Search for Multi-Higgs Final States@Hadron Colliders

The measurement Higgs Self-Couplings



Qi-Shu Yan (UCAS, Beijing)

Program on High Energy Physcis HKUST IAS, JAN18-21, 2016, HongKong

Outline

- Introduction
- Analysis1: the trilinear coupling λ_3 $3\ell_2 j + \not\!\!\!\!/ mode$
- Analysis2: the quartic coupling λ_4 4b2 γ
- Conclusion

Based on:

Q.Li, Z. Li, QY, X.R. Zhao, PRD92(2015)1,014015, arXiv:1503.07611 C.Y. Chen,QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007, arXiv:1510.04013





The Nobel Prize in Physics 2013 was awarded jointly to François Englert and Peter W. Higgs "for the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatomic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"

$$V(\phi) = -\mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2 \,,$$

$$\begin{split} V(\phi_0, S) &= \lambda \left(\phi_0^2 - \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(\phi_0^2 - \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right) S + \frac{a_2}{2} \left(\phi_0^2 + \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right) S^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left(2b_2 + a_2 v_{EW}^2 \right) S^2 + \frac{b_3}{3} S^3 + \frac{b_4}{4} S^4, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V(\phi_1,\phi_2) &= m_{11}^2 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2 + (m_{12}^2 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2 + h.c.) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1}{4} (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{4} (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1) + \lambda_4 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \lambda_6 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1) (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + \lambda_7 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_2) (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2) + h.c. \right] \,, \end{split}$$

Unsolved questions of the SM: Shape of Higgs potential, the matter-antimatter asymmetry, EW baryogensis, CP violation in Higgs potential, ...

- 1) Understanding the nature of Higgs boson, composite of fundamental
- 2) Detecting the EWSB mechanisms and model discriminating
- 3) Probe EW baryogensis scenario, the EW phase transition, CP sources from Higgs Potential, ...
- 4) Probe new physics ...

Higgs self-couplings encode the forces causing vev. Measuring them can address big scientific issues.

See Chris Quigg's talk on Jan/18/2016



A big question: the shape of Higgs potential is crucial for the strong first order electroweak phase transition, which is badly needed in the EW Baryogenesis scenarios.

> CEPC Pre-CDR report M. Trodden, Rev.Mod.Phys.71(1999)1463 D.E. Morrissey, M.J. Musolf, NJP14(2012)125003 N.Arkani-Hamed, T.Han, M.Mangano, L.T.Wang, 1511.06495

$$\Delta L = -\frac{1}{2}m_H^2 H^2 - \lambda_3 \lambda_{SM} v H^3 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_4 \lambda_{SM} H^4 + \cdots,$$

$$\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 1$$
, for the SM case

The mass of Higgs is measured by the LHC run 1, Higgs' couplings to VB and matters @CEPC/FCC/ILC

Higgs self-couplings measmt. is the prime target of future LHC runs and future colliders

$$V(\phi) = \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2 + \frac{\kappa (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^3}{\Lambda^2}$$

X.Zhang, PRD47(1993)3065 F.P. Huang, P.H. Gu, P.F. Yin, Z.H. Yu, X. Zhang, 1511.03969 P. Huang, A. Joglekar, B. Li, C.E.M. Wagner, 1512.00068



The dominant channel of Higgs pair production @LHC due to the large gluon fluxes.

 $\sigma(pp \to hh, 14 \text{ TeV}) = 34.5 - 23.1 \lambda_3 + 4.82 \lambda_3^2$

With K=1.8, ~ 40fb @ LHC14TeV

O.J.P. Eboli, G.C. Marques, S.F. Novaes and A.A. Natale, Phys.Lett.B197, 269 (1987)
 D.A. Dicus, K.J. Kallianpur and S.S.D. Willenbrock, Phys. Lett. B 200, 187 (1988)
 C.S. Li, H.T. Li, D.Y. Shao, Chin. Sci. Bull.59, 2709(2014)



At a 100 TeV collider, the cross section be enhanced by a factor 40 or so

K=1.6, ~ 1600fb @ 100TeV

R.Frederix, S.Frixione, V.Hirschi, F.Maltoni, O.Mattelaer, P.Torrielli, E.Vryonidou and M.Zaro, Phys.Lett.B732,142 (2014)

