

Jan, 2015

HIGGS PHYSICS AT HADRON COLLIDERS - FROM LHC TO A 100 TEV MACHINE

Tao Liu

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Where is New Physics?



"The world is full of things which nobody by any chance ever observes." - Sherlock Holmes





With the discovery of the 125GeV Higgs, how can the HL-LHC or a next-generation hadron collider assist us in exploring NP via Higgs measurements?



- Baryon asymmetry may be generated during EW phase transition, if strongly first order electroweak baryogenesis (EWBG) [see talk by J. Shu]
- Strongly correlated with Higgs physics:
 - The related CP-phase must enter Higgs couplings
 - A strongly first order EWPT generically requires a modified Higgs potential

Strongly First Order EWPT



Need to generate a bump for the Higgs potential at high temperature.

- Not achievable in the SM
- How to achieve it in BSM physics?



Mechanism (1): Higher Dim Operators



$$V(H) = \mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \kappa (H^{\dagger} H)^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (H^{\dagger} H)^3$$

- A negative kappa helps achieve EWPT of strongly first order
- Leads to a tri-Higgs coupling at zero temperature

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm SM}} = 1 + \frac{2v^4}{m_h^2 \Lambda^2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

[A. Noble and M. Perelstein, '07]

Hī



Mechanism (2): BSM Scalar (No Mixing with Higgs)



$$V = \frac{1}{2}M_0^2 S^2 + \zeta^2 |H|^2 S^2$$

[A. Noble and M. Perelstein, '07]

 $\lambda/\lambda_{\rm SM}$ \blacksquare A T * h^3 term can be induced via loop correction

- If colored or electrically charged, may modify Higgs gauge couplings and be probed at HL-LHC or ILC/CEPC [A. Katz, M. Perelstein, '14, also see talk by L.T. Wang]
- Numerically, 20% or more shift in tri-Higgs coupling



Mechanism (3): Higgs-Singlet Mixing



[S. Profumo, M. Ramsey-Musolf et. al. '14]

$$V^{t}(H,S) = -\mu^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \lambda^{\prime}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \frac{a_{1}}{2}H^{\dagger}HS + \frac{a_{2}}{2}H^{\dagger}HS^{2} + \frac{b_{2}}{2}S^{2} + \frac{b_{3}}{3}S^{3} + \frac{b_{4}}{4}S^{4}$$
$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\rm SM}} = \frac{1}{35}\left(\lambda^{\prime}v\cos^{3}\theta + \frac{1}{4}(a_{1} + 2a_{2}x_{0})\cos^{2}\theta\sin\theta + \frac{1}{2}a_{2}v\cos\theta\sin^{2}\theta + \frac{b_{3}}{3}\sin^{3}\theta + b_{4}x_{0}\sin^{3}\theta\right)$$

Multiple contributions => broad range of lambda



Mechanism (3): Higgs-Singlet Mixing



[S. Profumo, M. Ramsey-Musolf et. al. '14]

$$V^{t}(H,S) = -\mu^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \lambda \left(H^{\dagger}H\right)^{2} + \frac{a_{1}}{2}H^{\dagger}HS + \frac{a_{2}}{2}H^{\dagger}HS^{2} + \frac{b_{2}}{2}S^{2} + \frac{b_{3}}{3}S^{3} + \frac{b_{4}}{4}S^{4}$$

The measurements of the tri-Higgs coupling provides an efficient probe for the EWPT strength and a direct test of the EWBG feasibility



Di-Higgs Production in the SM





\sqrt{s} [TeV]	$\sigma_{gg \to HH}^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{qq' \to HHqq'}^{\text{NLO}} \text{[fb]}$	$\sigma_{q\bar{q}' \to WHH}^{\text{NNLO}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{q\bar{q}\to ZHH}^{\rm NNLO}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{q\bar{q}/gg \to t\bar{t}HH}^{\rm LO} [\rm fb]$
8	8.16	0.49	0.21	0.14	0.21
14	33.89	2.01	0.57	0.42	1.02
33	207.29	12.05	1.99	1.68	7.91
100	1417.83	79.55	8.00	8.27	77.82

[J. Baglio, A. Djouadi et. al., '13]

Rare process! Mainly studied via the pp -> hh production





Current Focus: pp -> hh



Good: simple topology; large production xsection (golden channel hh -> bb +di-photon, [see talk by W. Yao])

- 🗵 Bad: negative correlation with lambda; with a positive shift in lambda
 - 🗵 Lower signal rate
 - Weaker dependence on the tri-Higgs coupling



Complementary Method: pp -> tthh



- Positive correlation with tri-Higgs coupling in the SM neighborhood
- 🗵 With a positive shift in lambda
 - 🗵 higher signal rate
 - stronger dependence on the tri-Higgs coupling

[TL, H. Zhang, '14]





\sqrt{s}	$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}hh$	$pp \rightarrow hh$
$14~{\rm TeV}$	$0.981^{+2.3+2.3\%}_{-9.0-2.8\%}$	$34.8^{+15+2.0\%}_{-14-2.5\%}$
$100 { m TeV}$	~ 90	~ 1200

pp > tthh > ttbbbb VS pp > hh > bb + di-photon

Relatively low Xsection * high Br = 300 ab Relatively high Xsection * low Br = 35 ab

☑ HL-LHC: > 2.0 sigma statistical significance is achievable; [TL, H. Zhang, '14]

Comparable to that of the pp -> hh -> bb + diphoton (cut-based): 1.3-2.3 sigma [Y. Yao, '13; V. Barger et. al. '13; ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-019]





Tri-Higgs Coupling Measurement via pp -> tthh



Assuming that NP enters tri-Higgs coupling only, if any

Mechanism (1) and (2): HL-LHC shows a good sensitivity

Mechanism (3): HL-LHC shows some sensitivity



Gains from 100 TeV pp Collider



15

The pp ->tthh production increases fast, as the energy scale increases, making more channels be accessible: tt + bbbb,bb diphoton, bb tautau, etc

- What is the best strategy for di-Higgs measurement?
- To what extent can we test EWPT of strongly first order?



