

A Conservative Perspective on

Physics BSM

— talk at FHEP/IAS-HKUST(Jan 2015)

OTTO C. W. KONG

— Nat'l Central U, Taiwan

Philosophical :-

Paul Feyerabend : Against Method

— *the only principle that does not inhibit progress is anything goes*

- don't censor scientific endeavour
- *however*, individuals should have **personal perspectives**
discussions/debates necessary

Educated guess Vs *Shooting in the dark / Blindly following*

- **everyone is qualified** to speak up
- ★ intend to provoke discussions

Going beyond the SM — *model-building* :-

not (complementary) model independent constraint analyses

- has to be **bottom-up** (the energy scale)
down to earth/the colliders (experimental reach)

ALL THEORIES ARE EFFECTIVE THEORIES

- **not much about m_ν , CPV, dark matter**
 - ⇐ sources as easy bi-product
 - ⇐ $m_\nu \leftrightarrow$ CPV : leptogenesis \rightarrow baryogenesis
 - ⇐ little use as guide
- **against unification**
 - beautiful idea : too high scale
 - ? missing pieces; ?? QFT (-like) framework

SIMPLICITY *and* *BEAUTY*

Physics Beyond the Standard Model

WHY ?

WHAT ?

WHERE ?

-
- **Theoretical** — hierarchy problem, couplings *
 - **Experimental** — m_ν , Δa_μ , B physics, Higgs (?)

★ **Flavor Problems**

Standard Model :-

- gauge symmetry
- anomaly free chiral fermion spectrum
- a Higgs multiplet for EW symmetry breaking
- accidental global symmetries

The Gauge Symmetry :-

- dictates interactions, gauge bosons
 - may dictate all fields (massless)
 - + supersymmetry, may dictate all matter content
 - scalar field — problematic/arbitrary
- ★ Flavor Problem(s) *Why 3 families ? ! .*

★ Gauge Symmetry fixes spin 1 sector

★ The Story of Fermions . . .

— **3 families** of **15** spin $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum fields (**Weyl 2-spinors**)

under $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

not 4 (Dirac) particles

- $(3, 2, 1) :$ u u u d d d
- $(\bar{3}, 1, -4) :$ \bar{u} \bar{u} \bar{u}
- $(\bar{3}, 1, 2) :$ \bar{d} \bar{d} \bar{d}
- $(1, 2, -3) :$ ν e^-
- $(1, 1, 6) :$ e^+

— **minimal chiral set free from all gauge anomalies**

completely nontrivial cancellation (Vs vectorlike pairing)

SM fermion field spectrum for one family :-

minimal chiral set with completely nontrivial anomaly cancellation

Geng & Marshak (89)

— less than appreciated well enough

• taking $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

• assuming a $(3, 2, 1)$ multiplet

— $SU(3)$ requires $(\bar{3}, 1, a)$ and $(\bar{3}, 1, b)$

— $SU(2)$ requires an extra $(1, 2, c)$

— $U(1)$ anomalies have no solution

→ adding a $(1, 1, k)$ give *the unique solution*

★ idea extended to derive the 3-family spectrum O.K. MPLA11, PRD55 (97)

? alternative solution :

- $(3, 2, 0)$: q^+ q^+ q^+ q^- q^- q^-
- $(\bar{3}, 1, -1)$: \bar{q}^- \bar{q}^- \bar{q}^-
- $(\bar{3}, 1, 1)$: \bar{q}^+ \bar{q}^+ \bar{q}^+
- $(1, 2, 0)$: missing/**needed** BUT *not chiral*
- $(1, 1, 0)$: missing/no need

— some lectures on EW (from famous theorist)

“What would be the simplest fully chiral representation of give an anomaly free theory? ...

... **one generation of the Standard Model, ... fails by little ...**

The simplest representation is in fact a charge 1/2 quark, ...”

- **Witten’s anomaly requires at least $(1, 2, 0)$, ...**

Principle of Gauge-Chiral Fields

Why there is what there is — why the list ?

