. A superconducting solenoid magnet of 12 m diameter inner bore with the central magnetic flux density of 6 T is proposed for a FCC-hh experimental setup. The coil of 24.518 m long has seven 3.5 m long modules included into one cryostat. The steel yoke with a mass of 21 kt consists of two barrel layers of 0.5 m radial thickness, and 0.7 m thick nose disk, four 0.6 m thick end-cap disks, and three 0.8 m thick muon toroid disks each side. The outer diameter of the yoke is 17.7 m; the length without the forward muon toroids is 33 m. The air gaps between the end-cap disks provide the installation of the muon chambers up to the pseudorapidity of ±3.5. The conventional forward muon spectrometer provides the measuring of the muon momenta in the pseudorapidity region from  $\pm 2.7$  to  $\pm 4.6$ . The magnet modeled with Cobham's program TOSCA. The total Ampere-turns in the superconducting solenoid coil are 127.25 MA-turns. The stored energy is 43.3 GJ. The axial force onto each end-cap is 480 MN. The stray field at the radius of 50 m off the coil axis is 14.1 mT and 5.4 mT at the radius of 100 m. All other parameters presented and discussed.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

THE hadron Future Circular Collider (FCC-hh) [1] with a center-of-mass energy of the order of 100 TeV assumed to be constructed in a new tunnel of 80-100 km circumference, requires to use in the experimental setups the superconducting solenoid coils with a free bore of 12 m in diameter and with the central magnetic flux density of 6 T. The future progress in the tracking detectors will allow measuring the momenta of the prompt muons inside the inner tracker, if the muon system will indicate the charged tracks are really the muons. In this case, the barrel part of the external muon system could be simplified using rather thin steel yoke with the main purpose to eliminate the low momentum muons arising from the hadron decays in flight, and the punch through hadrons to ensure the prompt muon identification. The magnetic flux

density bending component integral of about  $3.5 \text{ T} \cdot \text{m}$  will be enough to perform this task.

The physics requirements assume the location of the major sub-detectors inside the superconducting coil. The sub-detectors are the inner tracker of 5 m outer diameter with the length of 16 m, the electromagnetic calorimeter with the outer diameter of 7.2 m and the length of 18.2 m, and the hadronic calorimeter with the outer diameter of 12 m and the length of at least of 23 m.

#### II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

Fig. 1 presents a three-dimensional (3-D) FCC-hh detector magnetic system model based on the CMS magnet experience [2], [3], and developed and calculated with Cobham's program TOSCA [4].



Fig. 1. 3-D model of the FCC-hh detector magnetic system.

#### Alternative magnet systems with partial passive shielding are being investigated.

### **Baseline Geometry for Twin Solenoid**



# Beampipe

- •Central beampipe: Cylinder Beryllium R<sub>in</sub> =2cm, R<sub>out</sub>=2.1cm From z=0 to z=800cm
- •Forward beampipe: Cone Beryllium 1mm wall thickness Projective cone (inner envelope) along 2.5mRad
  - From z=800cm to z=32000cm Radius at 32m: 8cm
- •From z=3200 to 3230cm cone to go from R=8cm to R=1cm-2cm (matching TAS), Aluminum
- Between 3230cm and TAS keep cylindrical beampipe, Aluminum
- •Cylindrical shield around this beampipe will be necessary. Still to be checked with FCC aperture requirements !!



### **Central Tracker**

Material composition in Volume (%): Si 20%, C 42%, Cu 2%, Al 6%, Plastic 30%X<sub>0</sub> of this mix: 14.37cm

We assume 3% of radiation length per layer, i.e. each layer has a thickness of 0.43cm.





Silicon tracker inspired by the CMS upgrade studies Details see <u>http://fcc-tklayout.web.cern.ch/fcc-tklayout/index4.html</u>

### **Central Tracker Geometry**



### **Central Tracker**



http://fcc-tklayout.web.cern.ch/fcc-tklayout/FCChh\_Option2/errorsTRK.html

### **Central Tracker**

$$\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{reso.} = \frac{\sigma \, p_T}{0.3BL(\eta)^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N(\eta) + 4}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{m.s.} = \frac{0.0136}{0.3\,BL(\eta)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_0}(\eta)}$$

Large BL<sup>2</sup> needed for high momenta, but large BL also key to minimize multiple scattering contribution.