Mode	Authors	Ref.	sig. (Assumed L.)
$b\overline{b}W^+W^-$	Andreas, Li-Lin, Jose	1209.1489	$2.4(600 \ fb^{-1})$
$b \overline{b} \gamma \gamma$	Vernon, Lisa, Jakson, Gabe	1311.2931	$\Delta \lambda_3 / \lambda_3 = 0.40 \ (3 \ ab^{-1} \)$
$b\bar{b}\tau^{+}\tau^{-}\&b\bar{b}b\bar{b}+ISR$	Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky	1206.5001	$1.5 (1 \ ab^{-1})$
$b \overline{b} \gamma \gamma$	ATLAS	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-019	$1.2 (3 \text{ ab}^{-1})$

Modes proposed in literatures from pheno. side More realistic issues from experimental side

Expected yields (3000 fb ⁻¹)	Total	Barrel	End-cap
Samples			
$H(b\bar{b})H(\gamma\gamma)(\lambda/\lambda_{SM}=1)$	8.4±0.1	6.7±0.1	1.8 ± 0.1
$H(b\bar{b})H(\gamma\gamma)(\lambda/\lambda_{SM}=0)$	13.7 ± 0.2	10.7 ± 0.2	3.1±0.1
$H(b\bar{b})H(\gamma\gamma)(\lambda/\lambda_{SM}=2)$	4.6 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1
$H(b\bar{b})H(\gamma\gamma)(\lambda/\lambda_{SM}=10)$	36.2 ± 0.8	27.9 ± 0.7	8.2±0.4
$b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$	9.7±1.5	5.2 ± 1.1	4.5±1.0
$c\bar{c}\gamma\gamma$	7.0 ± 1.2	4.1±0.9	2.9 ± 0.8
b̄bγj	8.4 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.2	4.1±0.2
bījj	1.3 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1
jjγγ	7.4±1.8	5.2±1.5	2.2 ± 1.0
$t\bar{t} \ge 1$ lepton)	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1
$t\bar{t}\gamma$	3.2 ± 2.2	1.6±1.6	1.6 ± 1.6
$t\bar{t}H(\gamma\gamma)$	6.1±0.5	4.9 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.2
$Z(b\bar{b})H(\gamma\gamma)$	2.7 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
$b\bar{b}H(\gamma\gamma)$	1.2 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
Total Background	47.1±3.5	29.1±2.7	18.0 ± 2.3
$S/\sqrt{B}(\lambda/\lambda_{SM}=1)$	1.2	1.2	0.4

Two Lessons: 1. Faked b 2. Faked photon

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-019 Guido Tonelli's talk on Jan/18/2016

Mode	Authors	Ref.	sig. (Assumed L.)
$b\overline{b}W^+W^-$	Andreas, Li-Lin, Jose	1209.1489	$2.4(600 \ fb^{-1})$
$b \overline{b} \gamma \gamma$	Vernon, Lisa, Jakson, Gabe	1311.2931	$\Delta\lambda_3/\lambda_3 = 0.40 \ (3 \ ab^{-1} \)$
$b\bar{b}\tau^{+}\tau^{-}\&b\bar{b}b\bar{b}+ISR$	Dolan, Englert, Spannowsky	1206.5001	$1.5 \ (1 \ ab^{-1})$
$b \overline{b} \gamma \gamma$	ATLAS	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-019	$1.2 (3 \text{ ab}^{-1})$

To overcome the faked b and faked photon issues@LHC We propose to look at the mode: $hh \rightarrow WW^*WW^* \rightarrow 3\ell + 2j + \text{missing energy}$ To suppress the huge background from ZW, only

same flavour same charge lepton final states are considered.

U.Baur, T.Plehn and D.L.Rainwater, Phys.Rev.D68,033001(2003)

 $e^\pm e^\pm \mu^\mp$ and $\mu^\pm \mu^\pm e^\mp$

Four patterns are considered

 $n(\ell) = 3, P_t(\ell_1) > 30 \text{ GeV},$ $P_t(\ell_2) > 10 \text{ GeV}, P_t(\ell_3) > 5 \text{ GeV}$ $\eta(\ell_i) < 2.5$ Veto tagged b events MET > 20 GeV

processes	$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ \times branching fraction (fb)	K factors	No. Events after preselection cuts
Signal $gg \to HH$	3.0×10^{-2}	1.8 [12]	16.3
HW^{\pm}	1.2	1.2[76]	119.4
WWW	1.4	1.8 [77]	363.9
$t\bar{t}W^{\pm}$	4.6	1.3 [78]	451.4
${ m t}ar{{ m t}}H$	2.1	1.2 [79]	101.3
$ZW, \gamma W$	233	1.8 [80]	~ 0
S/B		0.02	
S/\sqrt{B}		0.53	