- gauge symmetry / canceled anomaly \implies full Lagrangian
- massless (before symmetry breaking)
 - if massive, at model cut-off scale / decoupled
 - Georgi : survival hypothesis (79)
 - no (non-chiral) scalars (SUSY \implies chiral scalar)
- ‘chiral matter’ + gauge bosons (**DICTATED**)
 - all fields massless by gauge symmetry
- **SM — two problems**
 - needs EWSB : dynamical symmetry breaking; & SUSY (?)
 - the most fundamental mystery : *Why Three Families ?*

- against vectorlike pair – Georgi’s survival hypothesis
invariant mass at cutoff scale
- SM \rightarrow BSM — hierarchy/fine-tuning problem
scalar field is somewhat sick
- scalar field content — only part arbitrary (*cf.* gauge symmetry)
- SUSY — **technically** natural hierarchy
scalar as (part of) **chiral** superfield (**constrained as fermions**)
Vs

BUT μ -problem — vectorlike pair of Higgs superfields

- **SNJL models solve our problem**
— and avoid fine-tuning of “four-quark” coupling(s)

Energy scale issue :-

- **cutoff is 'natural'**; Vs conformal theories
- other small scales should be generated

- **need SSB – w/o put-in scale**

— NJL gives best/simplest DSB framework

— SNJL : bi-superfield condensate

HSNJL model— viable version for MSSM

O.K. *et.al.* PRD81 (10), JHEP01 (12), PRD87 (13)

— **fully chiral background (cf. HSNJL)**

Supersymmetric SM :-

- SUSY is a beautiful symmetry
- one compelling model for TeV scale

R-parity Violation (bottom-up !) —

- early days — faith in baryon and lepton number conservation
- (global) discrete symmetry — *theorists' pretence of elegance in discarding arbitrary admissible terms in an Lagrangian ?*
- given SUSY — simplest, natural, way to have neutrino masses

★ flavor problems —> more complicated

- μ -problem — vector-like Higgs superfields !

— NJL as a solution (interesting viable SUSY version)

SUSY (w/o R parity) Flavor Physics:-

- one Higgs from L_0 an extra 'lepton' flavor

O.K. *et. al.* PLB430 (98)

— clarifying the flavor basis issue \implies better formulation

phenomenology from all RPV combinations : O.K. *et. al.* (98-13)

e.g. fermion EDM at 1-loop, $h \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

Keum & O.K. PRL86 (01), Choi *et. al.* PRD63 (00)

Arhrib, Cheng, & O.K. PRD87 (13), EPL101 (13)

- for λ_{ijk} and λ'_{ijk} being key source of m_ν

\implies no hierarchy or anti-hierarchy down the families

O.K. MPLA14 (99)

- ? flavor structure among soft SUSY breaking terms

Extending SM (vertical) Gauge Symmetry :-

- adding quarks and leptons w/o extending symmetry
 - essentially a *no go*
- extending EW symmetry
 - interesting flavor physics, **flavor \neq family**
- little Higgs as models of extended EW symmetries
 - ? **interesting option** of TeV scale effective field theory
- ★ ? ‘**flavor symmetry**’ when **flavor \neq family**

Anomaly Free Gauged $SU(N)_L \times U(1)_X$ Models :-

— family non-universal SM embeddings : cancellation among them

- some in the literature

— Frampton PRL69 (92), Singer *et.al.* PRD22, Pisano & Pleitez PRD46, Foot *et.al.* PRD50

- infinite number exist under simple construction rules !

O.K. IJMPA20 (05)

Compatible with little Higgs ?

— works for simplest Higgs little type of models

Kaplan & Schmaltz JHEP10 (03)

- *one* for $N = 3$, *more* for $N = 4$

O.K. PRD70 (04), IJMPA20 (05)

The Construction Rules :-

- (t, b) containing Q^a as $(3, N, X_Q)$
- other quark doublets in $(3, \bar{N}, X_{Q'})$
- because $N_f = N_c \longrightarrow SU(N)_L$ anomaly cancels
by $3 N$'s - $6 \bar{N}$'s + 3 family universal leptonic $(1, N, X_L)$'s
- $[SU(N)_L]^2 U(1)_X$ anomaly with correct doublet embeddings
 $N_c X_Q + 2 N_c X_{Q'} + N_f X_L = 0$
e.g. (with $N = 4$)

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^3 + \frac{A}{3} \lambda^8 + \frac{B}{6} \lambda^{15} + X$$

\longrightarrow condition : $A + B + X_Q = \frac{1}{6}$ etc.