- An extended Higgs sector extensively exists in NP
 - Singlet: SM + S
 - 🗵 Doublet: 2HDM, MSSM
 - 🗵 Triplet: Type II see-saw, L-R model
 - 🗵 Mixture: 2HDM + S, NMSSM
- ☑ For illustration, we focus on the MSSM Higgs bosons (no CP-violation assumed): H, A, Hc
 - Higgs mass spectrum and couplings only depend on two parameters (in additional to the SM ones) at tree-level: tan_beta, mA/mHc
 - So we can make a sensitivity projection on mA/mHc tan_beta plane
 - S Assume a decoupled spectrum of superparticles.





Neutral Higgs Bosons in the MSSM



[A. Djouadi, '13]

☑ High tan_beta: pp -> bb H/A -> bb tautau, bbbb

Low tan_beta: pp -> H or A -> VV, hZ, tt, etc.

What are the gains from 100 TeV pp-collider?





Gains from 100 TeV pp-Collider



- ☑ New mass domain (1-10 TeV scale) becomes accessible
- Production xsection of bbH/A (TeV scale) : roughly two order increase
- H/A -> tt is fully turned on, whereas H -> VV, hh and A -> hZ are suppressed

 $g_{HVV} = g_{hZA} = g_{hW^{\mp}H^{\pm}} = g_{Hhh} \propto \cos(\beta - \alpha) \to 0$





Gains from 100 TeV pp-Collider



Particularly, the difficult wedge region (centered around tan_beta ~ 5) can be efficiently probed by pp -> bbH/A -> bbtt

[J. Hajer, Y.-Y. Li, TL, F.H. Shiu]

[]



With 30/ab of data, excluded up to ~ 6TeV via bbH/A->bbtt, based on semileptonic tt decay (for tan_beta ~ 5-10)

Small tan_beta: gg -> H, ttH, with H -> tt have a good sensitivity (in progress)

20

Large tan_beta: bbH -> bbtautau continues to play a significant role



Currently, pp -> tb Hc -> tb tau nu plays a leading role for mHc > mt + mb

21

$$g_{H^+\bar{\nu}l} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}v} m_l \tan\beta(1+\gamma_5)$$



Gains from 100 TeV pp-Collider



- ☑ New mass domain (1-10 TeV scale) becomes accessible
- Production xsection of tbHc (mHc of TeV scale) : roughly two order increase
- Mc -> tb dominates (particularly for low tan_beta)

$$g_{H^+\bar{u}d} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}v} V_{ud}^* [m_d \tan\beta(1+\gamma_5) + m_u \cot\beta(1-\gamma_5)]$$





Gains from 100 TeV pp-Collider



The difficult low tan_beta region can be probed via pp -> btHc -> btbt

[J. Hajer, Y.-Y. Li, TL, F.H. Shiu]





With 30/ab of data, excluded up to ~ 5 TeV (semileptonic tt decay) via tbHc ->tbtb, for both large and small tan_beta regions

For intermediate tan_beta, a smarter way is needed



Forward VS Central for 100 pp Collider





- With a forward detector
 - More rapidity units is covered
 - more instrumentation like tracker is affordable
- Higher sensitivities or stronger exclusion limits are expected
- Technically feasible (cal, e.g., up to 7.0)?















- In a more general context, to reconstruct the full Higgs potential, we need to measure Higgs quartic self-coupling
- The relations among Higgs mass, its quartic and the cubic couplings are model dependent

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\rm D6} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{f_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i \quad \mathcal{O}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) \ \partial^{\mu} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = -\frac{1}{3} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^3 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm mass} &= -2\lambda v^2 \left(1 - \frac{f_1 v^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{3f_2 v^2}{16\Lambda^2 \lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-4}) \right) H^2 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm self} &= -\frac{m_H^2}{2v} \left[\left(1 - \frac{f_1 v^2}{2\Lambda^2} + \frac{2f_2 v^4}{3\Lambda^2 m_H^2} \right) H^3 - \frac{2f_1 v^2}{\Lambda^2 m_H^2} H \partial_{\mu} H \partial^{\mu} H \right] \\ &- \frac{m_H^2}{8v^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{f_1 v^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{4f_2 v^4}{\Lambda^2 m_H^2} \right) H^4 - \frac{4f_2 v^2}{\Lambda^2 m_H^2} H^2 \partial_{\mu} H \partial^{\mu} H \right] \\ (\text{impossible}): gg \to \text{hhh}, 0.4 \text{fb} \end{split}$$

IOO TeV (extremely challenging): 4fb; ~ 10 events for hhh -> bbbb + diphoton
 New strategies are needed!

X

HL-LHC



The di-Higgs production may receive contributions from various sources. E.g, both pp > tthh or pp > hh can be kinematically modified by $t\bar{t}hh$



☑ How to disentangle the contributions of tri-Higgs coupling and other NP to di-Higgs production?

For recent studies on the case of pp > hh, e.g, see [C. Chen and I. Low. '14]