Genomic integrity and the ageing brain

Hei-man Chow^{1,2} and Karl Herrup¹

Abstract | DNA damage is correlated with and may drive the ageing process. Neurons in the brain are postmitotic and are excluded from many forms of DNA repair; therefore, neurons are vulnerable to various neurodegenerative diseases. The challenges facing the field are to understand how and when neuronal DNA damage accumulates, how this loss of genomic integrity might serve as a 'time keeper' of nerve cell ageing and why this process manifests itself as different diseases in different individuals.

Senescence

A state of cell cycle arrest that can arise in proliferating cells after a finite number of cell divisions. Senescence can also occur prematurely in dividing cells as a result of stress or a detrimental environment.

¹Division of Life Science and State Key Laboratory of Molecular Neuroscience, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technologu. Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hona Kona. ²Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hona Kona. Correspondence to K.H. e-mail: herrup@ust.hk doi:10.1038/nrn4020 Published online 14 October 2015

All creatures age and yet the biology underlying this deceptively simple concept is not completely understood. We can describe ageing at the molecular, cellular and organismal levels, but defining the root causes of the process has proven difficult. One cellular feature that is consistently implicated in the ageing process is the accumulation of unrepaired DNA damage and the accompanying loss of genomic integrity. This process makes a great deal of intuitive sense. Accumulated damage to any cellular constituent might contribute to the process of ageing¹, but macromolecules such as lipids, proteins and carbohydrates are present in multiple copies in every cell and thus can easily be repaired or replaced. By contrast, each cell receives only a single genome. Repair is always possible, but once a gene is beyond repair it cannot be replaced; thus, DNA damage can only accumulate with age. The consequences of this vulnerability are clear. The cell will increasingly make errors in the manufacture of both its RNA and protein products. Compounding these problems still further, the loss of 'fitness' produced by this age-associated DNA damage — although genetic in nature — cannot be selected against by evolution because traits that arise after an organism has completed reproduction are not subject to selection, and thus will be neither selectively retained nor eliminated in its descendants¹.

Faced with the importance of maintaining DNA integrity, it is not surprising that all cells contain an elaborate array of DNA damage response proteins²⁻⁴. Each cell is equipped with overlapping networks of independent DNA repair mechanisms. During neuronal development, the efficient repair of DNA damage is crucial for maintaining genomic integrity in developing progenitors. Repair is mediated by four major pathways: nucleotide excision repair (NER), base excision repair (BER), homologous recombination (HR) and non-homologous

end joining (NHEJ). Most single-stranded lesions are repaired by NER, whereas small alterations in bases are targeted by BER. Both NER and BER enable an error-free DNA repair by excising the injury and filling the resulting gap by DNA synthesis using the intact complementary strand as a template. NER offers a more versatile pathway to repairing lesions such as photoproducts formed by ultraviolet irradiation, cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers and bulky chemical adducts5. For lesions located within actively transcribed regions, stalling of the transcription fork signals the downstream recruitment of NER proteins and initiates transcription-coupled NER6. For damage located in non-transcribed regions, distortion of the DNA helix is detected by alternative complexes — UV-damaged DNA-binding protein and the xeroderma pigmentosum group C-containing complexes - to subsequently initiate the global genome NER⁵. For DNA double-stranded breaks, repair is mediated primarily by HR and NHEJ. HR-mediated repair is dependent on an intact second copy of the sequence on the sister chromatid; therefore, it is the predominant mode of doublestranded break repair used during S and G2 phases of the cell cycle. By contrast, NHEJ simply seals the breach in the helix and is more error-prone than HR because there is no consulting the normal sequence on the other chromosome; small deletions are unavoidable. NHEJ operates mainly in G1 phase but sometimes in S phase⁷. Once neurons mature and become postmitotic, HR is no longer an option for double-stranded break repair, and thus neurons rely almost exclusively on NHEJ (for details of multiple DNA repair pathways in the nervous system, readers may refer to an excellent recent review by McKinnon⁸). If DNA damage exceeds a certain threshold, the cell can also engage fail-safe mechanisms that trigger senescence or cell death9,10. These overlapping damage control systems are particularly important in differentiated somatic

Synapsis

The pairing of replicated homologous chromosomes during prophase I of meiosis.

Crossing over

The reciprocal exchange of genetic material between non-sister chromatids during synapsis of meiosis I.

cells such as neurons, which are morphologically and functionally specialized and are permanently postmitotic. Neurons cannot rely on cell division to replace a lost or disabled neighbour nor are they able to enhance DNA repair through the use of HR, which occurs most commonly during the DNA replication process. In a long-lived species such as *Homo sapiens*, a typical CNS neuron must survive for 80 years or more without the ability to utilize this more accurate DNA repair process. It is small wonder, therefore, that late-onset degenerative diseases such as Alzheimer disease have been suggested to be partially caused by inadequate DNA repair^{11–16}.

Adequate DNA repair maintains neuronal health Although there is an intuitive appeal to the concept that the failure of DNA repair is a driving force in the process of ageing, it is critical to distinguish this model from one



Figure 1 | **DNA breakage is a part of normal development.** This schematic presents the possible events during which DNA damage might occur in neurogenesis; the expansion of a typical neuronal lineage in the ventricular zone is shown. During the proliferation of neural progenitors, lesions (red portion of the DNA backbone) can be introduced during DNA replication and these can be propagated. Scanning by the repair machinery may detect other lesions, but if error-prone DNA repair processes are used, permanent mistakes (red X) are inserted into the genome and are retained. In addition to these microlesions, mis-segregation of chromosomes can also occur, leading to aneuploidy that cannot be corrected. One final form of DNA damage that might be expected during development would be progressive telomere shortening with increasing cell divisions, potentially leading to epigenetic modifications, both at telomeric and subtelomeric chromatin regions. CNV, copy number variation.

in which the accumulation of DNA damage is merely a by-product of the ageing process — a consequence but not a cause. First, we must subtract the background by estimating the extent to which the developmental process establishes a baseline burden of DNA lesions that cannot be repaired.

Planned DNA damage and chromosomal imbalance during development. Despite the hazard to the genome, several normal developmental processes transiently introduce complete double-stranded breaks in the DNA helix. During meiosis, for example, the process of synapsis and crossing over involves highly precise SPO11-catalysed DNA double-stranded break and repair (for details, see REF 17). Similarly, T and B cell V(D)J recombination — a site-specific recombination event - relies on double-stranded breaks followed by an inaccurate repair process to generate diversity in the antigen recognition machinery^{18,19}. Neurobiologists have been particularly intrigued by this ingenious and economical strategy of creating diversity given the enormous range of phenotypes among the cells of the brain²⁰. Several key proteins of the V(D)J system are indeed expressed in the adult CNS18. RAG1 transcripts are found in the hippocampal formation and other limbic regions that are important for spatial learning and memory. Moreover, RAG1-deficient mice exhibit impaired social recognition memory, thus emphasizing the functional importance of these transcripts¹⁹. DNA ligase-dependent recombination events are also found in hippocampal extracts and are implicated in the consolidation of memory²¹. However, despite a search aimed specifically at detecting site-directed V(D)J-like effects in the brain, such activity is yet to be found²². In addition to planned damage events that occur during normal development, such as V(D)J recombination and meiosis, there are also unplanned lesions, such as replication-associated DNA damage²³ at gene loci or telomere regions²⁴, or chromosomal segregation defects that occur in rapidly proliferating progenitor cells (FIG. 1). Unrepaired, these larger genomic errors can give rise to aneuploidy in the neuronal precursors25 and contribute to significant stress to the genome. This genomic stress can be seen in the repeated findings that mutations in DNA repair enzymes, such as DNA ligase 4, are lethal; abnormalities throughout the fetus are observed and the fetus dies mid-gestation.

The state of the neuronal genome in adults

As paradoxical as it might sound, the integrity of the genome in the adult neuron is not certain and has become an object of intense scrutiny²⁶. The absence of direct evidence for a specific V(D)J process rules out only one specific type of DNA arrangement. Other break and repair strategies are certainly possible and may be used by different cell types under different conditions. Although it is unclear what these strategies might be, there are features of the adult neuronal genome that suggest that alternative break and repair strategies are both present and regularly used. Multiple lines of evidence point to variations in chromosome

V(D)J recombination

Also known as somatic recombination, this process occurs in B and T lymphocytes that are generated during early development via somatic assembly of component gene segments. V(D)J recombination enables diversity in the antigen recognition machinery.

Aneuploidy

The presence of an abnormal number of chromosomes in a cell.

Microaneuploidies

Genomic alterations that result in unbalanced copy numbers of subchromosomal regions.

Copy number variation

Refers to when the number of copies of a particular gene varies from one individual to the next.

Chromosomal mosaicism

Refers to when an individual has two or more cell populations with a different chromosomal makeup.

DNA supercoiling

Refers to the over- or under-winding of a DNA strand.

number throughout the neurons of the brain. This variation begins in development when an uploid neuroblasts are estimated to account for as much as 33% of the total population that eventually gives rise to the cerebral cortex²⁷. Although the majority of these aneuploid progenitors may eventually be eliminated by a process of developmental cell death, postmitotic neurons with abnormal numbers of chromosomes can be identified in the normal mature brain²⁷⁻³⁵; their numbers may differ with respect to the species and brain region analysed, the technique used and the chromosome gueried^{32,36-38}. In the mouse brain, locus-specific fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) data suggest that ~1-6% of the cells show gain or loss of sex chromosomes^{27,32}. In humans, FISH, immunocytochemistry and cell sorting assays have led to similar estimates of ~4% aneuploidy with respect to chromosome 21 (REF. 25), although a more recent study suggested a 13% rate of aneuploidy in chromosome 21 in a normal adult brain³⁹. Higher estimates (6-19%) have also been reported based on an analysis of chromosome 17 in the entorhinal cortex³². Although some of the increased incidence of aneuploidy in the ageing brain is no doubt in non-neuronal cells⁴⁰, these numbers still demonstrate that the adult brain contains and tolerates a small but significant percentage of neurons with chromosomal aneuploidy, and a larger number of cells with microaneuploidies. If the search for aneuploidy is reduced to a subchromosomal scale, thus including copy number variation, the estimates of imbalance reach as high as 13-41%²⁶. This percentage is the background produced by errors in DNA replication in the neural precursors during the developmental process itself. We would predict that most such lesions would be propagated to the entire lineage and lead to a wide range of chromosomal mosaicism effects (FIG. 1).