- add singlets to keep QCD & QED spectra vectorlike

331 little Higgs Model :-

— there is a solution (existence not *a priori* clear)

	Gauge anomalies					$U(1)_Y$ states	
	tX	LLL	LLX	CCX	X^3		
$(\mathbf{3}_C, \mathbf{3}_L, \frac{1}{3})$	3	3	1	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}[Q]$	$\frac{2}{3}(T)$
$2(\mathbf{3}_C, \bar{\mathbf{3}}_L, 0)$	0	-6	0	0	0	$2 \frac{1}{6}[Q]$	$2 \frac{-1}{3}(D, S)$
$3(\mathbf{1}_C, \mathbf{3}_L, \frac{-1}{3})$	-3	3	-1		$\frac{-1}{3}$	$3 \frac{-1}{2}[L]$	$3 \mathbf{0}(N)$
$4(\bar{\mathbf{3}}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, \frac{-2}{3})$	-8			$\frac{-8}{3}$	$\frac{-32}{9}$	$4 \frac{-2}{3}$	$(\bar{u}, \bar{c}, \bar{t}, \bar{T})$
$5(\bar{\mathbf{3}}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, \frac{1}{3})$	5			$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{5}{9}$	$5 \frac{1}{3}$	$(\bar{d}, \bar{s}, \bar{b}, \bar{D}, \bar{S})$
$3(\mathbf{1}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, 1)$	3				3	$3 \mathbf{1}$	(e^+, μ^+, τ^+)
Total	0	0	0	0	0		

★ realistic little Higgs models \implies *new picture on flavor physics*
 (also neutrino physics)

● may need to go to $SU(4)_L \times U(1)_X$ model (*also fine*)

$SU(4)_L \times U(1)_X$ little Higgs Model — 2nd example

	$U(1)_Y$ -states		
$(\mathbf{3}_C, \mathbf{4}_L, \frac{1}{6})$	$\frac{1}{6}[Q]$	$\frac{2}{3}(T)$	$\frac{-1}{3}(B)$
$2 (\mathbf{3}_C, \bar{\mathbf{4}}_L, \frac{1}{6})$	$2 \frac{1}{6}[2 Q]$	$2 \frac{-1}{3}(D, S)$	$2 \frac{2}{3}(U, C)$
$3 (\mathbf{1}_C, \mathbf{4}_L, \frac{-1}{2})$	$3 \frac{-1}{2}[3 L]$	$3 \mathbf{0}(3 N)$	$3 \mathbf{-1}(3 E^-)$
$6 (\bar{\mathbf{3}}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, \frac{-2}{3})$	$4 \frac{-2}{3} (\bar{u}, \bar{c}, \bar{t}, \bar{T})$		$2 \frac{-2}{3} (\bar{U}, \bar{C})$
$6 (\bar{\mathbf{3}}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, \frac{1}{3})$	$5 \frac{1}{3} (\bar{d}, \bar{s}, \bar{b}, \bar{D}, \bar{S})$		$\frac{1}{3} (\bar{B})$
$6 (\mathbf{1}_C, \mathbf{1}_L, 1)$	$3 \mathbf{1} (e^+, \mu^+, \tau^+)$		$3 \mathbf{1}(3 E^+)$

Flavor Structure of the 331-little Higgs Model(s) :-

- top Yukawa — $y_1 \bar{t}'_a \Phi_1 Q^a + y_2 \bar{T}'_a \Phi_2 Q^a \longrightarrow y_t \bar{t} h \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$
- bottom Yukawa — no $\bar{b} \Phi_i^\dagger Q^a$ but $\bar{b} \Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j^\dagger Q^a$
- extra S and D may be relevant to B (b) physics

★ others — from the gauge symmetry only

— u and c Yukawa $\rightarrow 1_L \Phi_i \Phi_j \bar{3}_L$

— d and s Yukawa $\rightarrow 1_L \Phi_i^\dagger \bar{3}_L$

— *family universal* leptonic Yukawa $\rightarrow \ell^+ \Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j^\dagger L$