To suppress background, finding the correct combination is crucial!

g

g

 $e^-e^-\mu^+, e^+e^+\mu^-, \mu^+\mu^+e^-, \text{ and } \mu^-\mu^-e^+$ **Two Combinations are possible?** $(H(\ell_1^{\pm}\ell_3^{\mp}), H(\ell_2^{\pm}jj)) \text{ or } (H(\ell_2^{\pm}\ell_3^{\mp}), H(\ell_1^{\pm}jj))$

Methods	The percentage of correctness (%)
$ m_{H(ll)} - m_{H(ljj)} $	68.9
$\Delta R(l^{\pm}, l^{\mp})$	85.0
$\Delta R(l^{\pm}, W_{jj})$	89.9
$P_t[H(ll)] + P_t[H(ljj)]$	90.3
$\Delta_R(H(ll), H(ljj))$	92.0
$m_{H(ll)} + m_{H(ljj)}$	95.4

Q. Li, Z. Li, QY, X.R. Zhao, PRD92(2015)1,014015, arXiv:1503.07611



$14 \text{TeV}, 3000 \text{fb}^{-1}$

1				
	after preselection	Cut	MLP	BDT
Signal	13.7	6.2	5.7	3.8
Background	913.5	36.8	21.7	6.2
S/B	$1.5 imes10^{-2}$	0.17	0.26	0.62
S/\sqrt{B}	0.45	1.0	1.2	1.5
	. 1			

 $100 \text{TeV}, \ 3000 \text{fb}^-$

	after preselection	Cut	MLP	BDT
Signal	416.8	160.0	80.4	104.0
Background	14801.8	523.6	107.3	67.1
S/B	$2.8 imes 10^{-2}$	0.31	0.75	1.5
S/\sqrt{B}	3.43	7.0	7.8	12.7

The Cut-based method:

 $M_h(\ell \ell) < 55 \text{ GeV}, M_h(\ell j j) < 110 \text{ GeV}, M_{T2} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ Q. Li, Z. Li, QY, X.R. Zhao, PRD92(2015)1,014015, arXiv:1503.07611



By using the invariant mass of three leptons in the final state, we can determine trilinear coupling to [0.9,1.2] at a 100 TeV collider with an integrated luminosity 30/ab.

Q. Li, Z. Li, QY, X.R. Zhao, PRD92(2015)1,014015, arXiv:1503.07611



ILC(1TeV,4/ab) can measure trilinear Higgs Coupling up to 10 percent

J. Tian, LC-REP-2013-003 T. Barklow, J. Brau, K. Fujii, J. Gao, J. List, N. Walker, K. Yokoya, arXiv: 1506.07830

<u>Analysis1: trilinear Coupling</u>



 $\Delta \mathcal{L} = Y_t \left(a \, \bar{t}t + i \, b \, \bar{t} \gamma_5 t \right) h + \lambda_3 \, \lambda_{SM} \, v \, h \, h \, h + \cdots \quad \text{Ratio of } \sigma(t \, \bar{t} \, Z) / \sigma(t \, \bar{t} \, h)$

J.F. Gunion, B. Grzadkowski, X.G. He, PRL77(1996)5172 M.Mangano, T. Plehn, P. Reimitz, T. Schell, H.S. Shao, 1507.08169 Q. Li, Z. Li, Y. Song, QY, X.R. Zhao, in preparation



E _{CM}	14 TeV	33 TeV	100 TeV
$\sigma(gg \rightarrow hhh)$	$4.1 imes10^{-2}{ m fb}$	0.33 fb	3.2 fb

Only accessible at a 100 TeV collider!

E _{CM}	500 GeV	1 TeV	3 TeV
$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zhhh)$	$3.3 imes10^{-3}\mathrm{ab}$	$4.1 imes10^{-1}\mathrm{ab}$	$1.5 imes10^{-1}\mathrm{ab}$

Cross section @LC is tiny.