Reduction of DNA integrity in ageing neurons. The DNA of brain cells is constantly subjected to various types of damage. Much of this damage is random and is attributable to stressors such as radiation or reactive oxygen species; however, other processes also contribute (FIG. 2). For example, the high transcription rates of a neuron would put its genome in jeopardy because of the generation of DNA damage through topoisomerase I cleavage complexes⁴¹. These complexes are topoisomerase I-linked DNA single-stranded breaks formed endogenously during active transcription when DNA supercoiling is removed by topoisomerase I; normally, the efficient turnover of topoisomerase I is facilitated by ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) kinase-independent activities⁴¹. Another example of DNA damage in neurons is the recent suggestion that simple changes in neuronal activity can also produce lesions in the genome. Suberbielle et al.42 demonstrated that double-stranded breaks can result from little more than the enhanced brain activity seen during the exploration of an enriched environment in 4-7 monthold wild-type mice. This seemingly innocuous behaviour caused widespread but transient increases in neuronal double-stranded breaks followed by efficient repair. The extent of the DNA damage was directly related to level of activity, and, underscoring the importance of the repair process, the authors also observed a similar level of damage in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease, but the repair process was slower⁴².

Another example of non-random DNA damage involves the telomeres. The Hayflick effect — an upper limit on the number of possible cell divisions — is often attributed to the progressive shortening of telomeres that occurs with each cell division. The telomeres of neurons, however, should remain stable because these cells no longer divide43. However, perturbed telomere function is linked to human neurological disorders44-46 perhaps because of genomic, but non-telomeric, functions of telomerase and other telomere-associated proteins in regulating cell survival⁴⁷. One such example is the change in gene expression caused by spreading telomere heterochromatin⁴⁸. In budding yeast, telomeres are maintained by the constitutive expression of telomerase, but the long distance looping of telomeres was found to repress genes up to 20 kb from the end49,50. In humans, the expression of several genes located at three different subtelomeric ends (1p, 6p and 12p) are affected by this process, including interferon-stimulated ISG15, desmoplakin and complement C1s subcomponent⁴⁸. It is also possible that telomere shorting could occur by other means, thus influencing the rate of ageing. DNA damage response proteins such as ATM⁵¹, the Werner helicase and Nijmegen breakage syndrome protein 1 (NBS1; also known as nibrin)52 are associated with healthy telomeres where they collaborate with telomerase to repair DNA or remodel the chromatin structure in response to stress^{53–55}. Telomere dysfunction is also reported in leukocytes from patients with age-related neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer disease^{56,57}; although this observation is at most an indirect effect, the linkage is intriguing. Viewed together, it appears that the maintenance of telomere integrity, including a functional telomerase enzyme is indispensable for normal

neuronal health. Therefore, despite the lack of cell division in adult neurons, the slow loss of telomeric integrity may account for some features of brain ageing.

Finally, selective repair represents an additional source of non-random DNA damage. Because neurons are unable to divide during the lifetime of the organism, neurons have no option but to repair double-stranded break through NHEJ rather than the more accurate HR pathway. Faced with this problem, it appears that postmitotic adult neurons have adopted a selective approach: repair at the level of the whole genome is reduced while genes that remain transcriptionally active are repaired more effectively58. This approach has profound implications for the process of ageing. The transcriptional pattern of any two neurons is unlikely to be identical; therefore, the poorly repaired genomic regions probably vary from cell to cell. Furthermore, if active neurons break and repair their DNA more frequently than their 'quiet' neighbours⁴², broad differences in accumulated damage will become increasingly apparent with time. If this damage is not random but is instead related to each cell's individual pattern of transcriptional activity (see above), then, as the brain ages, different neurons in different regions would acquire different patterns of unmended 'scars' in their genome. Potentially, therefore, the genome of each brain cell is unique and results both from its genetic inheritance, its developmental history and the epigenetic scars that result from natural variations in its electrical and biochemical activity. When exposed to a specific disease chemistry, one could imagine that these scars creates a feed-forward degenerative cycle whereby genetic damage produces a vulnerability that increases activity in certain disease situations (for example, neuroinflammation). This activity then further damages the genome in specific locations that further increases the initial vulnerability and so on. This feedforward process is an attractive model to explain the different molecular phenotypes that are found in different neurodegenerative diseases.

Compromised DNA repair leads to age-related disease. When the balance between DNA damage and repair tips too far towards unrepaired damage, evidence suggests that age-related cognitive decline and progressive loss of normal neuronal physiology are the inevitable result⁵⁹. The relationship between insufficient DNA repair and neurodegeneration was first suggested after the discovery of premature neuronal death and neurological symptoms in patients with xeroderma pigmentosum60. This connection was further supported when the mutations responsible for other neurodegenerative disorders were also discovered to involve DNA damage-response proteins (for an excellent review, see the work by McKinnon⁶¹). The idea that this relationship has relevance for late-onset neurodegenerative disease can be found in the work Sykora et al.62 who reported that triple transgenic (3xTg; homozygous for the presenilin 1 (Psen1) mutation and for the co-injected APP_{Swe} and tau_{P301L} transgenes) mice with haploinsufficiency for DNA polymerase- β (*Polb*^{+/-}) have compromised BER, elevated DNA damage and enhanced neuronal death. Furthermore, transcriptome

profiles of humans with Alzheimer diseases are more similar to 3xTg-Polb^{+/-} mice than to either Polb^{+/-} or 3xTg mice⁶². The role of failed repair as a driver of the process of ageing is further emphasized by the neurological consequences of deficiency of excision repair crosscomplementation group 1 (Ercc1), which is an essential component of multiple DNA repair pathways, including BER. Homozygous Ercc1-null mutants die during gestation; hypomorphs survive but accumulate multiple types of DNA lesions at an accelerated rate63. That Ercc1 hypomorphs display a neurodegenerative phenotype - progressive loss of synaptic plasticity and cognitive decline — is a significant finding⁶⁴. Thus, multiple lines of evidence point to the conclusion that defective DNA repair both accelerates the ageing process and leads to neurodegenerative disease.

In the context of the suggestion that different neurodegenerative disorders have their roots in a genetic and epigenetic signature caused by scars in different areas of the genome, it is notable that relatively little is known about changes in the capacity for DNA repair in ageing adult neurons. If the non-random nature of the genomic damage is compounded by a unique signature of repair deficiencies, the emergence of regional and celltype specificity in different diseases is almost a direct prediction. This hypothesis is difficult to test. In mice, it has been known for many years that loss of essential components of the NHEJ pathway, such as KU70 (also known as XRCC6) and KU80 (also known as XRCC5)65, XRCC4 (REF. 66) and ligase 4 (REF. 67), results in massive apoptosis of early postmitotic cells immediately following their exit from the ventricular zone, whereas mitotic progenitor cells in the ventricular zone itself are unaffected. Thus, genetic loss of the capacity for NHEJ, the only way a neuron can repair double-stranded break lesions, is lethal to a cell as soon as it starts the process of maturation. Furthermore, recent data have suggested that the requirement for a robust NHEJ capacity is lifelong. It is increasingly evident that there is an age-related reduction in DNA damage response proteins such as ATM68, MRE11 (REF. 69) and DNA-PKcs (the catalytic subunit of the DNA-dependent kinase)70,71, and that this decreased activity may be linked to late-onset neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer disease^{12,42}.

Cell cycle re-entry — going beyond G1 as a sign of insufficient repair. In cycling cells, cell cycle control and DNA damage repair are intricately linked; proteins that take part in cell cycle regulation also alter the expression of components of DNA repair^{72,73}. The regulation goes in both directions. Not only are some of the same proteins used in both processes, but in response to DNA damage, activation of cell cycle checkpoints prevents a cell from replicating misinformation and thus propagating the mutations to the daughter cells⁷. For example, in response to a double-stranded break, ATM activates cell cycle checkpoints by phosphorylating a cascade of mediator molecules, including checkpoint kinases (for example, CHK2)74-76, yH2AX and the checkpoint mediator protein tumour suppressor p53-binding protein (TP53BP1), as well as the double-stranded break

Hypomorphs

Mutations in genes that have a similar but weaker effect than the corresponding wild-type gene.

Box 1 | Cell cycle re-entry and DNA repair in postmitotic neurons

In postmitotic neurons, reactivation of the cell cycle machinery may be an essential part of the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) response to DNA damage. Subtoxic concentrations of certain stressors, such as hydrogen peroxide, produce double-stranded breaks in postmitotic cortical neurons, and G1 phase proteins are activated in response. The linkage of this process to DNA repair can be seen in cells in which the cyclin-dependent kinases CDK4 and CDK6 (essential components of G0 to G1 transition) are simultaneously knocked down. Such knock down results in an increase in DNA damage, suggesting that DNA repair is less reliable when cell cycle processes are inhibited¹⁷⁷.

Similarly, a cyclin C-directed, phosphorylated RB-dependent G0 exit is proposed to activate NHEJ repair in postmitotic neurons¹⁷⁸. Forcing G1 entry while simultaneously blocking the G1 to S transition triggers a full NHEJ response, even in the absence of DNA damage. These data strongly argue that shifting from the quiescent G0 phase of the cell cycle to the active G1 phase may be part of the means by which a postmitotic neuron initiates the DNA repair response. When DNA damage is too severe, however, apoptosis of postmitotic neurons is observed, accompanied by DNA replication and CDK2 and cyclin E expression. This response hints at a relationship between S phase progression and neuronal death, as blocking CDK2 activity not only prevents S phase progression but also blocks neuronal apoptosis. Significantly, CDK2 inhibition has no effect on the efficacy of DNA repair^{178,179}. One model that would tie these various observations together is if cell cycle re-entry from G0 to G1 was used by non-mitotic neurons to facilitate DNA repair. If the process is not stopped, however, S phase is initiated, leading to neuronal death. The increased presence of cell cycle markers in neurons of Alzheimer disease brains is a predicted consequence of such a scheme and further highlights the role of DNA damage in the aetiology of age-related diseases.

recognition factor NBS1 (REFS 77,78). During this process, double-stranded break repair and signalling molecules form discrete nuclear foci that stimulate repair and amplify checkpoint responses^{79,80}.

In neurons, the interrelationship between cell cycle re-entry and DNA repair is particularly complex (BOX 1). Although cell cycle re-entry seems to be part of DNA repair in postmitotic neurons, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that individual neurons in populations that are at high risk for neurodegeneration show evidence of having re-entered a cell cycle process. Cell cycle-related proteins are unexpectedly expressed in neurons of patients with Alzheimer disease⁸¹⁻⁸³ and in neurons of many other neurodegenerative diseases⁸⁴⁻⁹¹. This phenomenon involves true DNA replication and not just DNA repair; Yang et al.92 used FISH to show that 4% of the hippocampal pyramidal neurons from patients with Alzheimer disease are hyperploid (three to four fluorescent spots for each unique genomic probe) instead of the expected diploid. In their study, the background aneuploidy in the non-Alzheimer disease cases was very low. This result has now been repeated by multiple research groups. Mosch et al.³² showed that a population of cyclin B1-positive tetraploid neurons constitutes approximately 2% of all neurons in Alzheimer disease brains. Furthermore, this phenomenon of ectopic DNA replication is not unique to Alzheimer disease^{88,93}.