- also extra **singlet neutrinos**

quark masses — admit generic mass matrices

note : L -handed quark mixings

$$\mathcal{L}_{top} = \lambda_1^t \bar{t}'_a \Phi_1 Q^a + \lambda_2^t \bar{T}'_a \Phi_2 Q^a$$

$$= f (\lambda_1^t \bar{t}' + \lambda_2^t \bar{T}') T + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_1^t \bar{t}' - \lambda_2^t \bar{T}') h \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q'} = \frac{1}{M} \lambda_{\alpha j}^u \bar{u}'_\alpha \Phi_1 \Phi_2 Q'_j = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}f}{M} \lambda_{\alpha j}^u \bar{u}'_\alpha h \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ d_j \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{down} = \lambda_{\beta j}^{d1} \bar{d}'_\beta \Phi_1^\dagger Q'_j + \lambda_{\beta j}^{d2} \bar{d}'_\beta \Phi_2^\dagger Q'_j + \frac{1}{M} \lambda_\beta^b \bar{d}'_\beta \Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2^\dagger Q$$

$$= f (\lambda_{\beta j}^{d1} \bar{d}'_\beta + \lambda_{\beta j}^{d2} \bar{d}'_\beta) D_j - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_{\beta j}^{d1} \bar{d}'_\beta - \lambda_{\beta j}^{d2} \bar{d}'_\beta) h^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ d_j \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{i\sqrt{2}f}{M} \lambda_\beta^b \bar{d}'_\beta h^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{M}^q = \begin{pmatrix} m^q & 0 \\ m^{Qq} & m^Q \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{---} \quad \begin{cases} 3+1 & \mathcal{M}^u \\ 3+2 & \mathcal{M}^d \end{cases}$$

SMALL PART OF THE STORY :-

- Z^0 boson couplings — $T_f^3 - Q_f \sin^2 \theta_W$
- mixings of states with different T_f^3 values
- CKM unitarity violation, FCNC,

$$\implies U_L^f = \begin{pmatrix} K^f & R^f \\ S^f & T^f \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{e.g.} \quad S^c \simeq \frac{-1}{m_T} m^{Tc}$$

$$g_L(c) = \frac{1}{2} [1 - |S_c|^2] - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \quad g_L(\bar{u}c) = \frac{1}{2} [-S_u^* S_c]$$

- hadronic Z -width gives < 0.014

3-family Models (with gauge-chiral fields ?) :-

- construction of minimal (?) chiral (fermion) spectrum
with extended (gauge) symmetry
- require consistent SM embedding
 - 1 fully chiral spectrum —— + **SSB**
 - ⇒ 3 SM families + vectorlike SM fermions
- note: extending embedding to kill all anomaly always possible
 - spectrum may be huge (*esthetic !*)
 - yielding new chiral SM fermion is phenomenologically fatal
- beyond $3N1$ stories, $N321$ models

e.g. $SU(4)_A \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X$

multiplets	X	Gauge anomalies					$U(1)_Y$ states	
		t-1	441	331	221	1^3		
$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})$	1	24	6	8	12	24	3 $\mathbf{1}(Q)$	-5(Q')
$(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})$	5	60	15	20		1500	3 $\mathbf{-4}(\bar{u})$	2(\bar{d})
$(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$	3	24	6		12	216	3 $\mathbf{-3}(L)$	3(\bar{L})
$(\bar{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	9	36	9			2916	3 $\mathbf{-6}(\bar{E})$	0(N)
$(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	-18	-108	-36			-34992	3 $\mathbf{6}(E)$	3 $\mathbf{12}(S)$
$(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2})$	-10	-60		-20	-30	-6000		5(\bar{Q}')
$(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})$	-4	-12		-4		-192		2(\bar{d})
$(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})$	-4	-12		-4		-192		2(\bar{d})
$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$	6	12			6	432		-3(L)
3 $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	24	72				41472		3 $\mathbf{-12}(\bar{S})$
3 $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	-12	-36				-5184		3 $\mathbf{6}(E)$
<i>Total</i>		0	0	0	0	0		

Back to Horizontal Symmetry

— $SU(3)_H \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

	<i>Scheme I</i> $U(1)_Y$ -states	<i>Scheme II</i> $U(1)_Y$ -states
$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})$	3 $\mathbf{1}(Q)$	3 $\mathbf{1}(Q)$
$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})$	3 $\mathbf{2}(\bar{d})$	3 $\mathbf{-4}(\bar{u})$
$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},)$	3 $\mathbf{-3}(L)$	3 $\mathbf{-3}(L)$
$(\bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	3 $\mathbf{-6}(\bar{E})$	3 $\mathbf{-12}(\bar{S}'')$
3 $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})$	3 $\mathbf{-4}(\bar{u})$	3 $\mathbf{2}(\bar{d})$
3 $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	3 $\mathbf{6}(E)$	3 $\mathbf{6}(E)$
3 $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$	3 $\mathbf{6}(E)$	3 $\mathbf{12}(S'')$

- simple gauge version of horizontal(/family) symmetry
- 3 SM families in one minimal chiral fermion spectrum

