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ABSTRACT

This paper describes a programme to map large-scale cosmic structures on the largest possible scales by using the Five hundred metre Aperture Spherical Telescope (FAST) to make a 21 cm (red-shifted) intensity map of the sky for the range 0.5 < z < 2.5. The goal is to map to the angular and spectral resolution of FAST a large swath of the sky by simple drift scans with a transverse set of beams. This approach would be complementary to galaxy surveys and could be completed before the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) could begin a more detailed and precise effort. The science would be to measure the large-scale structure on the size of the baryon acoustic oscillations and larger scale, and the results would be complementary to its contemporary observations and significant. The survey would be uniquely sensitive to the potential very large-scale features from inflation at the Grand Unified Theory (GUT) scale and complementary to observations of the cosmic microwave background.
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1. Introduction

It has long been recognised that mapping the 21 cm hydrogen intensity is a very good way to measure the very-large-scale perturbation power spectrum (Peterson et al. 2009), and that on scales above 8 to 10 Mpc, the hydrogen gas represents a fair and unbiased sample of the large-scale matter distribution (Chang et al. 2010).

Using the 21 cm line of hydrogen, observed over the entire sky and across a redshift range from 0 to 5, the large-scale structure of the Universe can be mapped in three dimensions. At higher redshifts, the Lyman-alpha line of hydrogen is likely to be a better mapping tool. However, 21 cm intensity mapping is still useful, since it provides a strong overlap and a more complete map. This can be accomplished by studying a specific intensity with a resolution ~ 5 Mpc, rather than the more detailed galaxy redshift surveys. Intensity mapping measures the collective emission of many galaxies without detecting and studying individual galaxies.

The Five hundred metre Aperture Spherical Telescope (FAST)¹ (Peng et al. 2009; Nan et al. 2011) is a multi-beam radio telescope scheduled for completion in 2016, which can potentially be used for 21 cm surveys.

FAST is currently under construction in Quizhou province, in southwest China. It will have 4600 triangular panels and will be similar to the Arecibo Observatory, using a natural hollow for the telescope dish. The telescope will use an active surface that adjusts shape to create parabolas in different directions, with an effective dish size of 300 m. It will be capable of covering the sky within a 40-degree angle from the zenith. Its working frequency will be 0.3 to 3.0 GHz, with a pointing precision of 4 arcseconds (see e.g. Nan & Li 2013 for technical specifications).

FAST data will be quite complementary to galaxy redshift and weak-lensing surveys. The FAST data do well at covering the large scales (> 10 Mpc, or k < 0.003 h Mpc⁻¹), while the galaxy and weak-lensing surveys are more precise at small scales (< 100 Mpc, k > 0.01 h Mpc⁻¹). The data set can be analysed to determine baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) features and power spectra, in order to address some important questions in cosmology, including dark energy, modified gravity, and inflation theory. The same data set can be used to search for and catalogue time-variable and transient radio sources.

Most importantly, studying the hydrogen emission is probably our only real tool, in addition to the cosmic microwave background (CMB), for studying perturbations at very large scales and to detect the features that might appear here for some inflationary potential models.

The large-scale structure of the Universe supplies crucial information about physical processes at early times. Unresolved maps of the intensity of 21 cm emission from neutral hydrogen HI at redshifts z ~ 1 to 5 are the best hope of accessing new ultra-large-scale information, which is directly related to the early Universe. It is possible to search for very-large-scale features induced by such processes as the GUT symmetry-breaking. An appropriate HI intensity experiment may detect the large-scale effects of primordial non-Gaussianity, placing stringent bounds on different models of inflation. It may be possible to place tight constraints on the non-Gaussianity parameter fNL, with an error close to σfNL ~ 1 (Camera et al. 2013).

¹ http://fast.bao.ac.cn/en
This paper describes a possible programme to map large-scale structures on the largest possible scales using FAST to make a 21 cm (red-shifted) intensity map of the sky. We focus on two anticipated science outputs: constraints on the primordial power spectrum on large scales, and BAO perturbations. The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2, we outline the aims of the survey programme. In Sect. 3, we describe the techniques and the instrument properties, including the system sensitivity, the pixel size, the drift and noise, the expected signal level, the resolution, and the foregrounds. We describe our two proposed survey strategies in Sect. 4. Our main results are presented in Sect. 5. We present our concluding remarks in the last section.

2. Goals and outline

The possibility of performing a low-redshift spectroscopic galaxy redshift survey using FAST has been described in Duffy et al. [2008]. The goal we describe here is to make an intensity map of 21 cm hydrogen emission over a significant redshift range (z from about 0.5 to 2.5, which corresponds to a frequency coverage of about 400 MHz to 1 GHz) with sufficient angular and frequency resolution to observe both the BAOs and any features in the very-large-scale primordial perturbation spectrum.

We show that mapping a large swath of the sky to the angular and spectral resolution of FAST by simple drift scans with a transverse set of beams can be sufficient to meet these goals. This paper outlines the main features necessary: receivers with bandwidths stretching from 1.42 GHz (1 GHz would probably be sufficient to extract most of the scientific information as the volume at lower redshifts is not very large) to as low as 0.3 GHz at 1.4 GHz, with sufficiently high angular and frequency resolution to observe both the BAOs and any features in the very-large-scale primordial perturbation spectrum.

It would be best to have a system temperature of about 20 K. The aim should be for a complete system with an effective noise temperature of about 20 K.

3. Concept and techniques

3.1. Required system sensitivity

The relative brightness from the major background of synchrotron radiation is estimated to be about 0.3 to 1 K at 1.4 GHz near the Galactic plane, down to a substantially lower value as we move well off the plane (see e.g., [Morrison et al. 1979] for detailed figures). At 0.41 GHz, it is about 3 to 10 K. This means that the total background temperature including CMB is about 4 to 15 K and that the receivers should not contribute significantly to this total, or it would slow the mapping speed of the telescope. The aim should be for a complete system with an effective noise temperature of about 20 K.