Thus, over and above the developmental background, the progression of several different neurodegenerative diseases is associated with evidence of enhanced cell cyclerelated DNA replication leading to increased aneuploidy. However, although the existence of increased aneuploidy in diseases such as Alzheimer disease is well established, the consequences for brain function and cognition during ageing are less clear. Aneuploidy may potentially contribute to functional diversity in domains such as learning and behaviour, but it can also lead to functional decline and predisposition to disease. To this end, aneuploidy has been implicated as one of the causes of Alzheimer disease, in particular changes in chromosome 21 (REFS 27,39,94). Chromosomal aneuploidy could logically originate from at least two sources: a mitotic non-disjunction during development or the consequence of an incomplete cell cycle event triggered by a disease process in the adult. As discussed above, there is a background of chromosomal aneuploidy in the brain, but that would be expected to be more evenly distributed between affected and unaffected individuals. Therefore, it is likely that most disease-related aneuploidy results from lost cell cycle control in at-risk postmitotic neurons. Although the evidence is strong that re-initiation of cell cycle activity is an integral part of the disease process, we must also ask what the consequences of this aneuploidy for adult brain function might be. This question is a particularly acute one to answer because, during the course of various neurodegenerative diseases^{30,86,88,95}, the hyperploid neurons do not die as rapidly as they do during development^{23,82}. In adult-onset disorders such as Alzheimer disease, for example, it is estimated that the neurons that have replicated all or most of the DNA persist for many months96,97.

Changes in non-genomic factors

In a typical cell, genome integrity includes not just DNA and the four possible bases, but also differential patterns of base modification (for example, cytosine methylation) as well as changes in the DNA-bound histones with or without chemical modifications of their own (for example, acetylation or methylation). Other factors that affect genomic integrity include intracellular levels of sirtuin, the levels of oxidative stress and, it is increasingly recognized, a network of small non-coding RNAs. As with the double helix itself, alterations of these factors can contribute to the speed and fidelity of DNA repair and also alter the ageing phenotype.

CpG island methylation. DNA methylation, in addition to having a well-defined role in altering gene transcription, has a potential role in DNA damage repair. The DNA methylation reaction is catalysed by DNA methyl transferase 1 (DNMT1), and mouse cells lacking DNMT1 are genetically unstable⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰. In human cells, global loss of DNA methylation results in genome instability¹⁰¹. This effect is most likely a direct effect as DNA methylation is part of the damage response. DNA double-stranded breaks trigger the recruitment of DNMT1, DNMT3, nuclear protein 95 (NP95; also known as UHRF1) and growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, alpha (GADD45A) to the site of the lesion, where they help modulate the methylation pattern. As DNA repair is less efficient when DNA methylation is abnormal, it is noteworthy that over the genome as a whole, DNA methylation decreases with age (reviewed in REF. 102). The suggestion is that this loss of DNA methylation and the resulting reduction in DNA repair contributes both to the ageing process itself and to

neurological diseases of ageing. Demethylation also is a factor in establishing the epigenome; 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) is produced by the actions of the teneleven translocation (TET) family of proteins and serves as an intermediate in the pathway to demethylation¹⁰³. In Alzheimer disease, both 5mC and 5hmC have a negative correlation with amyloid plaque load in the hippocampus¹⁰⁴; however, these are early days in the exploration of this phenomenon and uncertainties remain.

Histone modification. Changes in chromatin proteins occur throughout brain development, continue through the process of ageing and contribute in specific ways to DNA repair and hence to ageing and the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disease105. Histone phosphorylation, at the right location and time, facilitates DNA repair. Phosphorylation of histone H2AX by ATM and DNA-PKcs at serine 139 is one of the hallmarks of the DNA damage response, producing the well-known DNA damage signal, yH2AX¹⁰⁶. yH2AX appears in nuclear foci during double-stranded break repair, and it facilitates the recruitment of other repair factors such as NBS1, breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1) and checkpoint proteins such as mediator of DNA damage checkpoint protein 1 (MDC1) and TP53BP1 to the site of damage⁸⁰. Despite evidence showing that the absence of H2AX only mildly affects the process of double-stranded break repair, the formation of foci facilitated by yH2AX is important for the activation of cell cycle checkpoints in response to mild DNA damage⁸⁰. Other examples of the modification of histones during the DNA damage response include the differential phosphorylation of serine 10 and threonine 11 of histone H3 (REFS 107,108). Upon UV irradiation, these two residues are dephosphorylated early in the damage response then rephosphorylated shortly after the damage has been repaired^{107,108}. Methylation of histones at different lysine residues is also implicated as a part of DNA repair and neurodegeneration. In the context of DNA repair, both H3K79 and H4H20 methylations are involved in recruiting TP53BP1 and CRB2 to nuclear foci after double-stranded break induction¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹³. Finally, histones are generally hyperacetylated after UV irradiation, and repair of DNA is more efficient with hyperacetylated nucleosomes114,115. A recent study showed that during NHEJ, histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) is recruited and activated by sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) as part of the process of double-stranded break repair⁶⁸. However, mutations in HDAC1 mimic a constitutively acetylated state and render neurons more susceptible to DNA damage68.

It is likely that these three different types of histone modification function together during the process of DNA repair. For example, the DNA damage response protein ATM targets enzymes responsible for both histone acetylation¹¹⁶ and methylation¹¹⁷, thus enhancing the former and inhibiting the latter. The net result is that ATM tends to open the chromatin, which would be expected to improve access for the DNA repair proteins. In the case of EZH2, this effect has been shown directly¹¹⁷; for HDAC4, the effect appears to be indirect¹¹⁶. In addition

to their role in the DNA damage response, changes in histone phosphorylation, acetylation and methylation increasingly appear in lists of molecular mechanisms underlying neurodegenerative disease. Phosphorylated histone proteins, normally located in association with the DNA double helix can on occasion be found ectopically localized in cytoplasm. This mis-positioning is correlated with unscheduled cell cycle activity in hippocampal neurons of Alzheimer disease brains, possibly driving them towards neuronal dysfunction, mitotic catastrophe and death¹¹⁸. Global levels of histone acetylation are reportedly lower in the temporal lobe of individuals with Alzheimer disease compared with age-matched controls¹¹⁹; although for all of the current interest, surprisingly little research has been conducted in this area. In mouse models of Alzheimer disease, HDAC2 malfunctions are part of the loss of regulation of genes that are crucial for learning and memory^{120,121}, including immediate early genes as well as other genes that are crucial for synaptic plasticity¹²⁰. Application of HDAC inhibitors further highlights the importance of maintaining proper histone acetylation in disease pathogenesis. Thus, treatment with valproic acid reduces the plaque burden of PSAPP (APP_{V717F}) transgenic mice¹²². In addition, injection of sodium butyrate or trichostatin A induces dendritic sprouting, increases the number of synapses, and restores learning and long-term memory in CK-p25 mice123. Consequently, HDAC inhibitors have been suggested as promising therapeutics for Alzheimer disease124.

Sirtuins and DNA repair. The sirtuins are class III HDACs that regulate various cellular functions¹²⁵. Sirtuins have long been associated with the process of ageing¹²⁶ as mutations in the genes encoding these proteins can extend lifespan considerably. In contrast to class I and II HDACs that target the histone proteins themselves, sirtuins can bind to multiple factors and target many different protein substrates. Among the seven sirtuin homologues found in humans, SIRT1 is the most widely studied member and is reduced in Alzheimer disease127. During the process of DNA repair, SIRT1 is recruited to sites of DNA damage along with other histone-modifying enzymes to trigger epigenetic changes near the break, which results in chromatin remodelling¹²⁸. In addition, SIRT1 deacetylates a number of proteins and thus facilitates the initiation of the DNA repair response. For instance, SIRT1 deacetylates NBS1 and modulates yH2AX, BRCA1, RAD1 and NBS1 foci formation¹²⁹⁻¹³¹. SIRT1 also stimulates ATM autophosphorylation activity and stabilizes ATM at the break site68. Furthermore, while in association with ATM, SIRT1 recruits and activates HDAC1 to facilitate NHEJ68. SIRT1 may also mediate double-stranded break repair independent of the ATM pathway through a mechanism involving the Werner helicase132 or the deacetylation of KU70 during NHEJ133. For single-stranded break repair, SIRT1 deacetylates xeroderma pigmentosum group A (XPA) proteins. This process is important because cells deficient for XPA show significantly higher sensitivity to UV light, which is partly due to the reduced activity of the NAD+-SIRT1-PGC1a (peroxisome proliferator-activated

receptor- γ coactivator 1 α) axis¹³⁴. From the perspective of neurodegenerative disease, the interaction between SIRT1 and XPA is potentially at the core of an important nuclear–mitochondrial crosstalk circuit.

In addition to SIRT1, SIRT6 is also involved in DNA repair, in particular the BER pathway. Enhanced chromosomal breaks, as well as ultra-sensitivity to genotoxins caused by knock down of SIRT6 can be rescued by the introduction of a fragment of polymerase- β , a DNA polymerase that takes part in 'short patch' BER¹³⁵. Mechanistically, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) is also suggested to be involved in DNA repair, as it is activated by SIRT6 in response to double-stranded breaks136. SIRT6 also facilitates chromatin opening at the site of DNA damage by recruiting sucrose nonfermenting protein 2 homologue (SNF2H; also known as SMARCA5)137, and it facilitates the recruitment of downstream factors, such as TP53BP1, BRCA1 and replication protein A (RPA)137. In Alzheimer disease, levels of different members of the sirtuin family are dysregulated^{138,139} and it has been suggested that modulation of sirtuin levels or activity, through pharmacological innervation or calorie restriction, may offer new approaches to the prevention or treatment of neurodegenerative disorders. Collectively, these data suggest that the sirtuins, long associated with alterations in the rate of ageing, are also important in maintaining genomic integrity via their effects on the DNA repair process. Whether these two activities are merely correlated or perhaps constitute an unrecognized driver of the ageing process itself remains to be determined.