Summary of Basic Models :-

- NJL (1961)

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = i\bar{\psi}_+\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_+ + i\bar{\psi}_-\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_- + g^2\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_-\psi_+\psi_-$$

- SNJL (1982) — dim 6 four-superfield interaction

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\psi = & \int d^4\theta \left(\Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_+ + \Phi_-^\dagger\Phi_- \right) (1 - \tilde{m}^2\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2) \\ & + \int d^4\theta g^2\Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_-^\dagger\Phi_+\Phi_- (1 - \tilde{m}_c^2\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2)\end{aligned}$$

- HSNJL (2010) — dim 5 four-superfield interaction

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\psi = & \int d^4\theta \left(\Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_+ + \Phi_-^\dagger\Phi_- \right) (1 - \tilde{m}^2\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2) \\ & - \int d^2\theta \frac{G}{2}\Phi_+\Phi_-\Phi_+\Phi_- (1 + B\theta^2)\end{aligned}$$

Concluding Remarks :-

- **BSM flavor \neq family**; Vs horizontal/family symmetry
 - *how* is quite model dependent
- **Principle of gauge-chiral fields** may work
 - **need SUSY and/or DSB** (? NJL)
needs soft SUSY breaking (?)
- **(fermion) mass pattern may also be dictated**
 - higher-D operators give suppressed mass
- **need more imaginative ideas and deep thinking**
 - also experimental data (new particles)

Application to EW Symmetry Breaking :-

- top mode SM Miransky, W. Bardeen, . . . '89/'90 (Nambu)
 - infrared (quasi-)fixed point (IQFP) (Pendleton-Ross), Hill, Marciano, . . .
 - prediction : top mass > 200 GeV ; VEV – top condensate*

- supersymmetric NJL (formal – '82, SSM – '90)
 - $m_t = y_t \cdot v$, $m_b = y_b \cdot v'$; NJL predicts y not m ; $y_b < y_t$
 - other not very nice features as MSSM
 - lighter top fine, *but . . .* (172.1 GeV top, $\tan\beta < 1.5$)

- our **holomorphic SNJL** (alternative supersymmetrization)
 - non-chiral symmetric 4-superfield interaction *with t and b*
 - **superfield condensate** : both **scalar** and fermion condensate
 - $y_t < y_b$; nice , experimentally viable (LHC)

Nambu–Jona-Lasinio Model :-

- dynamical symmetry breaking
- four-fermion interaction

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\psi &= i\bar{\psi}_+\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_+ + i\bar{\psi}_-\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_- + g^2\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_-\psi_+\psi_- \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\psi - (\mu\phi^\dagger + g\psi_+\psi_-)(\mu\phi + g\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_-) \\ &= i\bar{\psi}_+\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_+ + i\bar{\psi}_-\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_- - \mu^2\phi^\dagger\phi - \mu g(\phi^\dagger\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_- + \phi\psi_+\psi_-)\end{aligned}$$

- auxiliary scalar field ϕ (no kinetic term)
- EL-eq for ϕ^\dagger gives ϕ as composite

$$\phi = -g/\mu\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_-$$
- $\langle\phi\rangle \neq 0 \implies$ symmetry breaking and fermion mass

Supersymmetrizing the NJL Model (Naively):-

- $i\bar{\psi}_+ \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_+ \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta \Phi_+^\dagger \Phi_+$
- $g^2 \bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\psi}_- \psi_+ \psi_- \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta g^2 \Phi_+^\dagger \Phi_-^\dagger \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
- $-\mu g \phi \psi_+ \psi_- \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \mu g \Phi \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
- $-\mu^2 \phi^* \phi \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \frac{\mu}{2} \Phi \Phi$

BUT :-

- $\phi = -g/\mu \bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\psi}_-$ implies

$$\mu^2 \phi^* \phi = -\mu g \phi \psi_+ \psi_- = g^2 \bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\psi}_- \psi_+ \psi_- \quad (\text{no SUSY !})$$
- **no nontrivial vacuum** without SUSY breaking

The Supersymmetric NJL Model :-

Buchmüller & Love 82

- $i\bar{\psi}_+\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_+ \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta \Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_+ (1 - \tilde{m}^2\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2)$
- $g^2\bar{\psi}_+\bar{\psi}_-\psi_+\psi_- \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta g^2\Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_-^\dagger\Phi_+\Phi_- \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta \Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1$
- $-\mu g\phi\psi_+\psi_- \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \mu g\Phi_2\Phi_+\Phi_-$
- $-\mu^2\phi^*\phi \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \mu\Phi_1\Phi_2$