3.2. Pixel size, volume, and sensitivity

We consider a pixel size of 5 h⁻¹ Mpc as our standard-sized comoving sample. (This gives a Nyquist-size sample for 10 h⁻¹ Mpc wavelengths and above.) Assuming an integration time tpix of 4 seconds per pixel, the Earth’s rotation will have swept through about 1 arcmin during this time interval. In 20 seconds the rotation of the earth sweeps through our canonical 5 arcmin, which in the redshift range of 1 to 2 typically gives the 5 h⁻¹ Mpc size. Thus a single drift scan integration time is about 20 seconds.

In the redshift direction, a 5 h⁻¹ Mpc in depth pixel has an error of δz = 5h⁻¹Mpc/c ~ 0.001, or 0.1 per cent. This gives us our pixel bandwidth Δf. Here, and throughout the text, h is the dimensionless Hubble parameter, H is the Hubble constant such that H = 100h km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, and c is the speed of light.

The 21 cm hydrogen line from a source at redshift z has a frequency of 1.42 GHz/(1+z). Using these figures, and assuming a total system temperature of 20 K, we have for each receiver channel

\[
\delta T_{\text{rms pixel}} = \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\sqrt{\Delta f/\text{tpix}}} = \frac{20}{(0.001 \times (1.42 \times 10^3/(1+z)) \times 20)^{1/2}} = 3.75(1+z)^{1/2} \text{ mK.} \tag{1}
\]

The BAO scale is around 100 Mpc. This means that we obtain a reasonable number of samples (about 400, and greater when the z direction is included) in each BAO feature and thus an expected noise of around 0.2 mK for a BAO feature. Even with the array of 20 receivers, we still lack by a factor of two in what we would
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Table 1. Main survey and instrument specifications. Most of these details are provided in [Nan et al. 2011] and Nan & Li (2013). Wherever our calculations assume some figures that are slightly different from the official FAST specifications, these are given in square brackets. We note that the project anticipates that more than 19 receivers and antennas will be built. The main factor that will determine this is the cost and effort of building and installing them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radius of reflector</td>
<td>300 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture of dish</td>
<td>500 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illuminated aperture</td>
<td>300 m [500 m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening angle</td>
<td>100 degrees to 120 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenith angle</td>
<td>40 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking range</td>
<td>4 to 6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency coverage</td>
<td>0.4 to 1.42 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total system temperature ($T_{sys}$)</td>
<td>20 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (L band)</td>
<td>Antenna effective area/system noise temperature $\sim 2000$ m$^2$K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution (L band)</td>
<td>2.9 arcmin [we assume 5 arcmin over the range 0.4 to 1.42 GHz]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beams (L band)</td>
<td>19 [we assume more than 19 receivers and feeds]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing accuracy</td>
<td>8 arcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time per pixel</td>
<td>4 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel bandwidth in $z$ direction</td>
<td>0.3 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redshift range of survey</td>
<td>0.5 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

desire for sensitivity. To gain this would require at least four days per elevation scan.

3.3. Drift and 1/f noise

The discussion thus far has only considered receiver white noise. In practice, for long periods there are drifts in the receiver performance, particularly in gain, that must be considered. This is generally known as 1/f noise or flicker noise since it is the dependence that does not integrate down and can leave long-wave signals in the observations. The standard technique is to make a differential observation or a correlation receiver, which again means differencing two portions of the sky or an equivalent temperature reference load. Ideally, something like the north celestial pole region would be used as the reference point (this is always visible from FAST), either in a difference (e.g. Dicke radiometer) or correlation receiver (see Battye et al. 2013). The Dicke radiometer causes a loss of a factor of two in sensitivity. With the correlation receiver we can break even or lose $\sqrt{2}$ in sensitivity.

Depending upon the receiver performance, we can use either one reference antenna pointing towards the north pole, or a very broad beam pointing vertically (slowly changing with the drift scanning and thus being a local rather than global switching). When the receivers are stable enough, or when a good-quality 5 by 5 array is used, the Dicke switching and thus sensitivity loss could be avoided. Digital processing of the data and array could then take out the 1/f noise.

A wide beam pointing directly upward might be considered with the high-resolution beam through the downward-pointing feed. This would give an average over the HI 21 cm emission that will be approximately the same over all the sky, and it would average out most of the extragalactic point sources. It also looks through the same column of atmosphere, allowing cancellation of that signal. However, the Galactic synchrotron emission varies on the very large scale on the sky so that there would be primarily a large quadrupolar feature in the observations plus an additional signal on the Galactic plane. This can probably be handled to first order by a smooth parameterisation modelling.

Frequency switching and/or using symmetric matching beams can be considered as references, but this requires a somewhat more complicated scanning and data processing system. However, it may well be worth the effort if the differential system over-complicates the receiver cabin. It would be good to have the knee or corner frequency below the switching frequency so that the additional noise is avoided. Without switching, the 1/f knee should be at the atmospheric knee to avoid adding noise.

The opposite direction differential correlation receiver system is probably the best option, but a switching differential will also work well. For the purposes of this paper we assume that a simple solution exists in this form and use the numbers to provide a scale and reference for a more detailed design.

Significant advances in room-temperature low-cost receivers with adequate noise figure have been made. In 2014 they cost as little as 60 yuan each. There have also been advances in FPGA that make processing the signal into 0.1 per cent frequency bands (roughly 1 MHz) readily achievable, so that adding about 20 receivers covering this frequency range to the existing system can easily be envisaged. The main cost is the effort of assembling and installing the receivers and antennas.

3.4. Expected signal level

There have been a number of estimates of the expected 21 cm intensity mapping signal level. A recent paper (Bull et al. 2015) has reported the effective HI brightness temperature $T_b$ split into a homogeneous and fluctuating part, such that $T_b = T_b(1 + \delta_{HI})$ and

$$T_b = \frac{3}{32 \pi} \frac{hc^3 \Omega_{HI}(z) \rho_c(0)}{k_BT_{\gamma_21}(1 + z)^2}$$

The fluctuations are given by

$$\Delta T^2(\theta_p, v_p) = T_b(z)\delta_{HI}(\mathbf{r}_p, z),$$

where $\theta_p$ is the two-dimensional direction of the signal, $v_p$ is the frequency, and $\mathbf{r}_p$ is the location in comoving space.
Here and throughout the text, $\Omega_{\text{HI}}$ is the HI density fraction, equal to $\rho_{\text{HI}}/\rho_c$, where $\rho_{c,0} = 3H^2/(8\pi G)$ is the critical density today. $\Lambda_{10}$ is the Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission, $m_p$ is the proton mass, $v_{21}$ is the frequency of the 21 cm emission, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $H(z)$ is the Hubble rate at redshift $z$.