C.Y. Chen, QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007

Decay Channel	Branching Ratio
$HHH \rightarrow b \bar{b} b \bar{b} W^+ W^-$	22.34%
$HHH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}b\bar{b}b$	20.30%
$HHH ightarrow b ar{b} W^+ W^- W^+ W^-$	8.20%
$HHH \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$	7.16%
$HHH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}gg$	6.54%
$HHH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}ZZ$	2.69%
$HHH \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-W^+W^-$	1.00%
$HHH \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-\tau^+\tau^-$	0.96%
$HHH \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-gg$	0.88%
$HHH \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-ZZ$	0.36%
$HHH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$	0.29%



Selection Cuts: 1) $N_b \ge 2, P_t(b) > 30 \text{ GeV}$ 2) $n_{\gamma} = 2, P_t(\gamma) > 30 \text{ GeV}$ 3) $P_t(j_1) \ge 30 \text{ GeV}$ 4) $n_j = 2\&3$

C.Y. Chen, QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007

	$\sigma \times BR$ (fb)	K factors	Events after preselection cuts	
Signal	$9.5 imes 10^{-3}$	2.0	50	
$bar{b}jj\gamma\gamma$	$1.9 imes 10^2$	1.0	$2.3 imes10^5$	
$H(\gamma\gamma)t\bar{t}$	77	1.2	$2.2 imes 10^4$	
S/B		$1.9 imes10^{-4}$		
$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	$9.8 imes10^{-2}$			

After top veto and Higgs boson reconstruction, it is still difficult (30/ab)

	Signal	$bar{b}jj\gamma\gamma$	$Htar{t}$
preselection	<mark>50</mark>	$2.3 imes 10^5$	$2.2 imes 10^4$
$\chi^2_{H,min} < 6.1$	26	$4.6 imes 10^4$	$9.9 imes 10^3$
$\left m_{H}^{rec}-126~{\rm GeV}\right <5.1~{\rm GeV}$	20	$1.7 imes 10^4$	$7.0 imes 10^3$
S/B	S/B $8.3 imes 10^{-4}$		-4
$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	0.13		

	Cuts based method	BDT> 0.02	MLP > 0.51
Signal	20	34	49
Background	$2.4 imes10^4$	$2.8 imes 10^4$	$9.9 imes 10^4$
S/B	$8.3 imes10^{-4}$	$1.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.0 imes10^{-4}$
$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	0.13	0.20	0.16

MVA can improve and optimise signal/background discrimination, but...

Integrated Luminosity (ab^{-1})	30	300	3000	1.83×10^4
$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	0.2	0.6	2.0	5.0

To discover the signal in the SM is challenging if only 20-30/ab for FCC/SPPC. Maybe other modes? I. Hinchliffe, A. Kotwal, M.L. Mangano, C. Quigg and L.T. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A30, no.23, 1544002 (2015) [arXiv:1504.06108 [hep-ph]]. C.Y. Chen,QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007



$$\sigma(\lambda_3, \lambda_4) = A\lambda_4^2 + (B\lambda_3^2 + C\lambda_3 + D)\lambda_4 + E\lambda_3^4 + F\lambda_3^3 + G\lambda_3^2 + H\lambda_3 + I,$$

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Ι
5.28×10^{-2}	0.14	-0.76	0.15	2.28×10^{-2}	$-5.36 imes10^{-2}$	3.11	-14.57	15.36

Directly detecting quartic couplings is difficult at least for 4b2gamma mode.

C.Y. Chen, QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007



Trilinear and quartic couplings are correlated.

C.Y. Chen, QY, X.R. Zhao, Z.J. Zhao, Y.M. Zhong, PRD93 (2016)1, 013007

Benchmark study4NP

$$\begin{split} V(\phi_0, S) &= \lambda \left(\phi_0^2 - \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{a_1}{2} \left(\phi_0^2 - \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right) S + \frac{a_2}{2} \left(\phi_0^2 + \frac{v_{EW}^2}{2} \right) S^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left(2b_2 + a_2 v_{EW}^2 \right) S^2 + \frac{b_3}{3} S^3 + \frac{b_4}{4} S^4, \end{split}$$



Experimental Constraints from all Higgs measurements, theoretical constraints: unitarity, vacuum stability, ...