BUT :-

- EL-eq for Φ_2 gives $\Phi_1 = -g\Phi_+\Phi_-$ implies

$$\int d^4\theta \bar{\Phi}_1\Phi_1 = \int d^4\theta g^2\Phi_+^\dagger\Phi_-^\dagger\Phi_+\Phi_-$$

- Φ_2 not the composite Φ_1 plays the Higgs superfield $\langle\Phi_1\rangle = 0$

An Alternative Supersymmetrization ?

Jung, O.K., Lee 2010

- $i\bar{\psi}_+ \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_+ \longrightarrow \int d^4\theta \Phi_+^\dagger \Phi_+ (1 - \tilde{m}^2 \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2)$
- $-\mu g \phi \psi_+ \psi_- \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \mu g \Phi_0 \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
- $-\mu^2 \phi^* \phi \longrightarrow \int d^2\theta \frac{\mu}{2} \Phi_0 \Phi_0$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left[(\Phi_+^\dagger \Phi_+ + \Phi_-^\dagger \Phi_-) (1 - \tilde{m}^2 \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2) \right] \\ + \int d^2\theta \left[\frac{\mu}{2} \Phi_0^2 + \sqrt{\mu G} \Phi_0 \Phi_+ \Phi_- \right] + h.c.$$

- consider superpotential $W = \frac{G}{2} \Phi_+ \Phi_- \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
 $\longrightarrow W = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{\mu} \Phi_0 + \sqrt{G} \Phi_+ \Phi_-) (\sqrt{\mu} \Phi_0 + \sqrt{G} \Phi_+ \Phi_-)$

With Holomorphic Four-Chiral Superfield Interaction :-

- $W = \frac{G}{2} \Phi_+ \Phi_- \Phi_+ \Phi_-$ contains no $g^2 \bar{\psi}_+ \bar{\psi}_- \psi_+ \psi_-$
- EL-eq for auxiliary superfield Φ_0 gives $\Phi_0 = -\sqrt{G/\mu} \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
 implies $\frac{\mu}{2} \Phi_0^2 = -\frac{\sqrt{\mu G}}{2} \Phi_0 \Phi_+ \Phi_- = \frac{G}{2} \Phi_+ \Phi_- \Phi_+ \Phi_-$
- $\langle \Phi_0 \rangle \implies \frac{G}{2} \langle \Phi_+ \Phi_- \rangle \Phi_+ \Phi_-$ Dirac mass for $\Phi_+ - \Phi_-$
- **kinetic term** for Φ_0 from wave-function **renormalization**
 through $\Phi_+ - \Phi_-$ loop with Yukawa vertices

Towards the MSSM :-

- consider $W = G \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{Q}^\alpha \hat{U}^c \hat{Q}'^\beta \hat{D}^c (1 + B\theta^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} W &\longrightarrow W - \mu (\hat{H}_d - \lambda_u \hat{Q} \hat{U}^c) (\hat{H}_u - \lambda_d \hat{Q}' \hat{D}^c) (1 + B\theta^2) \\ &= (-\mu \hat{H}_d \hat{H}_u + y_u \hat{Q} \hat{H}_u \hat{U}^c + y_d \hat{H}_d \hat{Q}' \hat{D}^c) (1 + B\theta^2) \end{aligned}$$

- **two composites** — $\hat{H}_u = \frac{y_d}{\mu} \hat{Q}' \hat{D}^c$ and $\hat{H}_d = \frac{y_u}{\mu} \hat{Q} \hat{U}^c$
- low energy effective theory looks like MSSM ($A = B$)
- symmetric role for \hat{H}_u and \hat{H}_d (also : $\mu \lambda_u \lambda_d = \frac{y_u y_d}{\mu} = G$)
 - numerical lifted through non-universal soft masses
 - expect $\langle h_u \rangle \gtrsim \langle h_d \rangle$ (Vs UBB in D -flat)