With BL 2.5 times larger than CMS, the multiple scattering contribution for the same amount of tracker material is a factor 2.5 smaller (reso:  $0.8\% \rightarrow 0.32\%$ ).

How to scale the system and keep the performance constant?

At constant B and 1/2 the tracker radius we need: 4 times the tracker resolution ( $20um \rightarrow 5um$ ) and 4 times less material budget ( $x/X_0=50\%$  at eta=0 to  $x/X_0=12.5\%$  at eta=0 i.e. 3%  $\rightarrow 0.75\%$  per layer)

These values are challenging but not out of reach. Tracker instead of diam=4.8m & length=16m reduced to half of that !

→ A final choice is part of an optimization that depends on future technologies → We will have to show 'cost scaling' models in the 2018 report.

# **Track resolution Simplified Formulae**





$$\eta_1 = -\ln an \left(rac{1}{2} \arctan rac{L_0}{l}
ight) \qquad \eta_2 = -\ln an \left(rac{1}{2} \arctan rac{L_0}{2l}
ight)$$

For a geometry with  $L_0 = 2.4m$  and l = 8m we have  $\eta_1 = 1.9$  and  $\eta_2 = 2.6$ 

$$L(\eta) = L_0 \quad \eta < \eta_1 \qquad \qquad L(\eta) = L_0 \frac{\sinh \eta_1}{\sinh \eta} \quad \eta > \eta_1$$

$$\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{reso.} = \frac{\sigma \, p_T}{0.3BL(\eta)^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N(\eta) + 4}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{m.s.} = \frac{0.0136}{0.3BL(\eta)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_0}(\eta)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{reso.}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}|_{m.s.}\right)^2}$$

In[60]:= L0 = 2.4;

 $\ln[61] := 1 = 8;$ 

In[62]:= B = 6;

In[63]:= sig = 23 + 10 ^ (-6);

### **Track Resolution**





Note: 10% at 10TeV from large BL<sup>2</sup> and 0.3% at low momenta due to large BL !!

# **Forwards Tracking**





 $rac{\sigma}{\beta}$ 

Using 4 tracking stations INSIDE dipole with constant magnetic field and length S, the optimum spectrometer resolution is achieved by placing 2 stations in the center and one on each end to measure the sagitta.

The same performance is achieved by placing the chambers outside the dipole at separation of S/4.

This is what LHCb uses, because if space is available it is easier to implement the detectors outside, and also avoid occupancy from loopers in the field (details on catching Ks etc. are of curse to be considered ...)

We use this idea for now (is also easier to calculate ! It is just the Int B dl that counts)

### **Forward Tracking Resolution**

$$\left(\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2\sigma \, p_T}{\tan \theta 0.3L \int B_T dl}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0136}{0.3 \int B_T dl} \sqrt{2\frac{x_f}{X_0}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0136}{p_T \cos \theta} \sqrt{\frac{x_t}{X_0}\frac{1}{\sin \theta}}\right)^2$$

$$\left(\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2\sigma \, p_T \, \sinh \eta}{0.3L \, \int B_T dl}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0136}{0.3 \int B_T dl} \sqrt{2\frac{x_f}{X_0}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.0136 \, \coth \eta}{p_T} \sqrt{\frac{x_t}{X_0} \cosh \eta}\right)^2$$

$$σ=30μm$$
 $X_f/X_0=0.06$ 
 $X_t/X_0=0.03$ 
Int Bdl=10 Tm
L=2m

Using  $L = 2 \text{ m}, \, \sigma = 30 \, \mu \text{m}, \, x_f/X_0 = 0.06, \, x_t/X_0 = 0.03$ 

$$\frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T} = 10^{-3} \sqrt{1.5^2 + (10^{-2} p_T \sinh \eta)^2 + \left(2.4 \frac{\coth \eta}{p_T} \sqrt{\cosh \eta}\right)^2}$$