The HI density contrast is

$$\delta_{\text{HI}} = b_{\text{HI}} \ast \delta_M,$$

(4)

where $\delta_M$ is the total matter density perturbation (cold dark matter and baryons), $b_{\text{HI}}$ is the bias, and $\ast$ denotes convolution. The current best estimate of the biased neutral hydrogen density (at $0.8$) is

$$\Omega_{\text{HI}} b_{\text{HI}} = 4.3 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-4}.$$ (5)

Assuming that the peculiar velocity gradient and $v/c$ terms are small for these large pixels, we finally obtain

$$T_b (\nu, \Delta \Omega, \Delta \nu) \approx \tilde{T}_b (z) \left( 1 + b_{\text{HI}} \delta_m (z) - \frac{1}{H(z)} \frac{dv}{ds} \right)$$

$$\tilde{T}_b (z) \approx 566 h \left( \frac{H_0}{H(z)} \frac{\Omega_{\text{HI}} (z)}{0.003} \right) (1 + z)^2 \mu K.$$ (6)

That is to say, the typical scale of the HI 21 cm signal is around 0.5 mK.

Here we used a signal model where we averaged over a radial bin and measured the power spectrum in redshift. This is where the 68 per cent confidence level at redshift 0

$$\Delta \Omega = 8.4 \pm 0.7 \text{rad}^2,$$

at redshift 0.8 (800 MHz). Along the BAO scales corresponds to angles of about $2 \, \text{arcmin}$, or more specifically, $5 \, \text{arcmin}$, regardless of its distance from the observer. Thus FAST could also be used to search for galaxy clusters in a way that is complementary to the SZ searches, with the advantage that mapping the intensity is a more direct and straightforward way to observe the large-scale structure.

The BAO scale corresponds to angles of about $2 \, \text{arcmin}$ and 4\(^2\) at $z = 0.8$ (see Table 2 for some specific values). The line of sight, at redshift 0.8 (800 MHz) the BAO scale corresponds to a correlation at $20 \, \text{MHz}$ separation, and at redshift 2.5 (400 MHz), it corresponds to a $12 \, \text{MHz}$ separation correlation. Here we anticipate $5 \, \text{arcmin}$, or more specifically, $5 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ sized pixels as a standard and thus have very many samples.

The BAO scale in $5 \, \text{arcmin}$, or more specifically, $5 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ sized pixels as a standard and thus have very many samples.

The angular resolution scales with redshift as $\theta (z) = 1.22 (z/d) = 1.76(1+z) \, \text{arcmin}$. Thus, the angular resolution increases from 1.76 arcmin to just over 5 arcmin at a redshift of 2, corresponding to a wavelength of $\lambda(z) = (1 + z) \, 21 \, \text{cm}$, or $\lambda_{z=2} = 63 \, \text{cm}$ corresponding to a frequency $f = 1.42 \, \text{GHz/(1+z)}$, or $f_{z=2} = 473 \, \text{MHz}$. For $z = 2.5$, these values are $f_{z=2.5} = 405.7 \, \text{MHz}$ and $\lambda_{z=2.5} = 73.5 \, \text{cm}$, and for $z = 0.5$, $f_{z=0.5} = 946.7 \, \text{MHz}$ and $\lambda_{z=0.5} = 31.5 \, \text{cm}$. Now it is possible to use the full 500 m aperture for the vertical drift scans. To extend the width of the survey, it is necessary to accept a lower illumination away from the centre and probably to build the proposed ground screen especially on the north side of the telescope to look farther south.

The angular resolution is related to the physical size of observed objects. In considering the relation between angular size and cosmological redshift for the purpose of our survey, we assumed the current $\Lambda$CDM concordance model of the Universe: flat, with a cosmological constant and cold dark matter content such that $\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$. For the current best-fit value of $\Omega_m \sim 0.3$, the angular size of objects in the range $1 < z < 3$ is relatively constant (see e.g. [Sahni & Starobinsky] 2000). For instance, a galaxy cluster with a size of about 1 Mpc will never subtend an angle less than about $4 \, \text{arcmin}$, regardless of its distance from the observer. Thus FAST could also be used to search for galaxy clusters in a way that is complementary to the SZ searches, with the advantage that mapping the intensity is a more direct and straightforward way to observe the large-scale structure.

The BAO scales corresponds to angles of about $2 \, \text{arcmin}$ and 4\(^2\) at $z = 0.8$ (see Table 2 for some specific values). The line of sight, at redshift 0.8 (800 MHz) the BAO scale corresponds to a correlation at $20 \, \text{MHz}$ separation, and at redshift 2.5 (400 MHz), it corresponds to a $12 \, \text{MHz}$ separation correlation. Here we anticipate $5 \, \text{arcmin}$, or more specifically, $5 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ sized pixels as a standard and thus have very many samples.

The BAO scale in $5 \, \text{arcmin}$, or more specifically, $5 \, h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ sized pixels as a standard and thus have very many samples.
include that part of the sky where Galactic foregrounds are minimal.

The CMB has a flat antenna temperature of about 2.72 K for the frequencies of interest here. This is not a concern here except for the added noise and the need for the HI emitters to be at a different temperature.

The atmospheric background has, at 1.42 GHz, a typical antenna temperature of about 2 K looking to the zenith. The signal generally decreases as the frequency squared and is thus less important for $z = 1$ by a factor of 4. The problem is the small variation and factors such as precipitation and mist or dew.