Non-perturbative Analysis of DSB :-

- Dirac mass parameter (\sim Higgs VEV) with SUSY breaking

e.g. Miller 83

$$\mathcal{M} = m - \theta^2 \eta$$

- superfield propagator with (soft) SUSY breaking

Scholl 84, Helayel-Neto 84

- superfield generating functional with SUSY breaking

$$\Gamma = \int \frac{d^4 p}{2\pi^4} \int d^2 \theta \Phi_+(-p, \theta) \Gamma_{+-}^{(2)}(p, \theta^2) \Phi_-(p, \theta) + h.c. + \dots$$

$$\implies \text{gap equation :} \quad -\mathcal{M} = \Sigma_{+-}^{(loop)}(p, \theta^2) \Big|_{\text{on-shell}}$$

(from supergraphs)

New Gap Equation Results (with nontrivial solutions) :-

- **SNJL** model

$$m = 2mg^2 I_1(|m|^2, \tilde{m}^2, |\eta|, \Lambda^2)$$

$$\eta = -\eta g^2 \tilde{m}_C^2 I_2(|m|^2, \tilde{m}^2, |\eta|, \Lambda^2)$$

— solution known considering only m , as $\eta = 0$, or $\tilde{m}_C^2 = 0$

Büchmüller & Ellwanger 84

— interesting general solution

- **HSNJL** model

$$m = \frac{\bar{\eta}G}{2} I_2(|m|^2, \tilde{m}^2, |\eta|, \Lambda^2)$$

$$\eta = \bar{m}G I_1(|m|^2, \tilde{m}^2, |\eta|, \Lambda^2) + \frac{\bar{\eta}GB}{2} I_2(|m|^2, \tilde{m}^2, |\eta|, \Lambda^2) .$$

— tightly coupled, cannot see solution when neglecting η part

Majorana Vs Dirac :-

- beyond Dirac mass generation

— HSNJL model has Majorana mass option

- $\frac{G}{2}\langle\Phi_+\Phi_-\rangle\Phi_+\Phi_-$ Dirac mass for $\Phi_+\Phi_-$

- $\frac{G}{2}\langle\Phi_+\Phi_+\rangle\Phi_-\Phi_-$ Majorana mass for $\Phi_-\Phi_-$

and $\frac{G}{2}\langle\Phi_-\Phi_-\rangle\Phi_+\Phi_+$ for $\Phi_+\Phi_+$ mass

- $\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta \left[\Phi_+^\dagger \Phi_+ (1 - \tilde{m}_+^2 \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2) + \Phi_-^\dagger \Phi_- (1 - \tilde{m}_-^2 \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2) \right]$
 $- \int d^2\theta \left[\frac{G}{2} \Phi_+ \Phi_- \Phi_+ \Phi_- - \mu (H_{++} + \lambda_- \Phi_- \Phi_-) (H_{--} + \lambda_+ \Phi_+ \Phi_+) \right] + h.c.$

Gap Equations for Majorana Mass Analysis :-

$$m_+ = \frac{\bar{\eta}_- G}{2} I_2(|m_-|^2, \tilde{m}_-^2, |\eta_-|, \Lambda^2)$$

$$\eta_+ = \bar{m}_- G I_1(|m_-|^2, \tilde{m}_-^2, |\eta_-|, \Lambda^2) + \frac{\bar{\eta}_- G B}{2} I_2(|m_-|^2, \tilde{m}_-^2, |\eta_-|, \Lambda^2)$$

$$m_- = \frac{\bar{\eta}_+ G}{2} I_2(|m_+|^2, \tilde{m}_+^2, |\eta_+|, \Lambda^2)$$

$$\eta_- = \bar{m}_+ G I_1(|m_+|^2, \tilde{m}_+^2, |\eta_+|, \Lambda^2) + \frac{\bar{\eta}_+ G B}{2} I_2(|m_+|^2, \tilde{m}_+^2, |\eta_+|, \Lambda^2)$$

• $\tilde{m}_+^2 = \tilde{m}_-^2 \implies m_+ = m_- , \eta_+ = \eta_-$ same equations as Dirac case

★ completing symmetry breaking/mass generation scenarios

• for $\tilde{m}_-^2 = 0$, no Majorana mass solution ($B = 0$)

Concluding Remarks :-

- **our HSNJL works** \longrightarrow
dynamical symmetry breaking, mass generation
- may provide **more interesting version of MSSM**
- key to analysis
 - **generating functional with SUSY breaking part**
 - maybe used for **spontaneous SUSY breaking**
- **completing Majorana Vs Dirac**
 - **split soft masses favor Dirac**
 - **important for MSSM**, also needed for m_t Vs m_b

THANK YOU !