### **Forward Tracker Resolution**



### **Calorimeter Granularity**



ECAL: granularity : 0.0125 x 0.0125 for eta<2.5, 0.025 x 0.025 for eta<4.0, 0.05 x 0.05 for eta<6.0

HCAL: granularity : 0.05 x 0.05 for eta<2.5, 0.1 x 0.1 for eta<4.0, 0.2 x 0.2 for eta<6.0 Energy resolutions are ~ ATLAS ones

HCAL simulation studies have been made ECAL simulation studies starting Much room for new ideas! At B<sub>0</sub>=6T and R<sub>0</sub>=6m, Muons below 7GeV do not enter the muon system.

No Muon Trigger below 7GeV.

Possibly muon ID with a high granularity calorimeter.



#### Muon Momentum can be measured by

1) The inner tracker
→ resolution plots from before

2) The track angle at the entrance of the muon system → Trigger

3) A sagitta measurement in the muon system (no iron → precise !)

4) The combined fit of inner tracker and outer layers of the muon system.





 $B_0=6T, R_0=6m \rightarrow dp/p=3\% !!!$ (CMS 9% because  $B_0R_0=1/3$ ) **Excellent resolution for a possible muon trigger.** 

#### 3) Sagitta measurement in the muon system

#### The return field is 2.45T

Measuring over the 5m lever arm with stations of sig=50um resolution we have

dp<sub>T</sub>/p<sub>T</sub>= sig\*p<sub>T</sub>/(0.3\*B\*L<sup>2</sup>)\*8 = 20% @ 10TeV

with possibly excellent performance at low  $p_T$  due to the absence of iron (vs. CMS) .

but very hard to beat the angular measurement at high  $p_T$  and the inner tracker at low  $p_T$ .

**Surface > 5000 m<sup>2</sup>** 

CMS sagitta measurement in the muon system is limited to  $dp_T/p_T = 20\%$  due to multiple scattering alone.



#### **Combined Measurement**

If the full flux is returned trough the muon system, the muon trajectory at the exit of the system points exactly to the IP !

$$y_t(x) \;\;=\;\; rac{0.3B_0}{2p_T} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
ight) \Theta(R_0-x) - rac{0.3B_0}{2p_T} rac{1}{(R_1-R_1)} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
ight) \Theta(R_0-x) - rac{1}{2R_0} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
ight) \Theta(R_0-x) + rac{1}{2R_0} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
ight) \Theta(R_0-x) - rac{1}{2R_0} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
ight) \Theta(R_0-x) + rac{1}{2R_0} \left(x^2 - rac{2R_0R_1}{R_0+R_1}x
igh$$

#### The maximum excursion $y_t(x_0)$ is always at

$$x_0 = \frac{R_0 R_1}{R_0 + R_1} \qquad y_t(x_0) = -\frac{0.3 B_0}{2 p_T} x_0^2 = -\frac{0.3 B_0}{2 p_T} \left(\frac{R_0 R_1}{R_0 + R_1}\right)^2$$

For values below:  $x_0=4m$ ,  $y_t(x0)=1.44mm$ Ideal measurement point is at the peak, but  $y_t(2.4m)=1.24mm$  still good !





x=2.4m,R<sub>1</sub>=12m,  $\sigma_1$ =50μm,  $\sigma_1$ =250μm,  $\sigma$ =64μm, dp<sub>T</sub>/p<sub>T</sub>=5% at 10TeV !

Measuring just in the last tracker layer and in the outermost muon station already beats the full inner tracker performance (14 layers, 23um).

# Hardware Trigger ?

CMS HL-LHC results in 200TByte/s into the online system for a "triggerless readout". For 2022 this is considered too difficult.

Assuming that the total track rate for 100TeV pp collisions (Phase I) is only a factor 2 larger, one could anticipate that by 2035 and FCC-hh detector can be read out in a triggerless fashion.