DNA integrity in mitochondria. Nuclear DNA is not the only DNA in the cell for which integrity must be maintained in the adult neuron. Although many copies are present in each cell, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) mutations increase during normal ageing and may play a part in both ageing and neurodegenerative disease. From 42 to 97 years of age, human cells experience a progressive increase in the levels of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), and the magnitude of the age-related damage is approximately tenfold greater in mtDNA than in nuclear DNA¹⁴⁰. Oxidative damage is one of the most significant risk factors for neurodegeneration, and as early as 1994, Mecocci et al.^{141,142} reported an age-dependent increase in the levels of 8-OHdG in nuclear DNA and mtDNA in specimens from the cerebral cortex of normal control subjects¹⁴¹, and a higher level in Alzheimer disease. Other groups have reported similar results^{15,143}. These oxidative lesions are leading rather than lagging indicators of Alzheimer disease progression because 8-OHdG appears elevated in early Alzheimer disease, and the levels reached are comparable to those observed during end-stage disease. Although the repair of mtDNA relies mainly on the BER pathway144, SIRT1 and other members of the sirtuin family have important roles in mitigating mtDNA damage¹⁴⁵ through stimulating mitochondrial biogenesis146, reducing superoxide generation from the respiratory chain¹⁴⁷ and enhancing the expression of antioxidant enzymes148.

The role of microRNAs in DNA repair and neurodegeneration. It is increasingly being recognized that disease pathogenesis can be modulated through non-protein coding microRNA (miRNA) species. The total number of different mature miRNAs in humans is likely to exceed 1,000 (REF. 149). Although not translated, miRNAs bind to the 3'-UTR or, less commonly, to other regions of mRNAs150, thus regulating their expression. The usual effect of miRNA binding is to silence, although occasionally the opposite effect is observed¹⁵¹. This family of regulatory RNAs is relevant to the current discussion because certain miRNAs undergo ageassociated changes that affect brain function and are likely to have roles in neurodegenerative disease¹⁵². For example, miR-16 and miR-193B are linked to posttranscriptional control of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) expression^{153,154}. In Alzheimer disease, overexpression of these two miRNAs reduces the efficiency of APP mRNA translation both in vivo and in vitro. A third entity, miR-124 regulates the APP mRNA splicing process and is downregulated during the progression of Alzheimer disease¹⁵⁵. Binding sites for miR-107, among other miRNAs are found in the 3'-UTR region of the transcript of β -secretase 1 (BACE1). As this binding would be expected to reduce BACE1 production, it is a significant finding that reduced there is reduced expression of miR-107 even at the very early stage of Alzheimer disease¹⁵⁶. A current summary of the different miRNAs that are altered in Alzheimer disease brains are listed in TABLE 1.

A linkage between miRNAs and the DNA damage response also exists, but it is an indirect one mediated by the downregulation of many of the DNA damage response genes. Examples of genes that are subject to inhibitory regulation by miRNAs157 include ATM158, H2AX¹⁵⁹, RAD52 (REF. 160), RAD23B¹⁶⁰, MSH2 (REF. 161) and BRCA1 (REF. 162). We propose that with increasing research, this linkage will prove to be more direct and more coordinated. Thus, the same miRNAs that are dysregulated in Alzheimer disease brains also play direct parts in altering the expression of DNA repair genes. In ataxia telangiectasia¹⁶³, the expression of the ATM kinase is downregulated by miR-421. This connection between the ageing process, DNA damage and expression of miRNAs is highlighted in a cell's response to both the nature and intensity of DNA damage¹⁶⁴. For example, members of the miR-34 family are identified as a direct transcriptional target of p53, a DNA damage responsive factor¹⁶⁵. Ectopic expression of miR-34 genes causes G1 phase cell cycle arrest and the downregulation of genes involved in promoting cell cycle progression¹⁶⁵. In addition, miR-34a is upregulated in mouse models of Alzheimer disease, and is proposed to inhibit Bcl2 translation, resulting in higher levels of activated caspase 3 (REF. 166). Expression of other clusters of miRNAs, including miR-192, miR-194, miR-215 and miR-17-92, are also upregulated by p53 after DNA damage, further facilitating p53-induced cell cycle arrest165,167,168. Thus, it may be that the loss of DNA repair efficiency that occurs in late-onset neurodegenerative disease is partly due to changes in the expression of different miRNAs169.

Brain region	miRNA	Technique	Consequences for DNA damage or repair targets
Upregulation			
Hippocampal CA1	miR-9	DNA array and Northern blot analyses ¹⁸⁰	Downregulation of BRCA1 (REF. 181)
	miR-128	DNA array and Northern blot analyses ¹⁸⁰	Downregulation of SIRT1 (REF. 182)
Hippocampus, cerebellum, medial frontal gyrus	miR-26a	Microarray ¹⁸³	 Downregulation of ATM¹⁸⁴ Downregulation of PTEN¹⁸⁵
	miR-27a	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of ATM ¹⁸⁶
	miR-27b	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-30c	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-30e-5p	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-34a	Microarray ¹⁸³	 Downregulation of TP53BP1 (REF. 187) Downregulation of SIRT (REF. 188) Downregulation of E2F1 and E2F3 (REF. 189)
	miR-92	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-125	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of TP53 (REF. 190)
	miR-145	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of RAD18 (REF. 191)
	miR-200c	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-381	Microarray ¹⁸³	 Downregulation of WEE1 (REF. 192) Downregulation of TP53 (REF. 193)
	miR-422a	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
	miR-423	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
Temporal cortex	mir-26b	qRT-PCR ¹⁹⁴	Downregulation of ATM ¹⁹⁵
Downregulation			
Frontal cortex	miR-29a	qRT-PCR ¹⁹⁶	Upregulation of TP53 (REF. 197)
Hippocampus, cerebellum, medial frontal gyrus	miR-9	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of BRCA1 (REF. 181)
	miR-132	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of RB ¹⁹⁸
	miR-146b	Microarray ¹⁸³	Downregulation of BRCA1 (REF. 199)
	miR-212	Microarray ¹⁸³	Unknown
Anterior temporal cortex	miR-124	qRT-PCR ¹⁵⁵	Downregulation of KU70 (REF. 200)
Cerebral cortex	miR-107	Microarray, Northern blot analysis, in situ hybridization ¹⁵⁶	Downregulation of RAD51 (REF. 201)

 Table 1 | Changes in expression of miRNA in Alzheimer disease and their targets involved in DNA repair

ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated; BRCA1, breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein; miRNA, microRNA; qRT, quantitative real time; SIRT1, sirtuin 1; TP53BP1, tumour suppressor p53-binding protein.

Perspectives and conclusion

Age is by far the most common risk factor for most adultonset neurodegenerative diseases. Even the most aggressive familial forms of these diseases rarely strike before the age of 40 years. DNA damage accumulates with age and it is likely that this increasing loss of genomic integrity is one of the causative factors in the ageing process itself (FIG. 3). This notion raises the real possibility that there is a feed-forward relationship between DNA damage and the initiation and progression of neurological disease. Thus, misfolded protein aggregates not only seem to drive regional neuronal vulnerability but they also shape the patterns of DNA damage in different diseases. The neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer disease are the formation of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles. It may be significant, therefore, that the amyloid- β peptide can inhibit DNA-PK and thus hamper DNA repair through the NHEJ pathway¹⁷⁰. In a similar vein, unphosphorylated tau, the major constituent of the neurofibrillary tangle, binds to the minor groove of the DNA double helix where its presence protects DNA from oxidative damage^{171,172}. Phosphorylation of tau reduces its ability to prevent DNA thermal denaturation and reduces its protection of DNA from reactive oxygen species¹⁷³. Finally, accumulation of α -synuclein in Parkinson disease is associated with increased mtDNA deletions and oxidative DNA damage¹⁷⁴.

As mutations in DNA repair genes are associated with premature ageing, it is logical that a time-dependent somatic loss of activity of such genes might underlie the ageing process itself. If true, the resulting loss of repair capacity would represent an additional age-related factor





Figure 3 | Neurodegeneration in ageing neurons resulting from a reduction of DNA integrity. Ageing contributes to the loss of DNA integrity in numerous ways, including lifestyle factors such as nutrition, chemical exposure and physical activity. These systemic stresses add to the molecular stresses brought about by polymorphisms (hypomorphs) in DNA repair genes, changes in the epigenetic landscape, shifts in the microRNA (miRNA) network, as well as changes in the rate and pattern of transcription. All of these stresses influence the fidelity of DNA repair in neurons. As a result, impairment of various DNA repair pathways, including nucleotide excision repair, base excision repair, non-homologous end joining and mismatch repair, stimulates the accumulation of DNA lesions in the neuronal genome. As one possible response to accumulating DNA damage, neurons may re-enter the cell cycle or become prematurely senescence or go on to die. These changes can also create a feed-forward loop with the potential to catalyse additional harmful changes in the neuronal genome, further disturbing patterns of gene expression. These degenerative changes are then 'read out' to result in a loss of synapses or dendritic arborization, in the triggering of chronic inflammation or in the accumulation and aggregation of misfolded proteins. These downstream outcomes further combine in various ways to contribute to the degeneration of neurons.

> in the pathogenesis of late-onset neurodegenerative disease. Changes in DNA methylation, histone modification and the networks of miRNA expression further expand this relationship. These epigenetic changes affect the process of DNA repair and vice versa. As these same processes are found in a range of neurodegenerative diseases, it seems likely that inadequate DNA repair links the ageing process with neurodegeneration. It is clear that cause and consequence need to be untangled in this complex web of interactions, but the case is strengthening that the lifelong accumulation of DNA damage in brain cells is a key factor that acts almost like an ageing clock and relentlessly increases the risk of a wide variety of late-onset neurological disorders.

However, even as evidence of this linkage accumulates, many important questions remain. One particularly vexing question is where are the regional specificities of diseases such as Alzheimer disease and Parkinson disease determined? DNA repair is a critical function of every cell in our bodies; thus, it is difficult to imagine how such a seemingly ubiquitous function can, in and of itself, be the source of the observed regional differences in different neurodegenerative diseases. A general 'snowball' model might serve as a starting point to understand the dynamics of the interaction between DNA damage and the ageing process. In this conceptualization, there is a slow accumulation of DNA damage with age, much as a snowball gradually grows in size as it rolls down a gentle slope. Once an age-related disease starts, however, the disease progress itself drives additional non-random DNA damage in the cells involved, creating a feed-forward effect (as if the snowball had veered off and started down a much steeper slope) (FIG. 4a). Other models are also compatible with the observed data. One is that the loss of DNA integrity is simply the ageing clock itself. All cells can read the time, but intrinsic vulnerabilities, such as polymorphisms in DNA repair genes, might predispose an individual towards different late-onset neurodegenerative disorders during the process of ageing. For example, in Parkinson disease, a mutant variant located at the poly-Q-track region of DNA polymerase subunit γ1 (POLG1) DNA repair gene represents a risk factor for the disease¹⁷⁵; ageing might interact with such an intrinsic vulnerability to favour the development of Parkinson disease. In different individuals, haplotypes -3TT/4CC in PARP1 or a Ser326Cys polymorphism at 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (OGG1) might be carried. The first is significantly associated with an increased risk of developing Alzheimer disease¹²⁴ and the latter with an increased risk of developing amyotrophic lateral sclerosis¹⁷⁶. When combined with the ageing process, these intrinsic vulnerabilities might trigger disease-specific cascades of neurodegenerative events. And because the ageing clock applies to all processes, environmental factors such as dietary changes and physical activity might ultimately lead to biochemical changes such that in some individuals the 'Alzheimer alarm' goes off on the clock, whereas in others the 'Parkinson alarm' goes off first. Another alternative is that the brain activity-induced DNA damage described by Suberbielle et al.42 imposes a circuitspecific pattern to the DNA damage that drives the normal age-related process faster in certain areas than in others (FIG. 4b). As described above, this pattern could lead to a circuit-specific vulnerability towards degeneration. Note that these alternatives are not mutually exclusive; the final outcome is probably a mixture of all three models.