Benchmark study4NP

	B1	B2	B3
$m_{H_2}~({ m GeV})$	460	500	490
θ	0.354	0.354	0.354
a_2	3.29	3.48	3.43
$b_3~({ m GeV})$	-706	-612	-637
b_4	8.38	8.38	8.38



Production rate is enhanced!

	B1	B2	B3
$\Gamma_{ m tot}(H_2) ~({ m GeV})$	5.6	7.5	7.0
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow W^+W^-)$	0.57	0.56	0.57
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow ZZ)$	0.27	0.27	0.27
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow t\bar{t})$	0.15	0.16	0.16
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow b\bar{b})$	$3.4 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.8 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.9 imes 10^{-4}$
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow HH)$	$5.3 imes10^{-7}$	$8.8 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.5 imes 10^{-7}$
$BR(H_2 \rightarrow HHH)$	$1.0 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.4 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.3 imes 10^{-3}$
$\sigma(gg \to H_2) @ 14 \text{ TeV} (\text{fb})$	$3.2 imes 10^2$	$2.3 imes 10^2$	$2.5 imes 10^2$
$\sigma(gg \to HHH) @ 14 \text{ TeV} (\text{fb})$	0.70	0.69	0.71
$\sigma(gg \to H_2) @ 100 \text{ TeV} (\text{fb})$	$1.4 imes 10^4$	$1.1 imes 10^4$	$1.2 imes 10^4$
$\sigma(gg \to HHH) @ 100 \text{ TeV} (\text{fb})$	37	38	39

Benchmark study4NP





(a)

m_{HHH} (GeV)

(b)

m_{HH} (GeV)

	SM(BDT>0.02)	B1(BDT > -0.02)	B2(BDT>-0.02)	B3(BDT > -0.03)
Signal	34	$3.7 imes10^2$	$4.4 imes10^2$	$4.6 imes10^2$
Background	$2.8 imes10^4$	$3.0 imes10^4$	$3.1 imes 10^4$	$4.0 imes10^4$
S/B	$1.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.2 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.4 imes10^{-2}$	$1.1 imes 10^{-2}$
$S/\sqrt{S+B}$	0.20	2.1	2.5	2.3

Conclusions

- Multi-Higgs final states are important to address big questions
- HL-LHC can reveal trilinear coupling to some degree by using 3lepton+2j+MET mode
- a 100 TeV future collider can be of great help to determine trilinear coupling
- More works should be done in order to know which mode is best to probe quartic coupling

MC4BSM 2016 Beijing

The 10th workshop on Monte Carlo Tools for Physics Beyond Standard Model

July 20-24 2016, UCAS-Huairou, China

International Advisory Committee: Yang Bai, Chao-Hsi Chang, Kuang-Da Chao, Yu-Ping Kuang, Tao Han, Xiao-Gang He, Tao Liu, Xiang-Dong Ji, Shufang Su, Lian-Tao Wang, Yue-Liang Wu, C.-P. Yuan, Christophe Grojean, Konstantin Matchev, Maxim Perelstein, Peter Skands

Arausaus

MC4BSM is a senes of workshops aiming to gather theorists and experimentalists interested in developing Monte Carlo tools to simulate collidersignatures of Beyond the Standard Model Physics, and to use such tools inphenomenological studies and in searchesfor new physics at energy frontiercolliders. Since 2006, nine workshop have been held in this series, hosted inUSA, Switzerland, Denmark, Germany, and Korea.





http://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/5301/

Contact: Qiang Li qliphy0@pku.edu.cn Qi-Shu Yan yanqishu@ucas.ac.cn

Backup

.



$$\chi^2(\lambda_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_D} \frac{(N_i - fN_i^0)^2}{fN_i^0} + (n_D - 1)$$

$$f = \begin{cases} (1 + \Delta N)^{-1} & \text{for } \bar{f} < (1 + \Delta N)^{-1}, \\ \bar{f} & \text{for } (1 + \Delta N)^{-1} < \bar{f} < 1 + \Delta N \\ 1 + \Delta f & \text{for } \bar{f} > 1 + \Delta N, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{f}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_D} \frac{N_i^2}{N_i^0} / (\sum_{i=1}^{n_D} N_i^0)$$

Backup









The bubble wall needs the little bump in potential shape

J.M. Cline, hep-ph/0609145 D.E. Morrissey, M.J. Musolf, NJP14(2012)125003