Table 2 shows the angular size for two critical comoving sizes: 10 $h^{-1}$Mpc and the BAO standard ruler of 150 $h^{-1}$Mpc. Since they are expanding, the angle does not stay constant as it does for the simple fixed physical distance of 10 Mpc. The comoving angle reaches relatively constant value at higher redshift. The cosmology assumed here is a flat universe with $\Omega_0 = 0.3036$ and $H_0 = 68.34$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

The main foreground is Galactic synchrotron emission. There is also some foreground on the Galactic plane, which is mostly HI thermal emission. We can approximate the signal from the Galactic synchrotron as $T_{\text{syn}} \sim (1 + z)^{-2.7}$ K; the range reaches from the north Galactic pole down to 10 degrees within the plane of the galaxy.

We can convert this into thinking in redshift $T_{\text{syn}} \sim (1 + z)^{-2.7}$ K. Thus, at very low redshifts the Galactic synchrotron is roughly $10^8$ to $10^4$ brighter than the strongest signal we expect and $10^7$ times the signal level we hope to observe. At a redshift of $z = 1$, that would be 5 times worse, and for $z = 2$, it would be 16 times worse. Thus we have to think about how to model and remove this foreground.

 Galactic synchrotron emission is radiation produced by high-energy cosmic-ray electrons above a few MeV spiralling in the Galactic magnetic field (e.g. Pacholczyk 1970; Banday & Wolfendale 1990, 1991). There is lower emission in relatively smooth regions away from the Galactic plane and Galactic loops. Based upon the synchrotron mechanism, we anticipate a running power-law in frequency for the Galactic synchrotron brightness temperature:

$$T_{\text{syn}} = A_{\text{syn}} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_c} \right)^{-\beta_{\text{syn}} - \Delta \beta_{\text{syn}} \log(\nu/\nu_c)} ,$$

(13)

where $A_{\text{syn}}$ is synchrotron brightness temperature at $\nu_c = 1$ GHz, and $\beta_{\text{syn}}$ and $\Delta \beta_{\text{syn}}$ are the spectral index and spectral running index, respectively. From the 408 MHz all-sky continuum survey of Haslam et al. (1981, 1982), Haverkorn et al. (2003) estimated the mean brightness temperature at 408 MHz to be $\sim 33$ K with temperature uncertainty of $\sim 10$ per cent. There is some uncertainty in the zero point of the map. After subtraction of the $\sim 2$ K contribution of the CMB and the $\sim 3.1$ K contribution of extragalactic sources (e.g. Bridle 1967; Lawson et al. 1987; Reich & Reich 1988), the diffuse synchrotron Galactic background is $\sim 27.2$ K at 408 MHz. For a spectral index of $\beta_{\text{syn}} = 2.4 \pm 0.24$ K at high Galactic latitudes, the brightness temperature has a minimum of $\sim 1$ K (Lawson et al. 1987; Reich & Reich 1988; Shaver et al. 1999). A wider range of estimates for the mean spectral index reaches from 2.6 to 2.8 (e.g. Bridle 1967; Willis et al. 1977; Lawson et al. 1987; Reich & Reich 1988; Banday & Wolfendale 1990, 1991; Tegmark et al. 2000; Platania et al. 2003) with indications for dispersion at each position on the sky that is due to distinct components along the line of sight (e.g. Lawson et al. 1987; Reich & Reich 1988; Banday & Wolfendale 1990, 1991; Shaver et al. 1999).

For estimation purposes, we chose a typical spectral index of $\beta_{\text{syn}} = 2.7$ with dispersion of 0.1 (Reich & Reich 1988; Shaver et al. 1999), and spectral running index of $\Delta \beta_{\text{syn}} = 0.1$ (Tegmark et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2006). Kosugi (2012) has analysed the synchrotron spectral index and fitted it with an index $\beta_{\text{syn}} = 2.6$ and $\Delta \beta_{\text{syn}} = 0.06$. There is clearly an allowed range, so in practice we use a number in the 2.6 to 2.7 range with some sort of weighted prior.

Free-free thermal emission comes from ionised regions in the interstellar medium (ISM), with electron temperatures of $T_e \geq 8000$ K. Again, the physical mechanism for free-free emission implies a good approximation is a running power-law in frequency for the Galactic free-free brightness temperature

$$T_{\text{ff}} = A_{\text{ff}} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_c} \right)^{-\beta_{\text{ff}} - \Delta \beta_{\text{ff}} \log(\nu/\nu_c)} ,$$

(14)

where $A_{\text{ff}}$ is the free-free brightness temperature at $\nu_c = 1$ GHz, and $\beta_{\text{ff}}$ and $\Delta \beta_{\text{ff}}$ are the spectral index and spectral running index, respectively. We can estimate $A_{\text{ff}} = 0.12 \pm 0.01$ K assuming a 10 per cent temperature uncertainty. At high frequencies ($\nu > 10$ GHz) the brightness temperature spectral index is $\beta_{\text{ff}} = 2.15$, while at low frequencies it drops to $\beta_{\text{ff}} = -2.0$ because of optically thick self-absorption (Bennett et al. 2003). Since the gas is optically thin above a few MHz, the brightness temperature spectrum is well described with a spectral index of $\beta_{\text{ff}} = 2.10 \pm 0.01$ (Shaver et al. 1999), and the spectral running index is $\Delta \beta_{\text{ff}} = 0.01$ (Tegmark et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2006).

Regarding extragalactic radio sources, the survey should use existing maps of radio sources and its own ability to distinguish point sources to block out those pixels containing them (see e.g. Seiffert et al. 2011; Fixsen et al. 2011). The problem of the confusion limit can be handled by the large beam size and power spectrum treatment and exclusion. We can discard the large signal sources, and there are many radio surveys with much higher angular resolution. Their confusion limit will be averaged over by the larger FAST beam size. We can make use of their frequency and spatial power spectrum to estimate and remove their effects.