Assuming that specific patterns of DNA damage can determine the clinical signatures of different diseases, we would then predict that the patterns of damage to the genetic landscape are specific. By contrast, if DNA damage is just a clock, the extent of damage may be roughly equal in each cell. We would urge the development of comprehensive data sets of



Figure 4 | DNA damage and the onset of specific neurodegenerative diseases. a | As we age, all of our neurons experience increasing amounts of irreparable DNA damage. The accumulating damage is induced by products of cell metabolism and other destructive activities (black arrows) coupled with a reduced capacity for DNA repair (grey arrows). Disease initiation then arises as a result of an additional insult, specific to the particular degenerative condition, which, coupled with the damage already present, precipitates the emergence of disease. Without that insult, a slow but benign descent into ageing would continue without serious clinical consequences (as indicated by the dashed line). Once the activity of DNA repair can no longer keep pace with the rate at which DNA damage is generated, damage accumulates at an increased pace and a point of no return is reached, eventually leading to neuronal death. b | An alternative, but not mutually, exclusive conceptualization involves a network-based model of DNA damage. If the relative activity levels of different circuits of neurons leads to the accumulation of specific unrepaired DNA lesions in the participating cells⁴², the predicted consequence would be regional variability in the rates of DNA damage, leading to different rates of neuronal ageing and hence to specific selections of neurodegenerative events. For instance, during the development of Alzheimer disease (AD), aberrant activities of neurons in the hippocampal network might result in the lethal accumulation of DNA damage in certain cells. Within the same brain, Purkinje cells in the cerebellum, engaged in a different pattern of physiological activity, would show minimal accumulation of such damage and be spared. After many years, the loss of genomic integrity in the most affected hippocampal neurons would lead to a pattern of cell dysfunction and death that would be more pronounced than that in the cerebellum. A similar branching network model with different initiation points could be envisioned for other diseases, including Parkinson disease (PD), Lewy body disease (LBD) and epilepsy.

🔗 Double-stranded DNA break

transcriptome, epigenome and miRNA profiles sampled with high anatomical specificity, using single cell technology where possible²⁶, to provide the most comprehensive view possible of which specific subset (or subsets) of genes predispose an individual to which specific diseases. The prize for teasing out the connections between DNA damage, the ageing process and the various neurodegenerative disorders will be the opening of new avenues of understanding as well as fertile areas for future drug development.

Baseline

Activated

- 1. Kirkwood, T. B. Understanding the odd science of aging. *Cell* **120**, 437–447 (2005).
- ďAdda di Fagagna, F., Teo, Š. H. & Jackson, S. P. Functional links between telomeres and proteins of the DNA-damage response. *Cenes Dev.* 18, 1781–1799 (2004).
- Harper, J. W. & Elledge, S. J. The DNA damage response: ten years after. *Mol. Cell* 28, 739–745 (2007).
- 4. Hoeijmakers, J. H. Genome maintenance mechanisms
- for preventing cancer. *Nature* 411, 366–374 (2001).
 Gillet, L. C. & Scharer, O. D. Molecular mechanisms of mammalian global genome nucleotide excision repair. *Chem. Rev.* 106, 253–276 (2006).
- Fousteri, M., Vermeulen, W., van Zeeland, A. A. & Mullenders, L. H. Cockayne syndrome A and B proteins differentially regulate recruitment of chromatin remodeling and repair factors to stalled RNA polymerase II *in vivo. Mol. Cell* 23, 471–482 (2006).
- Dinant, C., Houtsmuller, A. B. & Vermeulen, W. Chromatin structure and DNA damage repair. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 1, 9 (2008). This review provides insight into how the chromatin remodelling response to DNA damage can assist in DNA repair.
- McKinnon, P. J. Maintaining genome stability in the nervous system. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 1523–1529 (2013).

This review, together with reference 61, provides important information on how DNA damage response and repair pathways have indispensible roles in neural development and the preservation of homeostasis and function in brain.

 d'Adda di Fagagna, F. Living on a break: cellular senescence as a DNA-damage response. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8, 512–522 (2008).
 This review highlights cellular senescence as a DNA damage response, which plays a part in ageing and

cancer development. 10. Childs, B. G., Baker, D. J., Kirkland, J. L., Campisi, J. & van Deursen, J. M. Senescence and apoptosis: dueling or complementary cell fates? *EMBO Rep.* 15, 1172 (2010).

1139–1153 (2014).
11. Coppede, F. & Migliore, L. DNA damage and repair in Alzheimer's disease. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 6, 36–47 (2009).
Together with references 12–16 this work

Together with references 12–16, this work provides evidence for inadequate DNA repair as one of the potential causes of Alzheimer disease. 12. Herrup, K., Li, J. & Chen, J. The role of ATM and DNA

- damage in neurons: upstream and downstream connections. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 12, 600–604 (2013).
 Iourov, I. Y., Vorsanova, S. G., Liehr, T. & Yurov, Y. B.
- Iourov, I. Y., Vorsanova, S. G., Liehr, T. & Yurov, Y. B. Aneuploidy in the normal, Alzheimer's disease and ataxia-telangiectasia brain: differential expression and pathological meaning. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 34, 212–220 (2009).
- Kruman, I. I. *et al.* Cell cycle activation linked to neuronal cell death initiated by DNA damage. *Neuron* 41, 549–561 (2004).
- Lovell, M. A. & Markesbery, W. R. Oxidative damage in mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 85, 3036–3040 (2007).
 Weissman, L., de Souza-Pinto, N. C., Mattson, M. P. &
- Weissman, L., de Souza-Pinto, N. C., Mattson, M. P. & Bohr, V. A. DNA base excision repair activities in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* **30**, 2080–2081 (2009).
- Baudat, F., Imai, Y. & de Massy, B. Meiotic recombination in mammals: localization and regulation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 14, 794–806 (2013).
- Chun, J. J., Schatz, D. G., Oettinger, M. A., Jaenisch, R. & Baltimore, D. The recombination activating gene-1 (*RAG-1*) transcript is present in the murine central nervous system. *Cell* 64, 189–200 (1991).
- McGowan, P. O., Hope, T. A., Meck, W. H., Kelsoe, G. & Williams, C. L. Impaired social recognition memory in recombination activating gene 1-deficient mice. *Brain Res.* 1383, 187–195 (2011).
- Cushman, J., Lo, J., Huang, Z., Wasserfall, C. & Petitto, J. M. Neurobehavioral changes resulting from recombinase activation gene 1 deletion. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **10**, 13–18 (2003).
- Colón-Cesario, M. *et al.* An inhibitor of DNA recombination blocks memory consolidation, but not reconsolidation, in context fear conditioning. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 5524–5533 (2006).
- Abeliovich, A. *et al.* On somatic recombination in the central nervous system of transgenic mice. *Science* 257, 404–410 (1992).

- Herrup, K. & Yang, Y. Cell cycle regulation in the postmitotic neuron: oxymoron or new biology? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 368–378 (2007).
- 24. Guarente, L. Sirtuins, aging, and medicine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **364**, 2235–2244 (2011).
- TenNapel, M. J. et al. SIRT6 minor allele genotype is associated with > 5-year decrease in lifespan in an aged cohort. PLoS ONE 9, e115616 (2014).
- McConnell, M. J. et al. Mosaic copy number variation in human neurons. Science 342, 632–637 (2013). This study identifies the presence of aneuploidy and subchromosomal copy number variations in neurons obtained from human-induced pluripotent stem cell lines and postmortem human brains.
- Rehen, S. K. *et al.* Chromosomal variation in neurons of the developing and adult mammalian nervous system. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 13361–13366 (2001).

This study provides evidence that as many as one-third of the neuroblasts in the developing brain are aneuploid and, together with references 28–36, it also provides evidence that aneuploid postmitotic neurons are a part of the normal mature brain.

- Kingsbury, M. A. *et al*. Aneuploid neurons are functionally active and integrated into brain circuitry. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 6143–6147 (2005).
- Kaushal, D. *et al.* Alteration of gene expression by chromosome loss in the postnatal mouse brain. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 5599–5606 (2003).
 Yang, Y., Geldmacher, D. S. & Herrup, K. DNA
- Yang, Y., Geldmacher, D. S. & Herrup, K. DNA replication precedes neuronal cell death in Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 21, 2661–2668 (2001).
- Rehen, S. K. *et al.* Constitutional aneuploidy in the normal human brain. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 2176–2180 (2005).
- Mosch, B. *et al.* Aneuploidy and DNA replication in the normal human brain and Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 6859–6867 (2007).
- Iourov, I. Y., Vorsanova, S. G., Liehr, T., Kolotii, A. D. & Yurov, Y. B. Increased chromosome instability dramatically disrupts neural genome integrity and mediates cerebellar degeneration in the ataxiatelangiectasia brain. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 18, 2656–2669 (2009).
- Vorsanova, S. G., Yurov, Y. B. & lourov, I. Y. Human interphase chromosomes: a review of available molecular cytogenetic technologies. *Mol. Cytogenet.* 3, 1 (2010).
- Westra, J. W. *et al.* Neuronal DNA content variation (DCV) with regional and individual differences in the human brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **518**, 3981–4000 (2010).
 Yurov, Y. B. *et al.* The variation of aneuploidy frequency
- Yurov, Y. B. *et al.* The variation of aneuploidy frequency in the developing and adult human brain revealed by an interphase FISH study. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 53, 385–390 (2005).
- McConnell, M. J. *et al.* Failed clearance of aneuploid embryonic neural progenitor cells leads to excess aneuploidy in the *Atm*-deficient but not the *Trp53*-deficient adult cerebral cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 8090–8096 (2004).
- Nordberg, A. Toward an early diagnosis and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 15, 223–237 (2003).
- Thomas, P. & Fenech, M. Chromosome 17 and 21 aneuploidy in buccal cells is increased with ageing and in Alzheimer's disease. *Mutagenesis* 23, 57–65 (2008).
- Faggioli, F., Wang, T., Vijg, J. & Montagna, C. Chromosome-specific accumulation of aneuploidy in the aging mouse brain. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 21, 5246–5253 (2012).
- Katyal, S. *et al.* Aberrant topoisomerase-1 DNA lesions are pathogenic in neurodegenerative genome instability syndromes. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 813–821 (2014).