IE in 2035 maybe no hardware trigger necessary ! All data to the online system, synchronous or asynchronous, where a sophisticated selection and compression can be done.

N.b. the techniques to get the data out of the detector with a small amount of material is a key question to be solved.

Even if one would afford to read all data to HLT for Phase-II, the amount of copper lines to get all the signals out of the silicon detector would destroy the tracker performance.

### FCC Detector Radiation Studies

M. I. Besana, F. Cerutti, A. Ferrari, W. Riegler, V. Vlachoudis

# FLUKA simulations for the baseline geometry assuming L=3x10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, L=30 ab<sup>-1</sup>

**B-Field from Twin Solenoid+Dipole** 

#### **Very Rough Estimate for Silicon Detectors**

Estimate for radiation load of first Pixel Layer at r=3.7cm:

```
HL-LHC 3ab<sup>-1</sup>
1MeVneq Fluence = 1.5x10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>
Dose = 5MGy
```

```
FCC 3ab<sup>-1</sup>
1MeVneq Fluence = 3x10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>
Dose = 10MGy
```

```
FCC 30ab<sup>-1</sup>
1MeVneq Fluence = 3x10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>
Dose = 100MGy
```

Estimate for radiation load of first Pixel Layer at r=2.5cm:

```
FCC 30ab<sup>-1</sup>
1MeVneq Fluence = 7x10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>
Dose = 220MGy
```

 $\rightarrow$  With safety factors we go into the 10<sup>18</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> and GGy range !

#### **1** MeV Neutron Equivalent Fluence



#### 16/10/15

#### M.I. Besana, FCC-MDI meeting

#### Dose

Dose after an integrated luminosity of 30 ab<sup>-1</sup>, y=0 1000 \_ \_ \_ \_ 100 1000 10 500 1 0.1 [ MGy ] x[cm] 0 0.01 0.001 -500 0.0001 -1000 1e-05 1e-06 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 0 z[cm]





|                                   | Dose [MGy]       |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| First layer of the IB (R =2.5 cm) | 600              |
| max in forward detector           | 10 <sup>4</sup>  |
| max in barrel muon chambers       | 10-2             |
| max in end-cap muon chambers      | 10 <sup>-1</sup> |

#### 16/10/15

#### M.I. Besana, FCC-MDI meeting

### **Summary**

Studies of detectors for the FCC-hh new energy frontier in full swing.

A conceptual design report is planned for 2018.

Basic concepts for detectors at these future colliders are being worked on. A baseline detector has been defined and included in fast simulation DELPHES: Benchmark process studies starting!

Silicon sensors will play a key role in these future detectors, for tracking and probably also for High Granularity Calorimetry.

Areas of needed detector R&D emerging (eg radhard thin silicon)

Brand new tracker & calorimeter concepts ?! Precise timing (4D)?

Lots of room for blue sky thinking!

### **FCC-hh Meetings**

# FCC hadron detector meetings, leading up to the next FCC week in Rome (April 11-15, 2016).

Jan. 21, 2016 Mar. 03, 2016 Apr. 06, 2016

#### https://indico.cern.ch/category/6069/ e-mail-list: fcc-experiments-hadron@cern.ch

#### Thursday, 21 January 2016 14:00 - 14:30 FCC week organization, detector geometry update 30' Speaker: Werner Riegler (CERN) 14:30 - 15:00 Software status and next steps 30' Speakers: Clement Helsens (CERN), Benedikt Hegner (CERN) 15:00 - 15:20 Integration of tracking software 20' Speaker: Julia Hrdinka (Vienna University of Technology (AT)) 15:20 - 15:40 Tracker data rates 20' Speaker: Zbynek Drasal (CERN) 15:40 - 16:00 Update on radiation studies 20' Speaker: Maria Ilaria Besana (CERN)

# →Join, subscribe and follow ! →Contact Werner Riegler (Werner.Riegler@cern.ch)

# Backup