The total emission of extragalactic foregrounds has been estimated both directly and from integrated source counts. At
150 MHz, its contribution to the contamination varies from \( \sim 30 \) K \cite{Willis1977,Card1979} to \( \sim 50 \) K \cite{Bridle1967,Lawson1987,Reich1988}. At 1 GHz (midway between \( z = 0 \) and \( z = 1 \)), the estimate is roughly 0.15 K. These foregrounds produce \( \sim 7 \) per cent of the total contamination on average, but can reach \( \sim 25 \) per cent at high Galactic latitudes, at the minimum brightness temperature of the diffuse Galactic emission.

Most foregrounds are due to radio point sources and are related to active galactic nuclei activity. Radio halos and radio relics are also significant foregrounds, but since they appear only in rich galaxy clusters, they are rare.

### 3.7. Removal of foregrounds

There is an extensive literature on the removal of foregrounds from 21 cm intensity mapping \cite[e.g.][]{Battye2013,Alonso2014,Bull2013,Shaw2014}. We argue here that the real science gain in general, and for FAST in particular, is 21 cm intensity mapping in the range \( 0.5 < z < 2.5 \), where the foreground emission is significantly lower and easier to understand.

The usual discussion of how to treat the diffuse Galactic foregrounds is that the synchrotron sources, radio sources, and HII emissions are very smooth in frequency. The 21 cm signal we seek and which we wish to observe varies rapidly with frequency. Thus we fit a smooth background composed of

\[
T_{\text{foreground}} = T_{\text{CMB}} + T_{\text{atm}}v^2 + T_{\text{syn}}v^{(2.7+\delta_{\text{syn}})} + T_{\text{ff}}v^{-2.1} + T_{\text{exp}}v^{-2.7} + \text{baseline polynomial.} \tag{15}
\]

Each of these can be fitted with a slightly varying index as we are not concerned with dividing the background into components as much as separating it from our desired signal. We can make a frequency dependence fit to each stack of pixels at a given direction on the sky and then produce a cleaned pixel stack as we fit over the redshift direction. We would then remove the baseline and obtain a differential temperature map of the anticipated 21 cm signal. In the fitting we can add the priors on the expected level of signal and probably just include the extragalactic signal as a portion of the synchrotron and the free-free emission, which reduces the number of parameters for which to fit.

Another approach is to carry out the 3D Fourier transform, and collapse the transverse directions on the sky and plot \( k_{\perp} \) versus \( k_{\parallel} \) amplitudes and then stamp out the galaxy as being all at very low \( k \).

The challenge in the foreground removal is that we may lose some of the very largest-scale power in the \( z \)-direction. There are typically around 700 data points in the redshift direction, and thus one has 700 pixel values plus about eight parameters to determine, which leaves us with some freedom and a reduction in power of the desired signal on the scales of the allowed degrees of freedom. This can be improved upon by a combined fit in three dimensions and allowing only the 21 cm signal and the extragalactic sources to vary on the small scales and requiring the other sources, such as CMB, atmosphere, synchrotron, and free-free emission, to vary only slowly in the transverse directions. For the atmosphere a monitor or atmospheric emission estimation system is required. The correlation system with larger angle vertical antenna can provide information on the timescales of the correlations, the frequency dependence, and the spatial dependence if so exploited, but correlations in the receiver array complex and other indicators may also be used. Likewise, regular calibrations or scans across various sources can provide information on the allowed baseline shapes and changes with time or position.

In general, there are the signals that do not change much with frequency, including the CMB and the receiver noise, and those with a fairly strong frequency dependence. These signal totals run on the scale of 30 K, and we aim to achieve the 1 mK level and thus need to make the subtraction or removal at the \( 10^{-4} \) level or better. This might be possible by examining the power spectrum in angle and particularly in frequency.

The foregrounds must be removed to one part in \( 10^4 \) to be below the thermal noise in the maps and to achieve the primary science goals. This will require a careful determination of the absolute calibration and of the beams as a smooth function of frequency.

Some of the important challenges (including side lobes, calibration, and cross-polarisation) are specific to the instrument. A precise estimate of these effects requires detailed engineering specifications, as well as observation strategy and conditions \cite[see][]{Dong2013}. As this information becomes available, accurate simulations of the instrument performance will become possible.

### 4. Survey strategy

For the purpose of this paper, we consider two indicative surveys. The first is a proof-of-concept 2D map. The second is a large-scale 3D perturbation map, for which we consider three variations. These are described below. Table 3 provides a list of the surveys referred to in this work, together with their survey configuration.

#### 4.1. Survey 1: proof-of-concept 2D map

We first consider the result of a continuous drift scan. That is, the scan is performed by pointing the FAST beams close to vertical and letting the Earth’s rotation sweep the beam across the sky at a rate of 15° per hour. The resulting survey volume has the shape of a very thin wedge (just 0.5 degrees in width), with one dimension given by the angle swept by the rotation of the Earth, and the one dimension given by the redshift. Since the volume is almost a plane, we refer to it as a 2D map. The observations made and the data are binned by angle on the sky and by frequency. We assume that we bin on the scale of 5 h^{-1} Mpc comoving scale in angle and 0.1 per cent in frequency, giving roughly the same smooth size pixels in both dimensions. After a few days of scanning, how would the slice of data appear? \cite{Peterson2009} carried out a simulation of the fluctuations in the brightness temperature of 21 cm emission from galaxies in a slice of the Universe, in which the emission is smoothed over an 8 h^{-1} Mpc scale. The redshift \( z \) and frequency of observation are related by \( f = 1.42 \text{GHz} / (1+z) \). This simulation assumes a simple power law of perturbations with random phase. This is the type of map that might be made with a set of drift scans looking at the zenith or near it. With the suggested receiver set for FAST, we expect to make such a 2D map with a colour depth of 1 bit (5 to 10 pixels), to a sensitivity or signal-to-noise ratio of 1 to 2 with about two weeks of scanning and serious effort at data processing and analysis to remove the foreground contamination. Such a map would provide a strong proof of concept and produce science results on the BAO scale and larger.

The map would be larger than the one shown in \cite{Peterson2009}, extending to 90° in angle and for 0.5 <
$z < 2.5$. The ultimate map would depend upon how stable the system is, however, and if data can be taken during daylight hours as well as at night.