This paper supports the hypothesis that the level of transcription activity in neurons can lead to DNA damage though topoisomerase I cleavage complexes.

 Suberbielle, E. *et al.* Physiologic brain activity causes DNA double-strand breaks in neurons, with exacerbation by amyloid-β. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 613–621 (2013).
 This study provides multiple lines of evidence to suggest that a transient increase in neuronal

double-stranded breaks is induced in response to even normal levels of brain activity.
Takubo, K. *et al.* Changes of telomere length with

 Takubo, K. *et al.* Changes of telomere length with aging. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* **10**, S197–S206 (2010).

- Nelson, N. D. & Bertuch, A. A. Dyskeratosis congenita as a disorder of telomere maintenance. *Mutat. Res.* 730, 43–51 (2012).
- Jaskelioff, M. *et al.* Telomerase reactivation reverses tissue degeneration in aged telomerase-deficient mice. *Nature* 469, 102–106 (2011).
- Lee, J. *et al.* Telomerase deficiency affects normal brain functions in mice. *Neurochem. Res.* 35, 211–218 (2010).
- Smith, J. A., Park, S., Krause, J. S. & Banik, N. L. Oxidative stress, DNA damage, and the telomeric complex as therapeutic targets in acute neurodegeneration. *Neurochem. Int.* 62, 764–775 (2013).
- Robin, J. D. *et al.* Telomere position effect: regulation of gene expression with progressive telomere shortening over long distances. *Genes Dev.* 28, 2464–2476 (2014).
- Stavenhagen, J. B. & Zakian, V. A. Yeast telomeres exert a position effect on recombination between internal tracts of yeast telomeric DNA. *Genes Dev.* 12, 3044–3058 (1998).
- Tham, W. H. & Zakian, V. A. Transcriptional silencing at *Saccharomyces* telomeres: implications for other organisms. *Oncogene* 21, 512–521 (2002).
- organisms. Oncogene 21, 512–521 (2002).
 51. Pandita, T. K. ATM function and telomere stability. Oncogene 21, 611–618 (2002).
- Zhang, Y., Zhou, J. & Lim, C. U. The role of NBS1 in DNA double strand break repair, telomere stability, and cell cycle checkpoint control. *Cell Res.* 16, 45–54 (2006).
- Digweed, M., Reis, A. & Sperling, K. Nijmegen breakage syndrome: consequences of defective DNA double strand break repair. *Bioessays* 21, 649–656 (1999).
- Opresko, P. L., Cheng, W. H., von Kobbe, C., Harrigan, J. A. & Bohr, V. A. Werner syndrome and the function of the Werner protein; what they can teach us about the molecular aging process. *Carcinogenesis* 24, 791–802 (2003).
- McKinnon, P. J. ATM and ataxia telangiectasia. *EMBO Rep.* 5, 772–776 (2004).
 Zhang, J. *et al.* Telomere dysfunction of lymphocytes in
- Zhang, J. *et al.* Telomere dysfunction of lymphocytes in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Cogn. Behav. Neurol.* 16, 170–176 (2003).
- Honig, L. S., Schupf, N., Lee, J. H., Tang, M. X. & Mayeux, R. Shorter telomeres are associated with mortality in those with APOE ɛ4 and dementia. Ann. Neurol. 60, 181–187 (2006).
- Nouspikel, T. & Hanawalt, P. C. Terminally differentiated human neurons repair transcribed genes but display attenuated global DNA repair and modulation of repair gene expression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20, 1562–1570 (2000).
- Bishop, N. A., Lu, T. & Yankner, B. A. Neural mechanisms of ageing and cognitive decline. *Nature* 464, 529–535 (2010).
- In this paper, the authors review the molecular correlates of brain ageing and how they affect the function of the organ.
- 60. Robbins, J. H. Xeroderma pigmentosum. Defective DNA repair causes skin cancer and
- neurodegeneration. JAMA 260, 384–388 (1988).
 McKinnon, P. J. DNA repair deficiency and neurological disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 100–112 (2009). This paper extensively reviews a wide range of mutations in DNA damage-response proteins and argues for their central role in triggering different neurodegenerative disorders.
- neurodegenerative disorders.
 62. Sykora, P. *et al.* DNA polymerase β deficiency leads to neurodegeneration and exacerbates Alzheimer disease phenotypes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 943–959 (2015).

This study demonstrates that a modest decrease in base excision repair capacity can render the brain more vulnerable to Alzheimer disease-related molecular and celllular phenotypes.

- Borgesius, N. Z. *et al.* Accelerated age-related cognitive decline and neurodegeneration, caused by deficient DNA repair. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 12543–12553 (2011). This study demonstrates a causal relationship between accumulated, unrepaired DNA damage and age-dependent cognitive decline and neurodegeneration.
- Vegh, M. J. *et al.* Synaptic proteome changes in a DNA repair deficient *Ercc1* mouse model of accelerated aging. *J. Proteome Res.* 11, 1855–1867 (2012).
- Gu, Y. et al. Defective embryonic neurogenesis in Ku-deficient but not DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit-deficient mice. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97, 2668–2673 (2000).

- Gao, Y. *et al.* A critical role for DNA end-joining proteins in both lymphogenesis and neurogenesis. *Cell* 95, 891–902 (1998).
- Frank, K. M. *et al.* DNA ligase IV deficiency in mice leads to defective neurogenesis and embryonic lethality via the p53 pathway. *Mol. Cell* 5, 993–1002 (2000).
- Dobbin, M. M. *et al.* SIRT1 collaborates with ATM and HDAC1 to maintain genomic stability in neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **16**, 1008–1015 (2013).
 Jacobsen, E., Beach, T., Shen, Y., Li, R. & Chang, Y.
- Jacobsen, E., Beach, T., Shen, Y., Li, R. & Chang, Y. Deficiency of the Mre11 DNA repair complex in Alzheimer's disease brains. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 128, 1–7 (2004).
- Shackelford, D. A. DNA end joining activity is reduced in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 27, 596–605 (2006).
- Kanungo, J. DNA-dependent protein kinase and DNA repair: relevance to Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 5, 13 (2013).
 Trovesi, C., Manfrini, N., Falcettoni, M. &
- Trovesi, C., Manfrini, N., Falcettoni, M. & Longhese, M. P. Regulation of the DNA damage response by cyclin-dependent kinases. *J. Mol. Biol.* 425, 4756–4766 (2013).
 This study, together with references 74–81, demonstrates that cell cycle control and DNA damage repair proteins are intricately linked in cycling cells.
- Ferretti, L. P., Lafranchi, L. & Sartori, A. A. Controlling DNA-end resection: a new task for CDKs. *Front. Genet.* 4, 99 (2013).
- Falck, J., Coates, J. & Jackson, S. P. Conserved modes of recruitment of ATM, ATR and DNA-PKcs to sites of DNA damage. *Nature* 434, 605–611 (2005).
- Bartek, J. & Lukas, J. DNA damage checkpoints: from initiation to recovery or adaptation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **19**, 238–245 (2007).
 Shiloh, Y. ATM and related protein kinases:
- Shiloh, Y. ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 3, 155–168 (2003).
- Jowsey, P. *et al.* Characterisation of the sites of DNA damage-induced 53BP1 phosphorylation catalysed by ATM and ATR. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 6, 1536–1544 (2007).
- Bakkenist, C. J. & Kastan, M. B. Initiating cellular stress responses. *Cell* 118, 9–17 (2004).
- Fernandez-Capetillo, O. *et al.* DNA damage-induced G₂-M checkpoint activation by histone H2AX and 53BP1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 4, 993–997 (2002).
 Celeste, A. *et al.* Histone H2AX phosphorylation is
- Celeste, A. *et al.* Histone H2AX phosphorylation is dispensable for the initial recognition of DNA breaks. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5, 675–679 (2003).
- Yang, Y. & Herrup, K. Cell division in the CNS: protective response or lethal event in post-mitotic neurons? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1772, 457–466 (2007).
 This paper, together with references 83–94, reviews how cell cycle re-entry in postmitotic

neurons is correlated to a higher risk for neurodegeneration.

- Herrup, K. & Busser, J. C. The induction of multiple cell cycle events precedes target-related neuronal death. *Development* **121**, 2385–2395 (1995).
- Busser, J., Geldmacher, D. S. & Herrup, K. Ectopic cell cycle proteins predict the sites of neuronal cell death in Alzheimer's disease brain. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 2801–2807 (1998).
- Ranganathan, S. & Bowser, R. Alterations in G₁ to S phase cell-cycle regulators during amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **162**, 823–835 (2003).
- Ranganathan, S., Scudiere, S. & Bowser, R. Hyperphosphorylation of the retinoblastoma gene product and altered subcellular distribution of E2F-1 during Alzheimer's disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J. Alzheimers Dis. 3, 377–385 (2001).
- Yang, Y. & Herrup, K. Loss of neuronal cell cycle control in ataxia-telangiectasia: a unified disease mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 2522–2529 (2005).
- Burns, K. A. *et al.* Nestin–CreER mice reveal DNA synthesis by nonapoptotic neurons following cerebral ischemia hypoxia. *Cereb. Cortex* **17**, 2585–2592 (2007).
- Hoglinger, G. U. *et al.* The pRb/E2F cell-cycle pathway mediates cell death in Parkinson's disease. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 3585–3590 (2007).
- West, A. B., Dawson, V. L. & Dawson, T. M. To die or grow: Parkinson's disease and cancer. *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 348–352 (2005).
- Love, S. Neuronal expression of cell cycle-related proteins after brain ischaemia in man. *Neurosci. Lett.* 353, 29–32 (2003).