We refer to this survey as survey 1. Even with this simple but deep survey, there are significant scientific results. It could measure both the large-scale perturbation spectrum and the BAO peaks fairly accurately over an extended redshift range.

### 4.2. Survey 2: large-scale 3D perturbation mapping

It is straightforward but time-consuming to move to 3D perturbation mapping. The angle to the zenith is changed in the north-south direction, and the Earth’s rotation provides another slice. This is achieved in FAST by moving the feeds and distorting the shape of the main dish to a parabola pointing in the direction set by the location of the feeds (see Hu et al. 2013, and Jiang et al. 2015 for details of the engineering). The result is a thick wedge whose width is given by the variation in this pointing angle. The other two dimensions are given by the rotation of the Earth and the redshift. We therefore refer to this as a 3D map.

The process is substantially sped up for a north-south linear array or receivers in the receiver cabin that provides several sections per daily rotation. For reasons of space and preventing cross-talk, the receivers’ feeds are probably offset so that we would have to interleave at least a pair of scans to obtain a completed swath. If the linear array were as large as 10 receivers in the north-south direction, then the aberration would not be too severe for the $\pm 5 \times 5 = \pm 25$ arcmin even though FAST is a very fast telescope with an f-number of about 0.5. To obtain a swath 40° wide would take about $N = 40^\circ/(n \times 5 \text{ arcmin}) = 48(10/n)$ days, where $n$ is the number of receivers in the north-south direction.

We refer to this survey as survey 2.

Again, we would aim to provide a detailed concept layout to determine whether a two-by-ten array or something like a five-by-five array would produce better performance for FAST, since its surface is actively deformed to produce a parabola for the direction of observation. Here, for both surveys 1 and 2, we assumed ten receivers.

### 5. Anticipated science

Most of the cosmology science output in intensity mapping comes from measuring the perturbations, in the map and power spectrum, and comparing them to theoretical predictions and expectations, or finding the parameters of the models. The precision to which the real space map can be made and the perturbation power spectrum can be determined decide the reach of the experimental observations.

Figure 1 shows the fractional errors for the two surveys versus wave number $k$. The two plots are for parameters of surveys 1 and 2.

Since the observations are sensitivity-limited, the errors decrease linearly with inverse of the observing time, but only with the inverse square root of the survey volume, and the first cut of each gives about the same errors. Since for short observing times the noise variance dominates, the much longer exposure time of survey 1 therefore makes up for the longer total exposure (but 14 times shorter per pixel) spread over a much larger volume and thus number of pixels. The 80 times larger volume does not quite make up for the 14 times shorter integration time per pixel. Survey 1 was chosen to reach about unity in the second term added to one at the power spectrum peak, so that it can only improve marginally there with more observing time. It would improve by 25 per cent for about double the proposed two-week run, compared to the more rapid return to 14 days, and it still improves away from the power spectrum peak. Survey 2, on the other hand, will reduce its fractional error with the inverse of the observing time in units of the base observing time. If survey 2 is going well and integrating down properly, then it therefore reaches diminishing returns in about 14 times the original mapping time. The lower curve shows the fractional error that would be achievable with two years of observations in survey 2 mode assuming a duty cycle of $> 80$ per cent. We also need to allow for the case that the effective system temperature is higher than 20 K or different scan and differencing or foreground removal strategy.

#### 5.1. Comparison with other surveys

It is relevant to compare the possible FAST surveys with other proposed surveys. Such a comparison is not without difficulty. Forecasts for different surveys use different assumptions. The accuracy of the forecasts depends considerably on detailed knowledge of the final design specifications. Again, this varies for different surveys. However, for the scope of this paper it is still pertinent to compare the different instruments to obtain a first-order measurement of the capabilities of FAST in relation to other surveys.

Figure 2 shows the nominal fractional sensitivity of various proposed surveys, quoted in different publications. This comparison is difficult because all the different proposed surveys quote their sensitivity using different binning schemes in $k$. We therefore show another plot in Fig. 3 where the FAST surveys have simple $\Delta k = k$ bins and the special Fig. 4 which has all surveys with the same 20 bins per decade, kindly provided by Philip Bull (see Bull et al. 2015).

#### 5.2. Motivated models of large-scale perturbations

The first anticipated science output of FAST is in testing models of large-scale perturbations. In the concordance model of cosmology, the growth of structure is seeded by primordial quantum perturbations that depend on some inflationary potential. To date, the model has used the slow-roll potential model, where the primordial power spectrum model is parameterised by a power

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Observing time</th>
<th>Declination strip width (degrees)</th>
<th>Observed sky area (sq. degrees)</th>
<th>Survey volume (Gpc$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>50 days</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>80°</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
law of the form
\[ p_S^{\text{lin}}(k) = A_i \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_i-1}, \]  
(16)

where \( A_i \) is the normalisation, \( k_0 \) is the pivot scale and \( n_i \) is the tilt. The latter is \( n_i = 1 \) for a scale-independent spectrum.

The most remarkable result to come out of the Planck mission so far is the 5σ statistical difference between the data and the scale-independent primordial power spectrum model (Planck Collaboration 2014, 2015). This strengthens and verifies the observed 'low power at large scales' anomaly first observed by COBE (Smoot et al. 1992, Hinshaw et al. 1996, Bond et al. 1998) and then further confirmed by the WMAP results (Spergel et al. 2003).

These observations lead us to conclude that the primordial power spectrum is scale dependent. The recent claim by the BICEP2 team (Ade et al. 2014) of the detection of primordial gravitational waves has reopened the crucial question of inflation, motivating the proposition of various models.

The Wiggly Whipped Inflation model (Hazra et al. 2014) seems to fit joint Planck and BICEP2 data (particularly the deviation from a smooth primordial power spectrum) better than other models. These fall in the general class of ‘just enough inflation’ models (Cicoli et al. 2014), which generically produce suppression of power on the largest angular scales and an oscillatory behaviour at scales around the Hubble parameter at the beginning of the slow roll inflation that covers most of the observations of CMB and large-scale structure.

The primordial power spectrum resulting from this model leaves an imprint on the large-scale structure at the present epoch. More importantly, it may show features at scales that can be probed by weak-lensing surveys such as the future Euclid mission.

One version of the Wiggly Whipped Inflation potential, referred to as second order in Hazra et al. (2014), has the form
\[ V(\phi) = \gamma \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^2 (\phi - \phi_0) \Theta(\phi - \phi_0), \]  
(17)

where \( \Theta \) is a Heavyside step function modified to be the smooth function
\[ \Theta(\phi - \phi_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \tanh \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{\Delta \phi} \right) \right], \]  
(18)

where \( \Delta \phi \) is a constant indicating the smoothness of the step and \( \phi_0 \) is the transition point of the inflation field.

To measure the features in the matter power spectrum that would be imprinted by this inflation potential, we need to determine any deviations from a power-law fit to the data. We therefore considered the ratio of the power spectra given by Wiggly Whipped Inflation and the simplest power-law inflation.

We calculated the full non-linear matter power spectrum \( P(k) \) using the publicly available Boltzmann code CAMB (Lewis et al. 2000), taking the Planck best-fit values for our fiducial cosmology: \( h = 0.7, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7, \Omega_F = -1, \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_b = 0.0462, \tau = 0.09, N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046 \). The power-law inflation parameterisation was set to Planck best-fit values, with \( n_s = 0.96 \) and \( k_0 = 0.05 \text{Mpc}^{-1} \).

The Wiggly Whipped Inflation potential was calculated using the numerical code wno (Hazra et al. 2013). We chose fiducial values that provided the best fit to a combination of Planck + WP + BICEP2 data. Thus we set \( \gamma = 2.68 \times 10^{-11}, \lambda = 5.2 \times 10^{-13} \) and \( \phi_0 = 14.59 \text{M}_{\text{Planck}} \).

In Figs. 5 and 6 we show the errors on this ratio given by the FAST survey considered in this paper for second-order Wiggly Whipped Inflation (Hazra et al. 2014). It is important to emphasise here that models with just enough inflation (50 to 60 e-folds) will generally show features in the regime 0.0001 < k < 0.002 and then wiggle beginning around \( k \sim 0.002 \text{Mpc}^{-1} \) and damping to larger \( k \). Observations bias the low \( k \) features on average to be a dip.

Figure 5 shows the perturbation power spectrum from Wiggly Whipped Inflation with the error bands for survey 1 (basically a 2D 21 cm intensity map). Figure 6 shows the same ratio for survey 2. In Fig. 7 we show this ratio with three versions of

**Fig. 1.** Fractional error in the power spectrum versus wave number \( k \) at a redshift of \( z = 0.9 \). Here we use a binning scheme with \( \Delta k = 1 \text{hMpc}^{-1} \). The two upper curves are for parameters from surveys 1 and 2, with only a first pass for survey 2. The lower curve is for survey 2, with an observing time of two years. The \( z \)-range is 0.5 to 2.5. The survey and pixel volume assume \( \Delta z = 0.3 \), which corresponds to our nominal frequency bandwidth of 1MHz.
Fig. 2. Comparison of different large-scale structure mapping surveys. The plot shows the fractional error in the power spectrum versus wave number $k$ for DESI, CHIME, SKA, and a stage IV Dark Energy Task Force experiment (DETF IV). We emphasise that this is not a direct comparison. Different experiments use different schemes for binning in $k$ and different redshift intervals and target redshifts. Here we merely show a snapshot of the capabilities of different surveys. The Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI, http://www.desi.lbl.gov) is a galaxy and quasar/Lyman-alpha survey that will measure the effect of dark energy on the expansion of the universe. It will obtain optical spectra for tens of millions of galaxies and quasars. The DESI estimated errors were provided by Pat McDonald (2014, priv. comm.). The DESI points are truncated to 0.5 per cent error for the small scales or larger $k$ as a rough estimate of potential systematic effects, which are essentially neglected in the other surveys at this point. The Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME) is a 21 cm survey (Bandura et al. 2014). The CHIME errors, calculated using the method in Seo et al. (2010), were provided by Kris Sigurdson (private communication). The DETF IV (survey aims specifically at measuring the BAO features very accurately to test for dark energy effects, but does not focus on testing the very-high-energy effects of inflation, namely, looking at the horizon scale at recombination and above, where the effects of early inflation and early universe phase transitions are most likely to be observed. The SKA (phase 1) and DETF fractional errors are obtained from Bull et al. (2015).

5.3. Observing BAO features

Another clear basic science output for FAST 21 cm intensity mapping is the observation of BAO features and the determination of cosmological parameters. The results can also be compared to other survey techniques, including galaxy and weak-lensing surveys.

In Figs. 8 and 9 we show the error bands for surveys 1 and 2 for $f_{BAO}$. This is the ratio of the power spectrum processed with BAO to a power-law spectrum plus a simple power-law cut-off for the processing suppression. $f_{BAO}$ is forced to zero outside the $k$ range of interest so as not to consider the possible low-$k$ perturbations and the more non-linear effects at large $k$. The error bands are given by $f_{BAO} \pm \sigma_P/P$. The fractional errors $\sigma_P/P$ are the anticipated statistical errors on the matter power spectrum.

Figure 10 shows the results that can be achieved with an idealised FAST survey (survey 2c).

Measuring the BAO features with accuracy and precision and more than one technique allows us to probe the nature of dark energy (the acceleration of the Universe), large-scale structure formation, dark matter effects and properties, and to test alternative theories of gravity. As shown here and in the two previous BAO figures, the FAST 21 cm intensity mapping has the potential of measuring these features well in the critical and scientifically powerful redshift range from $0.5 < z < 2.5$.

5.4. Anticipated ancillary science

Because of the unprecedented combination of a large collecting area, good angular resolution, and 24-hour operation, FAST will detect and monitor thousands of previously unknown transient sources. The bulk of radio transients that have been discovered...
Fig. 3. Different $\Delta k$ binning for the FAST surveys. The plot shows the fractional error in the power spectrum versus wave number $k$ at a redshift of $z = 0.9$ for the FAST surveys. All the other survey parameters are the same as for Fig. 1. Here we use a binning scheme for FAST where $\Delta k = k$ (see Eq. 7). The different FAST survey configurations are green for survey 1, red for survey 2, and blue for survey 2 with two years of observations.