- Jordan-Sciutto, K. L., Wang, G., Murphey-Corb, M. & Wiley, C. A. Cell cycle proteins exhibit altered expression patterns in lentiviral-associated encombalitie. J. Neurosci. 22, 2185–2195 (2002)
- encephalitis. J. Neurosci. 22, 2185–2195 (2002).
 92. Yang, Y., Mufson, E. J. & Herrup, K. Neuronal cell death is preceded by cell cycle events at all stages of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 23, 2557–2563 (2003).
- Katchanov, J. et al. Mild cerebral ischemia induces loss of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors and activation of cell cycle machinery before delayed neuronal cell death. J. Neurosci. 21, 5045–5053 (2001).
- Potter, H. Review and hypothesis: Alzheimer disease and Down syndrome – chromosome 21 nondisjunction may underlie both disorders. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 48, 1192–1200 (1991).
- Arendt, T., Bruckner, M. K., Mosch, B. & Losche, A. Selective cell death of hyperploid neurons in Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **177**, 15–20 (2010).
- Herrup, K. & Yang, Y. Pictures in molecular medicine: contemplating Alzheimer's disease as cancer: a loss of cell-cycle control. *Trends Mol. Med.* 7, 527 (2001).
- Herrup, K., Neve, R., Ackerman, S. L. & Copani, A. Divide and die: cell cycle events as triggers of nerve cell death. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 9232–9239 (2004).
- Chen, R. Z., Pettersson, U., Beard, C., Jackson-Grusby, L. & Jaenisch, R. DNA hypomethylation leads to elevated mutation rates. *Nature* 395, 89–93 (1998).
- Okano, M., Bell, D. W., Haber, D. A. & Li, E. DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for *de novo* methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* **99**, 247–257 (1999).
- Morano, A. *et al.* Targeted DNA methylation by homology-directed repair in mammalian cells. Transcription reshapes methylation on the repaired gene. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 804–821 (2014).
- 101. Xu, G. L. *et al.* Chromosome instability and immunodeficiency syndrome caused by mutations in a DNA methyltransferase gene. *Nature* **402**, 187–191 (1999).
- 102. Irier, H. A. & Jin, P. Dynamics of DNA methylation in aging and Alzheimer's disease. DNA Cell Biol. 31, S42–S48 (2012).
- Tan, L. & Shi, Y. G. Tet family proteins and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in development and disease. *Development* 139, 1895–1902 (2012).
- 104. Chouliaras, L. *et al.* Consistent decrease in global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in the hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease patients. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 2091–2099 (2013).
- Jakovcevski, M. & Akbarian, S. Epigenetic mechanisms in neurological disease. *Nat. Med.* 18, 1194–1204 (2012).
- Rogakou, E. P., Pilch, D. R., Orr, A. H., Ivanova, V. S. & Bonner, W. M. DNA double-stranded breaks induce histone H2AX phosphorylation on serine 139. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 5858–5868 (1998).
 S. P. & De Benedetti, A. TLK1B promotes repair
- 107. Sen, S. P. & De Benedetti, A. TLK1B promotes repair of UV-damaged DNA through chromatin remodeling by Asf1. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 7, 37 (2006).
- Shimada, M. *et al.* Chk1 is a histone H3 threonine 11 kinase that regulates DNA damage-induced transcriptional representation. *Cell* 132, 221–232 (2008)
- transcriptional repression. Cell **132**, 221–232 (2008).
 109. Sanders, S. L. et al. Methylation of histone H4 lysine 20 controls recruitment of Crb2 to sites of DNA damage. Cell **119**, 603–614 (2004).
- Botuyan, M. V. *et al.* Structural basis for the methylation state-specific recognition of histone H4-K20 by 53BP1 and Crb2 in DNA repair. *Cell* **127**, 1361–1373 (2006).
- Kim, J. *et al.* Tudor, MBT and chromo domains gauge the degree of lysine methylation. *EMBO Rep.* 7, 397–403 (2006).
- 112. Du, L. L., Nakamura, T. M. & Russell, P. Histone modification-dependent and -independent pathways for recruitment of checkpoint protein Crb2 to doublestrand breaks. *Genes Dev.* 20, 1583–1596 (2006).
- 113. Huyen, Y. *et al.* Methylated lysine 79 of histone H3 targets 53BP1 to DNA double-strand breaks. *Nature* 432, 406–411 (2004).
- Ramanathan, B. & Smerdon, M. J. Changes in nuclear protein acetylation in U.V.-damaged human cells. *Carcinogenesis* 7, 1087–1094 (1986).
- Ramanathan, B. & Smerdon, M. J. Enhanced DNA repair synthesis in hyperacetylated nucleosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264, 11026–11034 (1989).
 Li, J. *et al.* Nuclear accumulation of HDAC4 in ATM
- Li, J. *et al.* Nuclear accumulation of HDAC4 in ATM deficiency promotes neurodegeneration in ataxia telangiectasia. *Nat. Med.* 18, 783–790 (2012).

- Li, J. *et al.* EZH2-mediated H3K27 trimethylation mediates neurodegeneration in ataxia-telangiectasia. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 1745–1753 (2013).
- Ogawa, O. *et al.* Ectopic localization of phosphorylated histone H3 in Alzheimer's disease: a mitotic catastrophe? *Acta Neuropathol.* **105**, 524–528 (2003).
- Zhang, K. *et al.* Targeted proteomics for quantification of histone acetylation in Alzheimer's disease. *Proteomics* 12, 1261–1268 (2012).
- Guan, J. S. *et al.* HDAC2 negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity. *Nature* **459**, 55–60 (2009).
- Graff, J. *et al.* An epigenetic blockade of cognitive functions in the neurodegenerating brain. *Nature* 483, 222–226 (2012).
- 483, 222–226 (2012).
 122. Su, Y. *et al.* Lithium, a common drug for bipolar disorder treatment, regulates amyloid-β precursor protein processing. *Biochemistry* 43, 6899–6908 (2004).
- 123. Fischer, A., Sananbenesi, F., Wang, X., Dobbin, M. & Tsai, L. H. Recovery of learning and memory is associated with chromatin remodelling. *Nature* 447, 178–182 (2007). This study identifies chromatin modification, in particular histone acetylation, as a major factor underlying improved learning behaviour and long-term memory that can be achieved through environmental enrichment.
- 124. Karagiannis, T. C. & Ververis, K. Potential of chromatin modifying compounds for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Pathobiol. Aging Age Relat. Dis.* 2, 14980 (2012).
- Herskovits, A. Z. & Guarente, L. Sirtuin deacetylases in neurodegenerative diseases of aging. *Cell Res.* 23, 746–758 (2013).
- This review, together with reference 139, provides important details of how sirtuin deacetylases are implicated in different stress responses and neurodegenerative disorders.
- Guarente, L. The logic linking protein acetylation and metabolism. *Cell. Metab.* 14, 151–153 (2011).
- Donmez, G. The effects of SIRT1 on Alzheimer's disease models. Int. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2012, 509529 (2012).
- Oberdoerffer, P. et al. SIRT1 redistribution on chromatin promotes genomic stability but alters gene expression during aging. *Cell* **135**, 907–918 (2008).
- 129. Narayan, P. J., Lill, Č., Faull, R., Curtis, M. A. & Dragunow, M. Increased acetyl and total histone levels in post-mortem Alzheimer's disease brain. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 74, 281–294 (2015).
- Wang, R. H. *et al.* Impaired DNA damage response, genome instability, and tumorigenesis in SIRT1 mutant mice. *Cancer Cell* 14, 312–323 (2008).
- mutant mice. Cancer Cell 14, 312–323 (2008).
 131. Yuan, Z., Zhang, X., Sengupta, N., Lane, W. S. & Seto, E. SIRT1 regulates the function of the Nijmegen breakage syndrome protein. Mol. Cell 27, 149–162 (2007).
- Uhl, M. *et al.* Role of SIRT1 in homologous recombination. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* 9, 383–393 (2010).
- Jeong, J. *et al.* SIRT1 promotes DNA repair activity and deacetylation of Ku70. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **39**, 8–13 (2007).
- 134. Fang, E. F. et al. Defective mitophagy in XPA via PARP-1 hyperactivation and NAD+/SIRT1 reduction. Cell 157, 882–896 (2014).
- Mostoslavsky, R. *et al.* Genomic instability and aginglike phenotype in the absence of mammalian SIRT6. *Cell* **124**, 315–329 (2006).
- 136. Mao, Z. et al. SIRT6 promotes DNA repair under stress by activating PARP1. Science 332, 1443–1446 (2011).
- Toiber, D. *et al.* SIRT6 recruits SNF2H to DNA break sites, preventing genomic instability through chromatin remodeling. *Mol. Cell* 51, 454–468 (2013).
- remodeling. *Mol. Cell* 51, 454–468 (2013).
 138. Lutz, M. I., Milenkovic, I., Regelsberger, G. & Kovacs, G. G. Distinct patterns of sirtuin expression during progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuromolecular Med.* 16, 405–414 (2014).
- Weir, H. J. *et al.* CNS SIRT3 expression is altered by reactive oxygen species and in Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS ONE* 7, e48225 (2012).
- 140. Wang, J., Markesbery, W. R. & Lovell, M. A. Increased oxidative damage in nuclear and mitochondrial DNA in mild cognitive impairment. *J. Neurochem.* 96, 825–832 (2006).
- 141. Mecocci, P., MacGarvey, U. & Beal, M. F. Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA is increased in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann. Neurol.* **36**, 747–751 (1994).