Fig. 4. Yet another comparison of surveys, all with sky coverage of about 25 000 square degrees. The plot shows the fractional error in the power spectrum versus wave number $k$ and shows that the FAST and SKA1 survey (phase 1 of the SKA) are more directly comparable. SKA1-MID will carry out an intensity mapping survey, but SKA1-SUR is no longer planned (Santos et al. 2015; Bull 2016). The curve labelled Euclid effectively represents cosmic variance-limited observations over a similar redshift range. The survey has the same specifications as the DETF IV survey shown in the previous plots. This shows that the two programmes can approach cosmic variance limits in the central $k$ range but begin to suffer from limitations in the signal-to-noise ratio at low $k < 10^{-2}\ h\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and $k > 0.2\ h\text{Mpc}^{-1}$. This plot was provided by Philip Bull (private communication). All surveys use the same binning scheme: 20 bins per $k$–decade. 20 feeds are assumed for the two FAST surveys. The redshift ranges are as follows: the two FAST surveys (0.42 to 2.55), SKA1-SUR (0.58 to 2.55), Euclid (0.65 to 2.05), and CHIME (0.77 to 2.55). The CHIME sensitivity shown in Figs. 2 and 4 is calculated using a different technique to the one used here.

Fast observations will reach much deeper than the Parkes survey. If both CHIME and the FAST 21 cm survey are operating at the same time, then sharing catalogues and observations to date have been found using the Parkes Multi-beam survey\(^2\) (11 so far). Because of the small number of sources thus far observed, the luminosity function is very poorly constrained, and therefore precise projections of the detection rate are not possible.

\(^2\)http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/multibeam
of these sources will make both surveys more productive in this effort. CHIME has the advantage that it is scanning a large celestial latitude band, simultaneously providing more coverage and detection rate, while FAST has the advantage of a larger collecting area and thus signal-to-noise ratio on these events. The FAST intensity mapping is a transit mode: once a source is detected, the pulse pattern of the source can be searched for, both in future scans and retrospectively, in each day’s data. Given the recent success of radio-transient searches, it is reasonable to anticipate that completely new types of sources will appear in the FAST observations.

Because of its large field of view, FAST will provide the capability to time known pulsars, and eventually to search for new ones, especially in combination with other surveys (see e.g. Article number, page 11 of 15
Fig. 7. Example of the type of large-scale primordial perturbations expected for large field inflation affected by the GUT transition or other features. These example have the generic features of ‘just enough’ inflation (50-60 e-folds). The three curves are three versions of Wiggly Whipped Inflation (Hazra et al. 2014), best fit to CMB data: first-order version I (red), first-order version II (blue), and second order (green). The latter is the particular model used in the previous plots. The top panel shows the error bars for DESI (private communication from Pat McDonald) on the second-order Wiggly Whipped Inflation model. The lower panel shows the same inflation models, with the associated error bands (for all three curves) for an idealised FAST 2 survey extended to four years (survey 2c), with the sky coverage doubled. Note that 21 cm intensity mapping on large scales is an ideal efficient method to obtain high-quality data in this region.

Hobbs et al. (2014). FAST also has receivers in many bands, and a programme is in place for pulsar timing and dispersion/derispersion treatment. All pulsars in the mostly northern hemisphere will spend from five minutes to hours within the FAST intensity mapping fields of view. From a list of locations and dispersion measures, a data set of timing and scintillation for hundreds of pulsars will be produced.

Finally, FAST has the potential of contributing to the knowledge and understanding of the Galactic magnetic field. The effort in this field is led by the GMIMS experiment. The main objective of GMIMS [Global Magneto-Ionic Medium Survey (Wolleben et al. 2009)] is to make a map of the diffuse polarised emission over the entire sky. GMIMS will do this by mapping the polarised radio emission from the Milky Way in the frequency band 300 to 1800 MHz.

Data in this spectral range will reveal aspects of how magnetic fields regulate star formation and couple the energy released by stellar winds and supernovae to the interstellar medium. FAST, if polarisation information is included, will provide much more sensitive data over the middle part of this frequency band. By including more channels or dual polarisation, we could measure the linear polarisation of the sky observations. Dual-polarisation feeds could provide both the intensity...
Fig. 8. Focus on BAO features: perturbation power spectrum with error bands for survey 1, which is basically a 2D 21 cm intensity map. $f_{\text{BAO}}$ is the ratio of the processed with BAO power spectrum to a power-law spectrum plus simple power-law cut-off for the processing suppression.

Fig. 9. Focus on BAO features: the perturbation power spectrum with error bands for survey 2, which is the 3D 21 cm intensity map. The outer (red) errors are for the nominal 48-day observing first pass (survey 2a). The inner (blue) error band is for a two-year observing run (survey 2b) assuming that the data integrate down and full sensitivity is achieved and thus the errors on the power spectrum decrease inversely with observing time.

and polarisation components of the sky in switching or correlation mode. However, this would increase the cost of the project.

6. Conclusions and future

We have shown that FAST can be a useful addition to radio astronomy until the Square Kilometre Array becomes operational. It enables us to obtain good science results within a short time-frame. These results can then be tested and refined upon by the
SKA. FAST can give good constraints on different inflation scenarios and on BAO physics. Although the Square Kilometre Array is expected to do better when the full experiment is operational, this is planned for the late 2020s, more than a decade after FAST starts providing science results.

The performance of FAST is comparable to CHIME. The two experiments have similar collecting areas. There are some differences in the number of photons observed, and in the ability to exclude the unwanted signal, but overall the potential capability is similar.

The potential programme outlined here offers very strong science products. They indicate that FAST has the potential to provide a treasure trove of cosmological observations. There are a number of ways to improve our understanding of the universe and the potential of this instrument and this potential programme. The next steps are to refine the survey parameters and observing plan and to provide a full concept and design for the receiver and data processing systems.
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