- 142. Chen, J. J. & Yu, B. P. Alterations in mitochondrial membrane fluidity by lipid peroxidation products. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **17**, 411–418 (1994).
- 143. Lovell, M. A. & Markesbery, W. R. Oxidative DNA damage in mild cognitive impairment and late-stage Alzheimer's disease. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 7497–7504 (2007).
- 144. Gredilla, R. DNA damage and base excision repair in mitochondria and their role in aging. J. Aging Res. 2011, 257093 (2010).
- 145. Merksamer, P. I. *et al.* The sirtuins, oxidative stress and aging: an emerging link. *Aging (Albany NY)* **5**, 144–150 (2013).
- 146. Brenmoehl, J. & Hoeflich, A. Dual control of mitochondrial biogenesis by sirtuin 1 and sirtuin 3. *Mitochondrion* 13, 755–761 (2013).
- 147. Kong, X. *et al.* Sirtuin 3, a new target of PGC-1α, plays an important role in the suppression of ROS and mitochondrial biogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 5, e11707 (2010).
- 148. Wang, S. J. et al. Sirtuin 1 activation enhances the PGC-1 a/mitochondrial antioxidant system pathway in status epilepticus. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 11, 521–526 (2015).
- 149. Pritchard, C. C., Cheng, H. H. & Tewari, M. MicroRNA profiling: approaches and considerations. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**, 358–369 (2012).
- Guo, H., Ingolia, N. T., Weissman, J. S. & Bartel, D. P. Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature* 466, 835–840 (2010).
- Lee, H. J. Exceptional stories of microRNAs. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* 238, 339–343 (2013).
 Abe, M. & Bonini, N. M. MicroRNAs and
- neurodegeneration: role and impact. *Trends Cell Biol.* 23, 30–36 (2013).
- Su, W. *et al.* MicroRNA-16 targets amyloid precursor protein to potentially modulate Alzheimer's-associated pathogenesis in SAMP8 mice. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 522–534 (2012).
- 154. Liu, C. G., Song, J., Zhang, Y. O. & Wang, P. C. MicroRNA-193b is a regulator of amyloid precursor protein in the blood and cerebrospinal fluid derived exosomal microRNA-193b is a biomarker of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **10**, 2395–2400 (2014).
- 155. Šmith, P., Al Hashimi, A., Girard, J., Delay, C. & Hebert, S. S. *In vivo* regulation of amyloid precursor protein neuronal splicing by microRNAs. *J. Neurochem.* **116**, 240–247 (2011).
- 156. Wang, W. X. et al. The expression of microRNA miR-107 decreases early in Alzheimer's disease and may accelerate disease progression through regulation of β-site amyloid precursor protein-cleaving enzyme 1. J. Neurosci. 28, 1213–1223 (2008). This review discusses recent findings on how miRNA interacts with the canonical DNA damage response and how the expression of miRNA is regulated in response to DNA damage. 157. Wan, G., Mathur, R., Hu, X., Zhang, X. & Lu, X. miRNA
- 157. Wan, G., Mathur, R., Hu, X., Zhang, X. & Lu, X. miRNJ response to DNA damage. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 36, 478–484 (2011).
- 158. Hu, H., Du, L., Nagabayashi, G., Seeger, R. C. & Gatti, R. A. ATM is down-regulated by N-Mycregulated microRNA-421. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 1506–1511 (2010).
- 159. Lal, A. et al. miR-24-mediated downregulation of H2AX suppresses DNA repair in terminally differentiated blood cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16, 492–498 (2009).
- 160. Huan, L. C. *et al.* MicroRNA regulation of DNA repair gene expression in 4-aminobiphenyl-treated HepG2 cells. *Toxicology* **322**, 69–77 (2014).
- 161. Yu, Y. *et al.* Context-dependent bidirectional regulation of the MutS homolog 2 by transforming growth factor β contributes to chemoresistance in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **8**, 1633–1642 (2010).
- 162. Moskwa, P. et al. miR-182-mediated downregulation of BRCA1 impacts DNA repair and sensitivity to PARP inhibitors. *Mol. Cell* 41, 210–220 (2011).

- 163. Sandoval, N. *et al.* Characterization of *ATM* gene mutations in 66 ataxia telangiectasia families. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 8, 69–79 (1999).
- 164. Simone, N. L. *et al.* Ionizing radiation-induced oxidative stress alters miRNA expression. *PLoS ONE* 4, e6377 (2009).
- He, L. et al. A microRNA component of the p53 tumour suppressor network. Nature 447, 1130–1134 (2007).
- 166. Wang, X. et al. miR-34a, a microRNA up-regulated in a double transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease, inhibits bcl2 translation. Brain Res. Bull. 80, 268–273 (2009).
- 167. Braun, C. J. et al. p53-responsive microRNAs 192 and 215 are capable of inducing cell cycle arrest. *Cancer Res.* 68, 10094–10104 (2008).
- 168. Yan, H. L. *et al.* Repression of the miR-17-92 cluster by p53 has an important function in hypoxia-induced apoptosis. *EMBO J.* 28, 2719–2732 (2009).
- apoptosis. *EMBO J.* **28**, 2719–2732 (2009). 169. Zhang, X., Wan, G., Berger, F. G., He, X. & Lu, X. The ATM kinase induces microRNA biogenesis in the DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell* **41**, 371–383 (2011).
- Cardinale, A. *et al.* Sublethal doses of β-amyloid peptide abrogate DNA-dependent protein kinase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 2618–2631 (2012).
- 171. Wei, Y. et al. Binding to the minor groove of the double-strand, tau protein prevents DNA from damage by peroxidation. PLoS ONE 3, e2600 (2008).
- 172. Krylova, S. M. *et al.* Tau protein binds single-stranded DNA sequence specifically — the proof obtained *in vitro* with non-equilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixtures. *FEBS Lett.* **579**, 1371–1375 (2005).
- Lu, Y. *et al.* Hyperphosphorylation results in tau dysfunction in DNA folding and protection. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **37**, 551–563 (2013).
- 174. Bender, A. *et al.* TOM40 mediates mitochondrial dysfunction induced by α-synuclein accumulation in Parkinson's disease. *PLoS ONE* 8, e62277 (2013).
- 175. Jones, M. J., Goodman, S. J. & Kobor, M. S. DNA methylation and healthy human aging. *Aging Cell* <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acel.12349</u> (2015).
- 176. Gu, X., Sun, J., Li, S., Wu, X. & Li, L. Oxidative stress induces DNA demethylation and histone acetylation in SH-SY5Y cells: potential epigenetic mechanisms in gene transcription in Aβ production. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 1069–1079 (2013).
- 177. Schwartz, E. I. *et al.* Cell cycle activation in postmitotic neurons is essential for DNA repair. *Cell Cycle* 6, 318–329 (2007).
- 178. Tomashevski, A., Webster, D. R., Grammas, P., Gorospe, M. & Kruman, I. I. Cyclin-C-dependent cellcycle entry is required for activation of nonhomologous end joining DNA repair in postmitotic neurons. *Cell Death Differ.* **17**, 1189–1198 (2010).
- 179. Casafont, I., Palanca, A., Lafarga, V., Berciano, M. T. & Lafarga, M. Effect of ionizing radiation in sensory ganglion neurons: organization and dynamics of nuclear compartments of DNA damage/repair and their relationship with transcription and cell cycle. *Acta Neuropathol.* **122**, 481–493 (2011).
- Lukiw, W. J. Micro-RNA speciation in fetal, adult and Alzheimer's disease hippocampus. *Neuroreport* 18, 297–300 (2007).
- 181. Sun, C. et al. miR-9 regulation of BRCA1 and ovarian cancer sensitivity to cisplatin and PARP inhibition. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 105, 1750–1758 (2013).
- Adlakha, Y. K. & Saini, N. miR-128 exerts proapoptotic effect in a p53 transcription-dependent and -independent manner via PUMA–Bak axis. *Cell Death Dis.* 4, e542 (2013).
- Cogswell, J. P. *et al.* Identification of miRNA changes in Alzheimer's disease brain and CSF yields putative biomarkers and insights into disease pathways. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 14, 27–41 (2008).
 Guo, P. *et al.* miR-26a enhances the radiosensitivity of
- 184. Guo, P. *et al.* miR-26a enhances the radiosensitivity of glioblastoma multiforme cells through targeting of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated. *Exp. Cell Res.* **320**, 200–208 (2014).

- Huse, J. T. *et al.* The PTEN-regulating microRNA miR-26a is amplified in high-grade glioma and facilitates gliomagenesis *in vivo. Genes Dev.* 23, 1327–1337 (2009).
- 186. Di Francesco, A. *et al.* The DNA-damage response to γ-radiation is affected by miR-27a in A549 cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 17881–17896 (2013).
- 187. Kofman, A. V. *et al.* microRNA34a promotes DNA damage and mitotic catastrophe. *Cell Cycle* **12**, 3500–3511 (2013).
- Yamakuchi, M., Ferlito, M. & Lowenstein, C. J. miR-34a repression of SIRT1 regulates apoptosis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 105, 13421–13426 (2008).
- 189. Tazawa, H., Tsuchiya, N., Izumiya, M. & Nakagama, H. Tumor-suppressive miR-34a induces senescence-like growth arrest through modulation of the E2F pathway in human colon cancer cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 15472–15477 (2007).
- Le, M. T. *et al.* MicroRNA-125b is a novel negative regulator of p53. *Genes Dev.* 23, 862–876 (2009).
- 191. Liu, R. L., Dong, Y., Deng, Y. Z., Wang, W. J. & Li, W. D. Tumor suppressor miR-145 reverses drug resistance by directly targeting DNA damage-related gene *RAD18* in colorectal cancer. *Tumour Biol.* **36**, 5011–5019 (2015)
- 5011–5019 (2015).
 192. Chen, B., Duan, L., Yin, G., Tan, J. & Jiang, X. miR-381, a novel intrinsic WEE1 inhibitor, sensitizes renal cancer cells to 5-FU by up-regulation of Cdc2 activities in 786-0. *J. Chemother.* 25, 229–238 (2013).
- 193. Lajer, C. B. *et al.* The role of miRNAs in human papilloma virus (HPV)-associated cancers: bridging between HPV-related head and neck cancer and cervical cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* **106**, 1526–1534 (2012).
- 194. Absalon, S., Kochanek, D. M., Raghavan, V. & Krichevsky, A. M. miR-26b, upregulated in Alzheimer's disease, activates cell cycle entry, tau-phosphorylation, and apoptosis in postmitotic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 14645–14659 (2013).
- 195. Lin, F. *et al.* miR-26b promotes granulosa cell apoptosis by targeting ATM during follicular atresia in porcine ovary. *PLoS ONE* 7, e38640 (2012).
- 196. Shioya, M. *et al.* Aberrant microRNA expression in the brains of neurodegenerative diseases: miR-29a decreased in Alzheimer disease brains targets neurone navigator 3. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* **36**, 320–330 (2010).
- 320–330 (2010).
 197. Park, S. Y., Lee, J. H., Ha, M., Nam, J. W. & Kim, V. N. miR-29 miRNAs activate p53 by targeting p85α and CDC42. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16, 23–29 (2009).
- 198. Park, J. K. et al. miR-132 and miR-212 are increased in pancreatic cancer and target the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 406, 518–523 (2011).
- 199. Garcia, A. I. *et al.* Down-regulation of *BRCA1* expression by miR-146a and miR-146b-5p in triple negative sporadic breast cancers. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 3, 279–290 (2011).
- Zhu, F. *et al.* MicroRNA-124 (miR-124) regulates Ku70 expression and is correlated with neuronal death induced by ischemia/reperfusion. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 52, 148–155 (2014).
 Huang, J. W. *et al.* Systematic screen identifies
- Huang, J. W. et al. Systematic screen identifies miRNAs that target RAD51 and RAD51D to enhance chemosensitivity. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 11, 1564–1573 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, the National Key Basic Research Program of China (2013CB530900), the Research Grants Council, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKUST12/CRF/13G and GRF660813), the US National Institutes of Health (NS70193), and the BrightFocus Foundation (A2012101).

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.